HHD CV18-6101218-S : SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF : HARTFORD JD

FIRE FIGHTERS LOCAL 825

v. : AT HARTFORD

UNIFORMED PROFESSIONAL

FIRE FIGHTERS ASSOCIATION : NOVEMBER 30, 2018

OF CONNECTICUT

BEFORE

THE HONORABLE THOMAS MOUKAWSHER, JUDGE

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

FISHBEIN LAW FIRM 100 SOUTH MAIN ST WALLINGFORD, CT

BY: CRAIG FISHBEIN, ESQ.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

THE FAIRNESS CENTER 500 NORTH THIRD ST HARRISBURG, PA

BY: NATHAN McGRATH, PHV

JOSHUA NONTAGNINI, PHV

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

GESMONDE PIETROSIMONE & SGRIGNARI 3127 WHITNEY AVE HAMDEN, CT

BY: NANCY VALENTINO, ESQ. SHELIA HALL, ESQ.

JOHN McILHONEY

COURT MONITOR

| 1 | (November 30, 2018.) |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. |
| 3 | All right. Are there any issues we need to |
| 4 | take up before resuming evidence? |
| 5 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: No, your Honor. |
| 6 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I don't believe so, no. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Very well. You may continue with |
| 8 | the witness then. |
| 9 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

- 1 Robert Anthony, of West Hartford,
- 2 Connecticut, having been previously sworn by the
- 3 court officer, testifies as follows:
- 4 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 5 Q Good morning, Mr. Anthony.
- 6 A Morning.
- 7 O Just to go back to your subpoena that we talked about
- 8 a little bit yesterday. One of the things also that you were
- 9 asked to bring to these proceedings was any and all documents
- 10 evidencing personal reimbursements to the UPFFA CT by Peter
- 11 Carozza, including but not necessarily limited to
- 12 correspondences, checks, and deposit slips for the period of
- 13 January 1, 2011, to the present.
- 14 Did you bring any documents that would be
- 15 responsive to that request?
- 16 A Not with me, no.
- 17 Q Okay. Well, do they exist?
- 18 A If they do, they'd be in those boxes that you had
- 19 requested --
- 20 O Okay.
- 21 A -- in the beginning of this session.
- 22 Q Okay. Can you tell me when we asked for any boxes?
- 23 A You asked for all the records from 2011 through 2018,
- 24 the last seven years. That's what we had. All the boxes
- 25 contained all of our receipts, all of the bank statements.
- 26 That's what I give the auditors. That's what they use.
- 27 That's what they go through to do the actual audit.

- 1 And that's everything I submitted to you. And if
- 2 there was any reimbursements from Pete Carozza back to us, it
- 3 would have been in that box, and they would have been listed
- 4 under reimbursements.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Off the top of my head, I don't recall.
- 7 Q Okay. And when you say that you gave those boxes to
- 8 us, it's fair to say that you didn't give anything to us; you
- 9 gave that to Attorney Valentino.
- 10 A Correct. Correct.
- 11 Q And is it fair to say also that when we took your
- 12 deposition, you didn't bring any documents to the deposition.
- 13 A I'm not sure. I thought I had. I thought I had
- 14 brought some documents that you had requested. I know one
- 15 other request was the -- a list of what the codes meant. I
- 16 believe I brought that. And I believe I had brought the copy
- 17 of the auditors reports.
- 18 For some reason, they weren't in the boxes.
- 19 Q Okay. Is it your recollection that those are the only
- 20 two things that you brought to your deposition?
- 21 A That's my recollection, yes.
- 22 Q Okay. And can you tell me when is the last time that
- 23 Mr. Carozza reimbursed the UPFFA for any personal expenses
- 24 that he may have incurred improperly?
- 25 A I don't recall. I don't recall him having to
- 26 reimburse us for any improper use of anything, so....
- 27 Q Is it fair to say that he's never, at least in your

- 1 tenure as the treasurer, that he's never done that?
- 2 A I don't recall if he has. It's very possible that he
- 3 may have, but I don't recall that.
- 4 Q If that had happened, that would have been something
- 5 that would have been drawn to your attention? You would have
- 6 known about it.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, my Exhibit 17 I'm
- offering. My understanding is there's no
- objection.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 17 is being
- offered into evidence. Is there objection?
- 14 ATTY. VALENTINO: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Exhibit 17 is a full exhibit.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 18 Q Sir, I see that you've perhaps turned to Tab 17 in the
- 19 book?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 O Okay. Those are credit card statements for
- 22 Mr. Carozza for the American Express, the UPFFA American
- 23 Express.
- 24 A Yes. The statements -- excuse me. The statements
- 25 should include all the expenses of all the charge cards.
- Q Okay.
- 27 A I don't know if you just took out Pete Carozza's

- 1 expenses.
- 2 Q Yes, sir.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q Okay. And just so that you know, because we're going
- 5 to go through a lot of these, there's the cover sheet for
- 6 almost all, with the exception of the first one for the
- 7 particular statement. And then there will be the following
- 8 documents. The first one is not tied to anything. Okay? So
- 9 it merely indicates that they're UPFFA statements.
- 10 So if I could turn you to the next page, sir.
- 11 It's Bates stamp 922.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And before we get into the actual statement itself,
- 14 you get the American Express statements on a monthly basis.
- 15 Right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And what is your practice -- well, let's say, what was
- 18 your practice in the period of 2014 through 2016 with regard
- 19 to the minimum balance on the American Express? Would you
- 20 pay the minimum balance? Try and pay more? What was your
- 21 practice?
- 22 A I always try to pay more than the minimum balance. As
- 23 you can tell from these statements, we had a rollover of, you
- 24 know, quite a bit of money that we couldn't -- you know, we
- 25 needed to catch up on.
- Q Okay. And when you get this statement through -- and
- 27 it goes to the UPFFA office in West Hartford. Is that

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A Unfortunately, lately online I've had to go online to
- 5 retrieve them. And I have to find out why. Sometimes
- 6 they're mailed, sometimes they're not, so....
- 7 Q Sure. We're just talking about the period of time in
- 8 2014 through 2016.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q Okay. And at that time you were getting them by
- 11 mail?
- 12 A Yes. I would say yes.
- 13 Q And what would you do? What would be your ordinary
- 14 practice when you'd get the American Express statement in the
- 15 mail? What would you do? What would be the first thing you
- 16 would do with it?
- 17 A I enter it in as a bill --
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A -- in the QuickBooks program.
- 20 Q Okay. So you'd look at the statement, you'd see
- 21 what's the minimum balance, and then you'd just go pay it?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A I would go through every line charge and enter it into
- 25 the QuickBooks program under the American Express bill. So
- 26 it would say American Express.
- I click on it, it would come up with -- it asks

- 1 you if you would like to continue where the -- it's already
- 2 filled out. You hit yes, and then you go in and you make the
- 3 appropriate changes to the line items. And you -- if it's
- 4 fuel, if it's a fuel charge, you put it in the fuel line,
- 5 mileage line for officer or whoever it is, and so on, so
- 6 forth. Lodging, travel, food, meals.
- 7 O And that's the -- the QuickBooks is an internal
- 8 program that UPFFA maintains?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And you're the only one that inputs the
- 11 information into the QuickBooks?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Okay. Who else inputs information into the
- 14 QuickBooks?
- 15 A Obviously the accountant, Stephanie Moletta, she
- 16 oversees it. She has basic control. She can make changes in
- 17 there, journal changes to comply with whatever we need to
- 18 comply with.
- 19 Q And Stephanie Moletta works for Moletta & Company?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And she can do that remotely through a password
- 22 process?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q And who else in addition to you and Stephanie can
- 27 input stuff into the QuickBooks program?

- 1 A Right now it's Jane McCarthy, our executive secretary.
- 2 She actually does the --
- 3 Q If I could just frame it?
- 4 A Yep.
- 5 Q We're talking the period of time 2014 through 2016.
- 6 Okay? I know about right now. We're going to get to right
- 7 now.
- 8 A Yep.
- 9 O But at that -- in that period of time, who had access
- 10 to inputting in the QuickBooks?
- 11 A That's a good question. I'm not -- I can't remember
- 12 when we went to the QuickBooks on line. Prior to that, it
- 13 was a program loaded into my computer. But we went to the
- 14 online QuickBooks program. That allowed Stephanie Moletta
- 15 external access to get in there if she needed to if I was
- 16 having a problem or something.
- 17 And it allows -- it allowed Jane a limited access
- 18 so she could do the invoicing and she could keep track of
- 19 convention, seminar, you know, registrations and things of
- 20 that nature.
- 21 Q And Jane -- Jane -- there's a last name. What's
- 22 Jane's last name?
- 23 A McCarthy.
- 24 Q And Jane McCarthy is the executive secretary for the
- 25 UPFFA?
- 26 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And she worked there full time?

- 1 A Monday through Thursday. Technically it's not full
- 2 time. It's, like, 25 hours a week. But yes.
- 3 Q But is it fair to say in the period of time, 2014
- 4 generally to 2016, it may have been that the only inputting
- 5 into QuickBooks was done on your computer?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And at that time, you were doing almost all of
- 8 the inputting yourself?
- 9 A I would say that's pretty accurate, yes.
- 10 Q Okay. So after you get the statement and you'd input
- 11 it into -- the information into QuickBooks, what would you do
- 12 next?
- 13 A Go to the next bill and just file it under bills to be
- 14 paid. And when it was due, depending on how much we had in
- 15 the account --
- 16 0 Okay.
- 17 A -- I would either pay off the whole statement balance
- 18 or I'd pay -- try to pay more than, you know, the minimum
- 19 due.
- 20 Q Okay. And in conjunction with inputting the
- 21 information into QuickBooks, would you look at any other
- 22 information to assisting in putting that information into
- 23 QuickBooks or would you just go off the statement?
- 24 A Pretty much off the statement.
- 25 Q Okay.
- 26 A On occasion I may have gone in and checked if I had a
- 27 question as to what something was. If I didn't find it, I

- 1 would highlight it and I would question the charge, you know,
- 2 to the person that made it.
- 3 Q Okay. And in the period of time from 2014 to 2016,
- 4 just to give you a period of reference, do you recall ever
- 5 inquiring of Mr. Carozza as to any particular expenses on the
- 6 American Express account?
- 7 A I may have. I -- I can't say for sure. I wouldn't
- 8 say that I didn't, but I wouldn't say positively definitely I
- 9 did.
- 10 Q Okay. But is it more than likely that you did during
- 11 that period of time?
- 12 A Yeah. During that period, it's probably more than
- 13 likely not.
- 14 Q Okay. And do you recall any specific incident during
- 15 your tenure as treasurer of the UPFFA in which you inquired
- of Mr. Carozza with regard to any expense on his American
- 17 Express statement?
- 18 A No. I don't think so.
- 19 Q Okay. So bringing you back to the document, Exhibit
- 20 17, the Bates stamp 922, there's an entry on Mr. Carozza's
- 21 statement it indicates -- and that's April 5, 2011 --
- 22 Johnston and Murphy for \$175 and -- \$174.90.
- Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Do you happen to know what that is?
- 26 A Believe it or not.
- 27 O Well, first of all --

- 1 A I think I do.
- Q Okay. Can you please tell us what you think it is?
- 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which Bates stamp page
- 4 are you on?
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: It's 922. It's the second
- 6 page in this exhibit, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. I see the Bates stamp
- 8 page. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you.
- 10 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 11 Q Sir, what do you think that is?
- 12 A I'm not so sure that that's -- should be charged to
- 13 Pete Carozza. I believe that 174.90 was for Secretary
- 14 Demici. It was either -- I think it had to do with the --
- 15 what do you call it? -- the carrier for the laptop, his
- 16 briefcase. I believe it had ripped.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A And that stands out because that was brought to my
- 19 attention.
- 20 Q Well, who brought it to your attention?
- 21 A I believe -- I believe it was Lou Demici.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A I believe that was his charge.
- 24 Q Back in 2011?
- 25 A 2011? I believe -- I believe so.
- Q Okay.
- 27 A I could be mistaken, but I believe so.

- 1 Q So you have some sort of memory of an exchange with
- 2 Mr. Demici with regard to that particular entry?
- 3 A The number kind of rings a bell. That's why.
- 4 Q Okay. Well, I'm glad that you brought that to our
- 5 attention.
- 6 What is the UPFFA's policy or practice with regard
- 7 to Mr. Carozza's travel? Is he allowed to get upgrades on
- 8 his flights and things like that? What is the policy?
- 9 A Yeah. I -- the policy is whatever -- whatever he
- 10 needs to do to travel is -- is okay. I mean, he doesn't
- 11 really fly first class. So, I mean, that's not a problem.
- 12 Everything's coach.
- A lot of times there's a carryover, there's a
- 14 layover. He -- Pete Carozza usually knows when his
- 15 conferences are coming up. He -- he will book flights and
- 16 hotel rooms way in advance; if there's some type of special
- 17 going on and there's a reduced cost, he will do that.
- 18 And I'll explain that. There's -- you'll see a --
- 19 there's a charge in here, Bobby, for his hotel room and a
- 20 charge for the airline service. But that's for the
- 21 convention in April. They ran a good special.
- Q We're going to talk about those.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q Okay. And you mentioned that Mr. Carozza knows when
- 25 the conventions are coming up.
- Do you know when the conventions are coming up?
- 27 A I'm supposed to, but no.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A I don't pay attention.
- 3 Q Okay. When you say you're "supposed to," why are you
- 4 supposed to?
- 5 A Because it's part of our -- it's part of our agenda.
- 6 It's part of our stuff for the year.
- 7 Q It's part of your policy book. Right?
- 8 A It's part of the policy book. Yeah.
- 10 when the budget is adopted, that Mr. Carozza and the entity
- is supposed to disclose to the delegates what conventions the
- 12 president is going to be attending. Right?
- 13 A We do that.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A In our budget we -- we contact Pete Carozza and we ask
- 16 him the conventions that he's going to be attending. And
- 17 they're put in the budget.
- 18 0 Okay.
- 19 A But that doesn't mean that something didn't come up
- 20 with the interim and -- I mean --
- 21 Q So it's policy of the UPFFA that even if a convention
- 22 isn't on the budget, during the year Mr. Carozza can attend
- 23 other -- other conventions? Is that the policy? I'm just
- 24 trying to figure --
- 25 A Yes. I mean -- well, yeah. In there we have an -- we
- 26 have an emergency travel provision under -- under his line
- 27 items. And, I mean, that has more to do with him being

- 1 president and having to go to a state if there's some kind of
- 2 disaster. But there's things that come up. I mean,
- 3 nothing's cast in stone.
- 4 Q And what is the requirement by the UPFFA as to Mr.
- 5 Carozza just suddenly deciding that he wants to go to a
- 6 convention that was never approved by the delegates? What's
- 7 the criteria?
- 8 A I believe he would check with the executive board --
- 9 O Okay.
- 10 A -- and say, This is coming up. It doesn't happen
- 11 often. But, I mean, he would check. He just doesn't go out
- 12 and do something.
- 13 Q Okay. So it's your position that he doesn't make
- 14 those decisions by himself, that he goes to the executive
- 15 board and they may those decisions?
- 16 A The executive board oversees everything. And yes --
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A -- makes the final decisions on a lot of issues.
- 19 Q So I would expect that there's a list somewhere that
- 20 you make sure that you would check off, you know, Peter's
- 21 going to Houston. There's a convention there. Yes, it's on
- 22 the list or it was approved by the executive board.
- You have that list somewhere?
- 24 A I believe it's on my bulletin board in my office --
- 25 Q Okay.
- 26 A -- the list of conventions.
- 27 Q Is there a reason why we didn't get any of these

- 1 alleged lists when we asked for documents from you?
- 2 A Our agenda?
- 3 Q These -- these lists that you claim exist.
- 4 A All's they are is a list of our board meetings,
- 5 delegate's meetings, and conventions and seminars that are
- 6 coming up through the year that are --
- 7 Q Okay. I'm talking about the list that is approved by
- 8 the delegates for Mr. Carozza to attend.
- 9 A It's in the budget.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A If you look in the budget under travel --
- 12 O So other than the --
- 13 A -- under conventions.
- 14 Q -- other than the budget, it's not anywhere else?
- 15 A No, I don't believe so.
- 16 Q Okay. And I think you testified that Mr. Carozza
- 17 doesn't fly first class. That's your testimony?
- 18 A For the most part, yes.
- 19 Q Well, what do you mean "for the most part"? Has he
- 20 ever flown first class?
- 21 A I don't know. I don't fly with him.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A I don't go with him. I don't know. I mean, if he
- 24 gets an upgrade, I mean, I'm sure over the years of travel he
- 25 probably has flown first class.
- Q Okay.
- 27 A I mean, if they come to you -- and the airlines comes

- 1 to me and says, Do you want to sit in cramped coach or we
- 2 could boost you up here for \$10 or whatever, I think I'll go
- 3 first class.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A But that's only me.
- 6 O Who makes those decisions for Mr. Carozza whether or
- 7 not he's going to fly first class?
- 8 A I guess he would on that day.
- 9 O Okay. And that's not approved by the delegates: that
- 10 the president of the UPFFA is flying around the country with
- 11 upgrades to first class?
- 12 A That's -- I mean, counsel, that's a little --
- 13 Q Well, is it approved by the delegates?
- 14 A All of Pete's travel is approved by the delegates.
- 15 Q Well, how is it approved by the delegates?
- 16 A When you vote on the budget, when we say, All in favor
- 17 say aye. All those opposed say nay. Any abstentions?
- 18 Q Okay. So to the best of your recollection, in the
- 19 period of 2014 to 2016, what was that -- that number in this
- 20 budget that would be attributable to Mr. Carozza's travel?
- 21 A I would have to go back and look at the budgets for
- those years. I don't know off the top of me head.
- 23 Q And do you recall in the budget when it's put up on
- 24 the wall some sort of PowerPoint presentation, is it broken
- 25 down in "budget" or just it does say "president"?
- 26 A Oh, no. The whole -- every line item that we have is
- 27 listed and PowerPointed on the wall.

- 1 Q And does it say "president travel"?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Or does it just say "general travel"?
- 4 A No, it says president's travel.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A It's very specific --
- 7 O Sure.
- 8 A -- our budget.
- 9 O Turning you to the next page in Exhibit No. 17, which
- is Bates stamped 11664, there's an entry on 10/10/12. It's a
- 11 \$25 entry. It's an upgrade charge.
- Do you happen to know what that was for?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Okay. And is it fair to say that you look at these
- 15 American Express statements when you get them on a monthly
- 16 basis when you're inputting the information? How would you
- 17 put an upgrade charge into the QuickBooks that ultimately
- 18 becomes part of the budget for the following year. How would
- 19 you --
- 20 A I put it under travel, president's travel.
- 21 Q Oh, okay. So it just goes into that pot of travel?
- 22 A It's a line item that says, president's travel, yes.
- 23 Q Okay. But it isn't indicated as upgrade charges?
- 24 A No.
- Q Okay. And then, looking at the next page, there are
- 26 three entries in the center of the page that say, Special
- 27 service ticket. It's 8 -- there's 799, a \$44, a \$49.

- 1 Do you happen to know what those special service
- 2 ticket entries are?
- 3 A I might have an idea.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I'm not a hundred percent sure, so I'll say no.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A I have to go back and look. But I think I know what
- 8 it pertains to.
- 9 Q And then -- and then the entry at the bottom of the
- 10 page for \$49, it says, Extra leg room.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q You characterize that as an upgrade?
- 13 A Probably was an overcharge.
- Q What do you mean by "an overcharge"?
- 15 A Well, they charged us twice.
- 16 O Okay. Well, how do you know --
- 17 A It's also -- I don't know, but I would -- I don't
- 18 know.
- 19 Q Okay. Well, when you say they "charged us twice,"
- 20 that could be that the airlines overcharged. Correct?
- 21 A It's possible.
- 22 Q Okay. And when you reviewed this statement, it would
- 23 have been brought to your attention?
- 24 A Yes, American Airlines would -- I mean, American
- 25 Express would send me a notice via e-mail that there's a
- 26 charge pending and they're looking into it because Peter
- 27 would have picked up on it when he went through his

- 1 charges --
- 2 Q Oh.
- 3 A -- and highlighted it.
- 4 Q So Pete goes through the charges?
- 5 A He reviews all of his charges. Sure he does.
- 6 Q Okay. And do you recall him bringing this double
- 7 charge to your attention?
- 8 A No. I mean, 2012? Six years ago.
- 9 Q Okay. Do you recall Mr. Carozza ever bringing an
- 10 overcharge by an airline to your attention in your tenure as
- 11 the treasurer?
- 12 A Oh, yeah.
- 13 Q Okay. When was that?
- 14 A I don't know. I mean, but I have received e-mails
- 15 from him. And he'll say, Bobby, there's a charge that I'm --
- 16 I'm contesting. Blah, blah, blah, blah. I've received
- 17 notice from American Express that there's a charge pending.
- 18 And it's been reversed or it's -- you know, it's been
- 19 suspended until it's rectified. I mean, that happens quite
- 20 often. And --
- 21 O Okay.
- 22 A -- I'm pretty sure I know what the special service
- 23 ticket is for also, but --
- Q Okay. Those are things that Mr. Carozza allegedly
- 25 finds and brings to your attention as the treasurer of the
- 26 UPFFA?
- 27 A Oh, yeah.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q So going on a few pages, we have the one that's Bates
- 4 stamped 11652.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q Do you see that one?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q The entry up top, for the \$10 charge --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- it says, Kimberly Taglia.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Do you see that there?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you know who Kimberly Taglia is?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O And who is she?
- 17 A That's Peter's fiance.
- 18 Q Okay. So is it fair to say that that's a charge on
- 19 the UPFFA credit card for Peter's fiance?
- 20 A It looks like it.
- 21 Q Okay. And when did you approve that charge?
- 22 A 11/24/12.
- Q Okay. And when did the delegates that are members of
- 24 the state union approve expenses for the president's
- 25 fiance --
- 26 A When they say --
- 27 Q -- utilizing their dollars?

- 1 A When we say, All those in favor of approving the
- budget, yea, any nays, any abstention?
- 3 Q So you're telling me that there's a line on the
- 4 budget --
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q -- put up on the PowerPoint wall that says Kimberly
- 7 Taglia?
- 8 A No.
- 9 O No?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Okay. So --
- 12 A But what I am saying is we're not going to tell one of
- 13 our -- I mean, she may have been a guest. So for \$10, I'm
- 14 going to insult somebody? I don't think so. We don't act --
- 15 we don't -- we don't go that way.
- 16 Q When you say assault somebody --
- 17 A Insult. I mean, I'm not going to say \$10 for your
- 18 wife, fiance, friend, guest, to travel to a convention or
- 19 whatever with you. I mean -- I mean, we just don't do that,
- 20 counselor.
- 21 Q And when did you inform the members of the various
- 22 locals of the State of Connecticut that charges for the
- 23 president's fiance are being made with their well-earned --
- 24 A Hard-earned money.
- 25 Q Yes.
- 26 A Like we're doing today?
- Q When do you tell the delegates?

- 1 A Every budget treasurer's summary report that I give
- 2 them, all of the books, all the statements are in our office
- 3 for a review. Please feel free to call me. And I will meet
- 4 with you at any time to go over any questions that you might
- 5 have. And I encourage it.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Because two eyes are better than one.
- 8 Q So you don't think that charges for the president's
- 9 fiance using UPFFA money should be paid back to the union.
- 10 Is that your position?
- 11 A I don't know that he didn't pay it back from back
- 12 then.
- 13 Q Well, I thought you testified earlier on that during
- 14 your tenure as treasurer --
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 Q -- that you've never got any reimbursements from Mr.
- 17 Carozza?
- 18 A I believe --
- 19 O Yeah.
- 20 A Correct me if I'm wrong, that I don't remember
- 21 receiving any --
- 22 Q Sure.
- 23 A -- reimbursements from Pete Carozza.
- Q So let's go on a little bit.
- 25 A But I had breakfast this morning. And I don't
- 26 remember when I had. I mean --
- Q Well, you're the treasurer --

- 1 A You're right.
- 2 Q -- of the state union --
- 3 A You're a hundred percent right.
- 4 Q -- of fire fighters?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And -- okay. So let's go two pages more to Bates
- 7 stamp 14878. There's an entry that's in the middle of the
- 8 page, 3/22/13 for \$55.98.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Doesn't that say Kimberly Taglia again? It does,
- 12 doesn't it?
- 13 A Yes, it does. Yeah. Okay.
- 14 Q So I'm to believe that here's another charge for
- 15 utilizing funds of the state fire fighters union for the
- 16 fiance of the president of the union. That's what this says.
- 17 A Yes, it does, counselor.
- 18 Q Okay. And then, down the bottom of that page, there's
- 19 an entry, 3/22/13 for \$319.80.
- Do you see that one?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Yes. And that's another charge for Kimberly Taglia?
- 23 A Yes, I see that.
- Q Okay.
- 25 A I think we should have had -- this should have all
- 26 been audited. You probably could have saved a lot of time
- 27 and money if we did that, brought in an auditor instead.

- 1 Q Well, maybe you'd have more members of the state
- 2 union. That is correct.
- 3 A Well, I don't think so.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Let's not have any
- 5 argument back and forth, please.
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Sorry, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Continue.
- 9 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 10 Q Moving on, sir, there's a couple pages after that
- 11 Bates stamp 15482, there's an entry \$116.02.
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Now, what is a miscellaneous tax or fee on a airline
- 15 ticket.
- Do you happen to know?
- 17 A No, I don't.
- 18 Q Okay. And the underlying ticket, we would agree, is
- 19 the one above for \$481.80?
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Okay. Do you happen to know whether or not that's a
- 22 first class upgrade?
- 23 A Counsel, that was five years ago. I'd have to go back
- 24 through the boxes and look.
- Q Okay.
- 26 A I can't answer that.
- 27 Q You would agree with me that's some sort of upgrade?

- 1 A I don't know for sure that it is an upgrade.
- Q Okay. Do you ever recall inquiring as to why that
- 3 special --
- 4 A No, I don't.
- 5 Q Okay. Moving on to the next page for one that's Bates
- 6 stamped 15483, there's three entries that indicate special
- 7 service ticket, one for \$59, one for \$139, one for \$24?
- 8 Do you see those?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Let's center on the one in the middle for \$139.
- 11 Do you see that one?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. That says, First class upgrade. It says "up."
- 14 We can assume that it's upgrade.
- Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. What is that?
- 18 A Counselor, I don't know. I mean, we could go through
- 19 this all day long. I don't know. I think we've established
- 20 that.
- 21 O What?
- 22 A That I don't know.
- Q Okay. Is it fair to say that's a first class
- 24 upgrade?
- 25 A That's what it says.
- Q For Mr. Carozza to fly first class from Hartford to
- 27 Cleveland to Houston?

- 1 A Maybe it was the only seat available. I don't know.
- 2 I'd have to go back and look.
- 3 Q Okay. When you say you "have to go back and look,"
- 4 what would you look at?
- 5 A I would go through the box, and I'd have to go pull
- 6 out Mr. Carozza's expense reports and all of his receipts and
- 7 go through them and find what this pertains to and ask him
- 8 what it was for.
- 9 Q Okay. And what would you particularly see in -- in
- 10 your alleged box that would give you more information than is
- 11 presently here.
- We know where the trip was to.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q We know there's a first class upgrade. What would
- 15 potentially be in that box?
- 16 A I don't know, to tell you the truth.
- 17 Q There probably isn't anything. Correct?
- 18 A Well, I'm sure --
- 19 O In the box?
- 20 A Oh, there's stuff in the box.
- 21 O No.
- 22 A There's receipts, there's -- counselor.
- 23 Q No, I'm -- perhaps you didn't understand my question.
- 24 A No, I didn't.
- Q More than likely there's nothing in the box that gives
- 26 you any more information than you have here that -- where the
- 27 trip was to and it's a first class trip.

- 1 You would tend to agree with me on that, there's
- 2 nothing else that can potentially be in the box. Correct?
- 3 A Well, there's -- that's a possibility.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A There's usually notes in there for the charges.
- 6 Q Okay. What kind of notes?
- 7 A There's be a note from Pete, first class only
- 8 available or whatever. I mean, there may be a note. It may
- 9 be highlighted.
- 10 Q Okay. And, once again, when did the delegates approve
- 11 first class upgrades for the president?
- 12 A When they say, yea, nay, or absentia.
- 13 Q Okay. Does the word "first class" appear anywhere on
- 14 the budget?
- 15 A Nobody ever asked.
- 16 0 Okay.
- 17 A Nobody ever asked, counselor, nobody.
- 18 Q So let's go to the couple pages after that. There's
- 19 an entry that's Bates stamped 15074.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q There's an entry at the very bottom of the page, June
- 22 22, 2013.
- Do you see that one?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q It's for \$822.40. Right? Yes?
- 26 A Yes, I see that.
- Q And it's for a place called Tony D's in New London.

- 1 Are you familiar with Tony D's?
- 2 A No, I'm not.
- 3 Q Okay. Do you happen to know whether it's a restaurant
- 4 or some sort of club?
- 5 A I may have even been in there, but I don't recall it.
- 6 I don't recall the name.
- 7 Q Okay. And it indicates that food or beverage was
- 8 consumed. Correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And then there's a tip?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So you, being a numbers guy, being the treasurer, can
- 13 you off the top of your head figure out the percentage on
- 14 that tip?
- 15 A It's close to 30 percent.
- 17 A Could be over. I mean, you asked me to -- off the top
- 18 of my head.
- 19 Q So do you ever recall authorizing tips that were in
- 20 excess of 30 percent?
- 21 A We don't know what it was for. May have been a
- 22 fundraiser. May have been some type of fundraiser, some type
- 23 of burn camp dinner. I'm not saying it was a burn camp, but
- 24 it could have been some type of fundraiser --
- 25 Q Okay.
- 26 A -- where you have dinner and you leave a tip. But I
- 27 don't know. I -- I don't have -- don't have that information

- 1 in front of me.
- Q Okay. And so your position that on the budget that is
- 3 approved by the delegates on an annual basis, that this tip
- 4 would end up under fund raising?
- 5 A No. I don't know what it was for. I said it's a
- 6 possibility.
- 7 O Okay.
- 8 A Counselor. I'm not sure where -- where it went or
- 9 what it was for.
- 10 Q Okay. Do you ever recall inquiring about this
- 11 particular entry in 2013?
- 12 A No, I don't.
- 13 Q Okay. It's possible that you didn't?
- 14 A Possible I did, possible I didn't.
- 15 Q Okay. And but assuming that you didn't, because
- 16 there's no evidence that you did, when you get the American
- 17 Express statement and you've opened QuickBooks, you're the
- 18 only one putting information into your computer.
- What would you do with this number?
- 20 A That probably would go under 9100, association
- 21 expenses. Because it looks like it was a group of people.
- 22 Could have been presidents. Could have been district vice
- 23 presidents. It could have been anybody. At this time I
- 24 don't know. But I would have put it under association
- 25 expenses.
- 26 Q Okay. And what is association -- in your mind, what
- is association expenses?

- 1 A Just that: expenses attributed to the association. I
- 2 mean, like I said, he could have been meeting with three -- I
- 3 don't know. He could have been meeting with presidents from
- 4 other states. It could have been a fundraiser. It could
- 5 have been a convention that we had out there. And we have
- 6 conventions in June. It could have been DC delegates. Could
- 7 have been anything.
- 8 Q So the conventions you have, do you particularly
- 9 remember the one in 2013?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A No, sir.
- 13 Q Well, over the last five years, where have the
- 14 conventions been held? They've been at the casino?
- 15 A Yeah. Foxwoods, yes.
- 16 Q Correct? And particularly at Foxwoods?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And Foxwoods has eating establishments there?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And would there be a reason that you would know about
- 21 as the convention's going on there that a bunch of people
- 22 would go to New London?
- 23 A Yeah, counselor. I mean, New London's not that far
- 24 away. And who wants to eat in the casino restaurants every
- 25 night?
- Q Okay. Now I'm looking for 16267. So we're going to
- 27 go to -- while we're looking for that particular one, we're

- 1 going to go to 18495, which is about seven pages back from
- 2 where we were?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Do you see that, sir?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And there's an entry on December 4, 2013 -- do you see
- 7 that? -- that for \$79?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And it says, First class up, again for Mr. Carozza.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q If I was to ask you do you have any knowledge as to
- 13 authorizing that first class upgrade?
- 14 A No.
- 15 O No?
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I just don't
- know how many more, how much more cumulative
- 18 evidence he's going to be able to put in. I mean,
- he's keeps pointing out the things that the
- documents say. The document speaks for itself.
- This is about the fifth time he's pointed out
- 22 something to do with airline tickets. And he's
- asking if he recalls from five years ago. And he
- doesn't recall. I think it's been established at
- 25 this point.
- 26 THE COURT: Well, I suppose if he recalled
- one, that would make it different. But, I mean,

| 1 | there's two ways to do this: one, see if he |
|---|---|
| 2 | recalls if seeing it reminds him that he talked |
| 3 | to somebody about it or did something about it. |
| 4 | The other way would be just ask me to highlight the |
| 5 | document in any place that that's said. Maybe that |
| 6 | would be more efficient, given that the witness |
| 7 | doesn't have any memory. |

But I suppose if you -- Mr. Fishbein wants to ask him about each one in case it reminds him or something, I guess he can. Because each one's a difference charge. It's not, for instance, testimony from five witnesses to confirm that one charge was made. That would be truly cumulative.

So, Mr. Fishbein, there's more than one way to do this. And if you want to inquire of the witness on each one in case lightening strikes and he remembers a particular entry, you can try that.

Otherwise, if you want me to simply -- if you're really what you're aiming at is you want me to notice each charge that you think I should take account of, then we could just walk through the document and I can highlight them. But it's within your discretion to do it.

ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor. And I will take that under advisement.

The only -- Mr. Anthony did surprise me and recalled a few things that I was unaware of. And

| 1 | in all good faith, I don't want to assume that he |
|----|--|
| 2 | doesn't know this stuff. |
| 3 | So I also don't want to belabor the Court. So |
| 4 | I will try |
| 5 | THE COURT: Right. So use judgment about it. |
| 6 | But a cumulative piece of testimony would be one |
| 7 | where it was five people confirming the same one |
| 8 | fact. |
| 9 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I understand, your Honor. |
| 10 | THE COURT: But there may be a smoother way to |
| 11 | do this. |
| 12 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Right. |
| 13 | THE COURT: And so I've suggested it. |
| 14 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you. |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 16 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 17 | THE COURT: You may proceed. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Of course, another way, Mr. |
| 20 | Fishbein, is you could go through all of them and |
| 21 | ask him at the end if any of them ring a bell. |
| 22 | That's another way you could do it. But it's up to |
| 23 | you. I don't want to keep you from asking |
| 24 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 25 | THE COURT: something in more detail. |
| 26 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, we premarked a |
| 27 | exhibit last night which I'd like to tender to the |

- 1 clerk for ID only at this time, which would be No.
- 2 22, so that we can mark another document here today
- 3 as 23. And I can continue with the witness and
- 4 we'll come back to 22 in the future. But I'd like
- 5 to give 22 so the clerk has a sequential order of
- 6 exhibits.
- 7 THE COURT: You may do so.
- 8 ATTY. FISHBEIN: And it's my understanding is
- 9 there's no objection to 23.
- 10 THE COURT: To 22 there is? But 23 you want
- 11 to come in?
- 12 ATTY. FISHBEIN: We haven't addressed 22
- 13 before.
- 14 THE COURT: You haven't addressed it. Okay.
- 15 All right. But 23 is agreed. Is that the point?
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. So 23 is a full
- 18 exhibit.
- 19 THE COURT OFFICER: I also don't have 21. You
- 20 discussed it, but you never actually gave it to me
- 21 yesterday. Okay. It's also not full either, just
- 22 so you know. Thank you. Do you need this for the
- witness?
- 24 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 25 Q Sir, I'm showing you --
- 26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: And, your Honor, this is the
- only copy that we have of 23. There was a problem

- in copying. If I may just stand with the witness
- 2 for a moment while I --
- 3 THE COURT: Just make sure you have the
- 4 microphone. I don't -- I can't -- you can use that
- one. When you speak use the microphone.
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I shall do that.
- 7 THE COURT: There's one right here.
- 8 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you.
- 9 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 10 Q Sir, I'm showing you what's marked and is Exhibit 23,
- 11 a full exhibit in this matter.
- Do you see the entry in June 25, 2013, for it's
- 13 about \$249.
- Do you see that on the document?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And that, once again, is a plane ticket for
- 17 Kimberly Taglia?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And do you have any recollection as to that particular
- 20 entry?
- 21 A No. And I'm not trying to be evasive. I don't
- 22 recall. And I don't want to make a statement that is
- 23 incorrect.
- Q Okay. Thank you, sir. Understood. And, sir,
- 25 bringing you I think it's three pages after that of -- it's
- 26 Bates stamp 18506.
- 27 Do you see that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Down the bottom of the page, it says there's a flight
- 3 to Vancouver International to Chicago.
- 4 Do you see that one for 200 --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And down the bottom of that, it says, Premium cabin.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes. I see that now.
- 9 Q Okay. And do you have --
- 10 THE COURT: What is that charge? Because I
- don't have a copy of that -- this one. So what was
- 12 the dollar amount? I didn't hear the dollar
- amount.
- 14 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Judge, I'm sorry. We're back
- 15 to the prior.
- 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't even know you
- were doing that. Because I thought maybe 23 had a
- 18 Bates stamp on it.
- 19 ATTY. FISHBEIN: No.
- THE COURT: So we're back on what's it, 17,
- 21 then?
- 22 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: And what's the Bates stamp page
- you're on?
- 25 ATTY. FISHBEIN: 18506.
- 26 THE COURT: 18506?
- 27 ATTY. FISHBEIN: And just so you know, it's --

- 1 Exhibit 23 was only a one page with one entry.
- THE COURT: I didn't know that.
- 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: No problem at all.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Let's resume. Your --
- 5 the charge was 257? No. That's something else.
- 6 What is that one?
- 7 ATTY. FISHBEIN: 219, all the way --
- 8 THE COURT: 219, I see it.
- 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, sir.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: It says, Premium cab again.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 13 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 14 Q And, sir, I believe I asked you -- I think you were in
- 15 the middle of answering that you have no recollection of
- 16 that.
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 Q Okay. And moving on to the next page, there's another
- 19 premium cabin charge -- do you see that? -- for 219. That's
- 20 the flight from Chicago to Seattle.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. And is it fair to say, looking at the entry
- 23 above that, which is for \$715.99, that the \$219 entry was an
- 24 upgrade to the original flight.
- Do you see in the first -- I'll bring you through
- 26 it. Okay. In the first entry, there appears to be a few
- 27 flights. And one of those flights is from Chicago to

- 1 Seattle. Do you see that?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And then, if we look at the next entry, particularly
- 4 that entry only has to do with the Chicago to Seattle flight.
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So is it fair to say that the upgrade is merely for
- 8 the portion of the flight from Chicago to Seattle?
- 9 A It's possible.
- 10 Q Okay. Well, when you say "it's possible," do you have
- 11 any other reason to believe what that showed?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Okay. Moving on a few pages, sir, to 18523, do you
- 14 have that page?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. There's a couple of entries there, one for \$9,
- 17 the first one, and then one for \$25?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Those both have Kimberly Taglia's name on them?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And once again, do you recall ever inquiring about any
- of those expenses?
- 23 A No.
- Q No. Okay. So then moving on to 18537, what is the --
- 25 what is the policy of the UPFFA with regard to Mr. Carozza
- 26 buying tickets for events with UPFFA money? What's the
- 27 policy?

- 1 A If it pertains to the UPFFA, he can use -- he can use
- 2 the credit card.
- 3 Q Okay. So if Mr. Carozza is at a convention in
- 4 Cincinnati and Kimberly is with him because the UPFFA flew
- 5 her there and they want to go out to see a show, is that an
- 6 appropriate UPFFA expense?
- 7 A I guess it would pertain to the circumstances, but I
- 8 wouldn't expect him to go by himself.
- 9 Q Okay. So -- so long as he's accompanied by anyone,
- 10 any guest, it's okay in your mind as the treasurer of the
- 11 UPFFA for Mr. Carozza to expend state union funds?
- 12 A Well, I mean, look, if he's -- he's away on business
- 13 and he takes a guest from another state, we're still paying
- 14 for it.
- Q Okay.
- 16 A And technically, under your questioning, you know, it
- 17 wouldn't be covered. But we don't expect him to go by
- 18 himself. He is the president of the state association. He
- 19 represents all of us.
- Q Okay.
- 21 A Well, not all of us anymore. But most of us. And if
- 22 he takes his wife as a quest, I'm not going to begrudge him
- 23 that.
- Q Well, you were here yesterday. You heard the
- 25 testimony he's not married.
- 26 A His fiance.
- 27 O Okay.

- 1 A I stand corrected.
- 2 Q So that's an okay expense from your perspective for
- 3 state dollars to be spent?
- 4 A Well, sure. I mean, it's not happening every week. I
- 5 mean, periodically. I mean, I'm not a heartless person.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Why shouldn't he take his fiance with him so he can
- 8 suffer through the night?
- 9 Q Okay. Well, why should he --
- 10 THE WITNESS: Sorry, your Honor.
- 11 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 12 O Mr. Carozza, in addition to his utilization of the
- 13 UPFFA credit card, he also gets paid. Correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q He gets an annual salary?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And do you recall how much that is?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O How much is that?
- 20 A \$48,000.
- 21 Q Okay. So the particular scenario that I gave you, why
- 22 do you think it's appropriate that the UPFFA pay for those
- 23 show tickets as oppose to Mr. Carozza paying for his fiance's
- 24 ticket out of his own money? Why in your mind is -- is the
- 25 charge on the credit card an authorized, unharmless charge?
- 26 A My opinion, counselor?
- 27 Q Sure.

- 1 A My opinion, strictly my opinion.
- 2 Q Yes, sir.
- 3 A Since 2011 through 2018, in the seven, eight budgets,
- 4 nine budgets that I -- I was involved in more than that, but
- 5 over the last eight years or so, the office of the president
- 6 has received one increase. One increase. It was a flat \$500
- 7 increase in almost eight years. Now, that's -- to me is
- 8 unheard of. And I know that there isn't another fire fighter
- 9 in the State of Connecticut that has gone eight years with
- 10 one flat pay increase of \$500.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A So if we need to offset it a little bit, as long as
- it's not out of bounds, it's not abused -- I don't believe
- 14 it's abused -- I don't really have a problem with it.
- 15 Q So if there was to be increase in the compensation for
- 16 the president, that annual payment, who would approve that
- 17 increase?
- 18 A The delegates.
- 19 Q The delegates. So instead of the delegates approving
- 20 an increase, Mr. Carozza is allowed to use the credit card
- 21 however he chooses. Is it fair to say?
- 22 A No, that's not fair to say, counselor.
- Q Okay. So I'm just trying to --
- 24 A That's very misleading.
- 25 Q Well, you feel, in your opinion --
- 26 A My opinion.

- 1 over the last seven or eight years?
- 2 A He's not the only one.
- 3 Q Okay. But just centering on the president. Okay.
- 4 It's your opinion that he should have gotten a
- 5 raise?
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 Q Okay. And because the president hasn't gotten a
- 8 raise, you let some things go on the American Express
- 9 charges?
- 10 A I don't let them go.
- 11 Q Okay. You don't look at it?
- 12 A I don't look at it.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A I mean -- no, I mean --
- 15 Q I want to be fair.
- 16 A Well, I want to be fair also. I mean --
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A But it's -- I find it strange that the --
- 19 Q Well, let's bring it back to questions.
- 20 A You're representing -- okay. Let's bring it back to
- 21 questioning.
- 22 Q So looking at 18537 at the bottom of the page, there's
- 23 an entry February 15, 2014, for \$360?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And it says Motown: The Musical?
- 26 A Okay.
- Q Do you happen to know what that entry is?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Okay. Do you happen to know who went to Motown: The
- 3 Musical?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Do you happen to know whether even Mr. Carozza went to
- 6 Motown: The Musical?
- 7 A No, I don't.
- 8 THE COURT: Mr. Anthony, let me just ask you
- 9 something I'm not sure about that the way this
- 10 thing works. And it may vary, but it may be the
- same everywhere. But Mr. Carozza gets \$48,000 for
- his position with the union. Is he also being paid
- by the city as a fire fighter? Is that how that
- works or the --
- 15 THE WITNESS: No, sir. He is retired.
- 16 THE COURT: So he's retired?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: He's getting -- he's drawing a
- 19 pension?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: But he's not actively employed and
- 22 getting a salary from the city at the same time.
- THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 25 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- Q Sir, bringing you to what's Bates stamped 18577, just
- 27 a few pages on, do you see that, sir?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Getting a little closer in time. We're now at May 11,
- 3 2014.
- 4 Do you see the entry in the center of the page?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q The \$40 for Kimberly Taglia once again?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And I asked --
- 9 THE COURT: I missed that Bates stamp page.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Oh. I'm sorry. 18577.
- 11 THE COURT: 577. All right. Go ahead,
- 12 please.
- 13 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 14 Q Do you see the entry \$40 for Kimberly Taglia once
- 15 again?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And do you have any recollection of questioning that
- 18 entry?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A No, sir.
- 22 Q Is it fair to say that you've never questioned Mr.
- 23 Carozza on any travel expenses that he has made for his
- 24 fiance using UPFFA funds through the American Express card?
- 25 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, objection. He's
- asked that before. And Mr. Anthony has answered
- 27 it.

- 1 THE COURT: Well, I think you have an answer
- 2 saying you don't recall.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't recall, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: So that's his answer.
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Actually, your Honor, in good
- faith, I was trying to cull this down by just
- getting a whole and just say he's never done it
- 8 and, you know, without going --
- 9 THE COURT: But the answer is he has
- 10 already -- well, with respect to her, your answer
- is that you have never asked him about any expenses
- on the credit card --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 14 THE COURT: -- for Kimberly Taglia. Correct?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct, your Honor. I
- don't recall having asked him.
- 17 ATTY. VALENTINO: He doesn't -- he doesn't
- 18 recall, your Honor. Okay.
- 19 THE COURT: He doesn't recall ever doing that.
- 20 All right. So it was asked. It's answered. And
- that's -- we can move on.
- 22 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 23 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you.
- 24 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- Q Sir, taking you to Bates stamp 18582, sir, there's
- 26 a -- do you happen to know who Joseph Kuziak is?
- 27 A I don't recall, no.

- 1 Q Okay. And do you see there's a charge at the top of
- 2 the page for \$500 for Joseph Kuziak?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you were here yesterday for Ms. Taglia's testimony
- 5 when she testified yesterday.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Okay. Do you remember I asked her who Mr. Kuziak was.
- 8 And she indicated that he had something to do with the Miss
- 9 Connecticut pageant?
- 10 A Yes. I think I remember that now, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And you have -- do you have any idea what this
- 12 \$500 charge is for?
- 13 A I would assume it would be a donation to the
- 14 pageant.
- 15 Q Well, if I was to -- well, what is that assumption
- 16 based upon?
- 17 A It's \$500 to Joseph Kuziak.
- 18 Q Okay. It doesn't say "pageant" on it, does it?
- 19 A Well, I don't think it would say that on the American
- 20 Express, but it would -- I'm sure it would say it in his
- 21 records somewhere what it was for.
- Q Whose records, sir?
- 23 A Pete Carozza's.
- Q Pete Carozza's. Okay. Well, sir, if I was to tell
- 25 you that Mr. Carozza testified that he had no idea who Mr.
- 26 Carozza was -- or Mr. Kuziak was, would that be of news to
- 27 you?

- 1 A It would be news to me, I guess.
- 2 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I just -- I have
- a question and answer from Mr. Carozza's deposition
- 4 I believe comes in under 13-31a3 that I'd like to
- 5 offer.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, you should
- 7 indicate that date and page and lines you're going
- 8 to read from so that counsel has time to get to
- 9 it.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I just wanted to show --
- October 11, page 173, your Honor, I'd like to
- publish the deposition of October 11, 2018, of
- 13 Mr. Peter Carozza page 173 beginning on line 12 and
- ending on line 18.
- 15 It begins: "Okay. And the entry prior to
- that, who's Joseph Kuziak of Southington?
- "I'm not sure.
- 18 "Well, why would you be charging \$500 to
- Joseph Kuziak of Southington?
- 20 "I'm not sure. I'd have to go and check. I'm
- 21 not sure."
- 22 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 23 Q Sir, do you happen to know who Ms. Taglia's daughter
- 24 is?
- 25 A Yes.
- Q And whose that?
- 27 A You should have asked me her name, counselor. I don't

- 1 know her name.
- 2 Q Okay. It's Alyssa?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I believe that's correct.
- 6 Q Taglia?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And do you happen to recall Alyssa was Miss
- 9 Connecticut?
- 10 A Yes. That I remember.
- 11 Q And when did she become Miss Connecticut. Do you
- 12 recall that?
- 13 A I have no idea.
- 14 Q How about 2015. Is it fair to say?
- 15 A I have no idea, counselor.
- 16 O Okay.
- 17 A That I can be honest with. I have no idea.
- 18 Q Currently she's the traffic reporter on Channel 8?
- 19 A I believe so.
- 20 Q Okay. It's your testimony here today that you have no
- 21 idea why in 2014 UPFFA's dollars are being sent to Mr. Kuziak
- 22 individually, \$500. You have no idea?
- 23 A Well, now that you put the name with -- I would think
- 24 that it was a donation to the pageant. I'd have to go back
- 25 and look and check with Peter.
- Q And when would the delegates have approved a donation
- 27 to the -- well, to Mr. Kuziak --

- 1 A Counselor, we don't --
- 2 Q -- just before Alyssa Taglia becomes Miss Connecticut?
- 3 When would the delegates have approved that?
- 4 A Counselor, we don't go to the delegates for approval
- of every bill, every payment we make. The executive board
- 6 okays everything.
- 7 Q Okay. So let's talk about procedure here. If there
- 8 was a bill, there was a -- you know, we're having a
- 9 fundraiser, we're looking for money, where would that
- 10 correspondence be received by the state union?
- 11 A Could be -- could be a conference call.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Could be a --
- 14 Q No. I'm talking a physical bill or a pamphlet, give
- 15 us money, a fundraiser.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q You get those -- those things in --
- 18 A Constantly.
- 19 Q -- the West Hartford office. Right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And when you get those inquiries, if there's going to
- 22 be a payment, you write a check?
- 23 A Sometimes.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A Sometimes they're put on the credit card.
- Q Okay. And who makes that determination whether they
- 27 go on the credit card?

- 1 A Well, if I'm not there to write a check, counselor, it
- 2 has to go on the credit card. So that's the way it would
- 3 be.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A For instance, we had a charge for a concert -- I
- 6 believe it's Foxwoods for -- I believe it was a country
- 7 singer, Trace Atkins, Akins? I'm not sure of his name.
- 8 Q Yeah. We're going to get there.
- 9 A It was a \$200 payment.
- 10 Q We'll -- we'll get there, sir.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q We'll get there.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Anthony.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: With respect to charitable
- 17 contribution for an organization like yours, are
- 18 they reported for tax purposes in any special way?
- 19 Are they handled for tax purposes in any special
- 20 way? I don't know how you do your work, so --
- 21 THE WITNESS: No. We -- we don't, your Honor.
- We just -- we make the payments, and the
- 23 accountants go through everything. And they file
- the proper -- proper paperwork. They do the tax
- 25 returns. They do our --
- 26 THE COURT: But do you --
- 27 THE WITNESS: They do everything for --

- 1 THE COURT: To your knowledge, does the union
- 2 get any sort of deduction --
- THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 4 THE COURT: -- or other treatment for a
- 5 charitable contribution?
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 7 THE COURT: So you don't track them separately
- 8 for that --
- 9 THE WITNESS: I have a separate line item for
- 10 contributions.
- 11 THE COURT: So you have a record that shows --
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 13 THE COURT: -- in QuickBooks?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Where -- that these are charitable
- 16 contributions.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Continue, please.
- 19 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you.
- 20 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 21 Q And do you have a recollection, sir, as to how you
- 22 inputted an entry like Joseph Kuziak into QuickBooks?
- 23 A No, counselor. I would have to go back and look. I'd
- 24 have to bring it up and see exactly what it was for.
- Q Okay. And what would you bring up, the QuickBooks?
- 26 A I'd start with QuickBooks, yes.
- Q Okay. But at the time that you get the statement,

- 1 sir, and you see an entry like that, how do you know whether
- 2 to put it in -- how do you know where to put it?
- 3 A Well, I usually inquire or look at the paperwork
- 4 that's submitted. I mean, I can't just -- what would I put
- 5 it under? It's probably a contribution.
- 6 Q Okay. When you say "probably a contribution," do you
- 7 have any knowledge as to Mr. Kuziak's involvement with the
- 8 Miss Connecticut pageant?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q No?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q That his daughter was a prior --
- 13 A No. I -- counselor.
- 14 Q -- Miss Connecticut?
- 15 A No. I don't -- I don't -- I don't pay attention to
- 16 that stuff, counselor.
- 17 Q Okay. And when did you first find out that Mr. Kuziak
- 18 was involved with the Miss Connecticut pageant at all?
- 19 A Yesterday.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A Today. Or maybe in 2014 when it was brought to my
- 22 attention, we made -- we made a contribution. I don't -- but
- 23 as of yesterday, yeah.
- But there had to be some type of -- of
- 25 correspondence --
- 26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Hold on.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

| 1 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: No question pending. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: All right. All right. |
| 3 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I'm going to |
| 4 | shift for expedience purposes and just an attempt |
| 5 | to publish to you or point your attention to other |
| 6 | entries in the document. If we go |
| 7 | THE COURT: I would think a Bates stamp page, |
| 8 | date, and amount and its purpose would be the best |
| 9 | way to do it. |
| 10 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. We're going |
| 11 | to go if we could go back four four pages. |
| 12 | The Bates stamp is 18577. |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. I'm there. |
| 14 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: And there's an entry May 11, |
| 15 | 2014, for Kimberly Taglia in the amount of \$40. |
| 16 | THE COURT: All right. I'm going to have to |
| 17 | take these slowly because I'm writing them down. |
| 18 | All right. |
| 19 | You can go to the next one, then. |
| 20 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: The next page, 18578. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. I see a 5/11 charge. |
| 22 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Kimberly Taglia, is that what you |
| 24 | want me to note? |
| 25 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. And then |
| 26 | down the middle of the page, the same date, \$550.78 |
| 27 | charge for Kimberly Taglia. |

THE COURT: Two charges on that date, then. 1 2 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Those are noted. 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: On 18608 there's an entry on 4 5 July 17, 2014. It says Duke Energy, Cincinnati, Ohio, Sports Consess for \$674.25. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. It's noted. 8 cents. 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes. Yes, your Honor. And Bates stamp 18650, I believe it's three pages after 10 11 that. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 ATTY. FISHBEIN: On September 24, 2014, there's a there's a premium cabin entry for Mr. 14 15 Carozza, \$209. 16 THE COURT: Yes. I see it. Thank you. 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: On 32041. 18 THE COURT: 240? 19 ATTY. FISHBEIN: 32041 --20 THE COURT: 32041. 21 ATTY. FISHBEIN: -- is the Bates stamp. 22 THE COURT: All right. I'm on that page. ATTY. FISHBEIN: At the bottom of the page, 23 24 your Honor, there's a Kimberly Taglia excess 25 baggage charge on December 15, 2014. THE COURT: It's noted. 26

ATTY. FISHBEIN: On the next page, your Honor,

27

there's a \$15 upgrade charge for on 12/15/14 Mr. 1 2 Carozza. THE COURT: It's noted. 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: On 3 -- on Bates stamp 32066, 4 5 which I believe is four pages thereafter --THE COURT: All right. I'm there. 6 7 ATTY. FISHBEIN: -- we have what appears to be 8 another upgrade charge on February 12, 2015, for 9 \$135.66. THE COURT: Miscellaneous tax fees. 10 11 what you're saying? 12 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. I believe 13 the witness testified previously he didn't know 14 what it was and more than likely it was some sort 15 of upgrade fee. 16 THE COURT: It's noted. 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: And then, your Honor, 3 --18 Bates stamp 32126, which is the last page --19 THE COURT: All right. I'm there. 20 ATTY. FISHBEIN: -- of -- at the top, July 26, 21 2015, there's an entry of \$57.87 with the same 22 entry assumed to be an upgrade charge. THE COURT: It's noted. 23 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: July 30, 2015, another 25 apparently upgrade charge, \$67.83. THE COURT: It's noted. 26 27

ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, we have no

- 1 further questions at this time of this witness.
- 2 THE COURT: Very well. Cross-examination.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 4 Q Good morning, Mr. Anthony.
- 5 A Good morning.
- 6 Q Thank you for coming back. I know you had a long day
- 7 yesterday.
- First and foremost, I want to just clarify: You
- 9 testified yesterday that there was a discrepancy on the SEEC
- 10 form that's been marked as Exhibit 18.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And I just want to again clarify that that was
- 13 a mistake. Correct?
- 14 A Correct. That was a mistake on my part. It was
- 15 corrected.
- 16 Q Okay. And that was my next question: So when you
- 17 became aware that there was a mistake, you corrected the
- 18 mistake. Correct?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am -- counselor. Sorry.
- 20 O You can call me ma'am. That's fine. Was there ever
- 21 any intention with respect to that form to deceive any
- 22 members --
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q -- of the UPFFA?
- 25 A No. No.
- Q And we talked about the emergency relief funds of the
- 27 UPFFA yesterday. Is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And Mr. -- Attorney Fishbein had pointed out that
- 3 there had been some funds borrowed out of that account?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 0 Is that correct?
- 6 A Yes. Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And my understanding -- my recollection of your
- 8 testimony yesterday is that that money was paid back to the
- 9 emergency relief fund. Is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, with interest.
- 11 Q And the interest was paid back because why?
- 12 A It was a recommendation by the accountants. We
- 13 previously thought that we had the authority to use that.
- 14 Q Okay. So you didn't -- you did not realize that you
- 15 were not allowed to borrow money from the --
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 O -- UPFFA relief fund?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And once you learned that that was something that you
- 20 shouldn't do, you not only paid it back, but you paid it back
- 21 with interest. Is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. Let's talk about the expense sheets for a
- 24 moment --
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q -- that are submitted by the UPFFA by Mr. Carozza
- 27 specifically.

- 1 So when you are -- to clarify: When you are given
- 2 the expense sheets, you are also given backups for those
- 3 expense sheets. Correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And that backup would include receipts. Correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And other forms of proof of the what the expenses are.
- 8 Correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q So at the time you would have received the expense
- 11 sheets for items, say, in 2014, 2015, items that you did not
- 12 recall, you would have received backups for those expenses.
- 13 Correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q So just because you do not recall today as you sit
- 16 here, four years later, what those expenses were for does not
- 17 necessarily mean that you weren't provided a copy of the
- 18 backup for those expenses. Is that correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q Okay. Mr. Anthony, if you can turn to Exhibit 11 for
- 21 me, please. And I'll give you a minute.
- 22 If you can turn to page 30732 for me?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And if you look at the OOPs, as you called it,
- 25 what is the amount there?
- 26 A \$61.38.
- 27 Q Okay. If you can turn to I believe the next page,

- 1 which is 30735.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. Can you tell me what the OOPs is listed on that
- 4 page? 30735?
- 5 A Oh, I'm sorry. 35.
- 6 0 30735?
- 7 A 35? I have 85, 30785. 35?
- 8 Q 35.
- 9 A I have 30731, 30732, then I go to 730785.
- 10 O It --
- 11 A I'm sorry. Here it is.
- 12 Q Can you find it?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And what is the OOPs reflected on that page?
- 15 A \$361.38.
- 16 Q Okay. So it appears -- would you -- would you agree
- 17 that it appears that the 3 in front of 61.38 on the OOPs on
- 18 30732 it appears as if the 3 was left off?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And the other numbers behind the 3 are still -- are
- 21 correct on that --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- e-mail. Okay. So it appears -- would you agree
- 24 that it would appear that Mr. Carozza maybe made a typo on
- 25 the expense sheet?
- 26 A It would appear that way.
- Q Okay. Because all the other numbers are exactly the

- 1 same. Is that correct?
- 2 A They are. Correct.
- 3 Q Okay. Okay. Can you please in the same exhibit, can
- 4 you please turn to 31202?
- 5 A 31 --
- 6 Q Excuse me. I'm sorry. I apologize. Different
- 7 number. This will be 30785.
- 8 A 30785?
- 9 O Correct.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Can you tell me what the subject line of that
- 12 e-mail is, if you can read it. It's very tiny.
- 13 A Yes. In addition to expenses submitted for May,
- 14 please add --
- 15 Q Just the -- the subject line in the very top where it
- 16 says, to, from.
- 17 A I'm sorry.
- 18 Q That's okay.
- 19 A Expenses, May and June, 2015.
- 20 Q Okay. So -- all right. Now you can look below that
- 21 and you can read the e-mail for me. It's the first two
- 22 lines, please, where it says, In addition.
- 23 A In addition to expenses submitted for May please add
- 24 \$170 June 10, 2015 --
- 25 Q And that's --
- 26 A -- donation.
- Q Okay. And yesterday Attorney Fishbein asked you if it

- 1 was your belief or understanding that -- well, I believe he
- 2 asked you why Mr. Carozza would be attempting to add June,
- 3 2015, expenses to the May, 2015, expense sheet, something
- 4 along those lines. Is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 ATTY. VALENTINO: Is that inaccurate?
- 7 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 8 Q Okay. Well, let me ask again.
- 9 So the e-mail, again, the subject line is May,
- 10 slash, June -- excuse me -- expenses May, slash, June, 2015.
- 11 Correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And the date of the e-mail, if you can see it --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- is what?
- 16 A June 21st.
- 17 Q Okay. So is it possible that Mr. Carozza rather than
- 18 attempting to add expenses to his May expense report is
- 19 actually telling you that this is an expense that should go
- in his June, 2015, expense report?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll interrupt you here because
- we're going to take the morning recess. We're
- going to take a 15-minute recess. Court is in
- recess.
- 27 (WHEREUPON THE COURT STANDS IN RECESS.)

- 1 THE COURT: Are we ready to resume
- 2 cross-examination?
- 3 ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: You may proceed.
- 5 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 6 Q And just to sort of pick up where we left off, Mr.
- 7 Anthony, with respect to charges on Mr. Carozza's credit card
- 8 at the time you received the statement for the credit card,
- 9 you would have also received backup for those changes, or if
- 10 you did not receive them, you would have requested backup for
- 11 those credit card charges. Is that correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q So at the time, for example -- well, let me have you
- 14 turn to an exhibit. If you could turn back to Tab 17 for me,
- 15 please.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q So a little more than halfway through, again, is
- 18 18582?
- 19 A 18512.
- 20 O 18582.
- 21 A I have 18517.
- 22 Q You've got it in there. We already talked about it.
- 23 18582.
- 24 A Oh, I'm sorry.
- 25 Q That's okay.
- 26 A Yes.
- 27 Q This is the very top entry, which we already talked

- 1 about, the \$500 charge to Mr. Joseph Kuziak.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q See that on there? So at the time you received this
- 4 credit card -- either at the time you received this -- this
- 5 credit card charge or when this charge was made, you would
- 6 have received some type of backup, some type of support from
- 7 Mr. Carozza?
- 8 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
- 10 please?
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Mischaracterizes the
- 12 testimony of the witness.
- THE COURT: Well --
- 14 ATTY. VALENTINO: Could I say, Is that
- 15 correct? next, so that he can confirm.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I believe I --
- 17 THE COURT: Let's not waste time about this.
- 18 Do you have any recollection of what you did
- 19 with that entry?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head from
- 21 my previous statement, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. I mean, you indicated
- 23 you don't -- you didn't -- you don't know what you
- 24 did with this.
- So what you're being asked about now is what
- 26 you -- what your practice was, I assume. That's
- what you're actually aiming at?

```
ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor. And I
 1
 2
           asked --
                THE COURT: And I understand the distinction
 3
           between the two. He's saying he doesn't remember
 4
 5
           what he did about it. But what would have -- you
           know, if you followed your practice, what would you
 6
 7
           have done with the Kuziak charge?
                So there's my question to you, Mr. Anthony:
 8
 9
           If you followed your practice your ordinary
10
           practice, what would you have done with the Kuziak
11
           charge when you saw it?
12
                THE WITNESS: I would have requested what the
13
           charge was for from the person making that
14
           charge.
15
                THE COURT:
                            There's the answer.
16
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: And I understand the distinction.
18
           He doesn't remember what he did.
19
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
     BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
20
21
            And just to clarify with respect to mileage
22
     reimbursement to any of the -- any of the officers of the
    UPFFA, would you have requested some type of backup to show
23
24
    how many miles that they put on that vehicle that day that
     they were expecting to be reimbursed for?
25
                ATTY. VALENTINO: That was -- let me ask that
26
27
           again. Withdrawn, your Honor.
```

- 1 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- Q Well, let me ask this: Are you aware of a rule that
- 3 requires you to obtain backup in order to reimburse someone
- 4 for mileage?
- 5 A It has to be related to these -- work under the
- 6 association.
- 7 Q But are you aware of any rule that requires you to get
- 8 a receipt for something that -- that shows that this person
- 9 was in that vicinity at the time he's claiming the mileage?
- 10 A That would be hard to obtain.
- 11 Q Okay. Yesterday Attorney Fishbein asked you if you
- 12 were aware that individuals that lobby and are paid over
- 13 \$3000 for lobbying are required to register with the State of
- 14 Connecticut. Is that correct?
- 15 A Yes, I believe so.
- 16 Q Are you paid by the UPFFA for lobbying?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q What are you paid for by the UPFFA?
- 19 A Duties of the treasurer.
- 20 Q And with respect to reimbursement made by Mr. Carozza
- 21 to the UPFFA, if you found his expenses to be legitimate,
- there'd be no reason for him to reimburse the UPFFA. Is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 THE COURT: And you believe that, having seen
- them now, that all the expenses related to his
- fiance are legitimate? Is that what your -- what

- 1 you want me to understand from what you said?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Continue.
- 4 ATTY. VALENTINO: And that sort of relates to
- 5 my next question, your Honor. Thank you.
- 6 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 7 Q So let me ask you this: Do any other outside
- 8 organizations ever reimburse the UPFFA for Mr. Carozza's
- 9 travel expenses?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do you know off the top of your head what some of
- 12 those organizations would be?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What would those organizations be?
- 15 A The Federation of State and Provincial Fire
- 16 Fighters.
- 17 O Is that one of them or all of them or?
- 18 A That's one of them.
- 19 Q Okay. What would be an example of what type of
- 20 expense they would reimburse the UPFFA for?
- 21 A It could be travel, it could be lodging, it could be
- 22 rentals, car rentals, depending on the scope of his duties
- 23 when he's there.
- Q Okay. So it is possible that the UPFFA was reimbursed
- 25 by one of these organizations for Mr. Carozza or Ms. Taglia's
- 26 travel expenses?
- 27 A It's very possible.

```
THE COURT: What makes you say "very" as
 1
 2
           opposed to just "possible"? Those expenses for the
           question included Ms. Taglia, for instance.
 3
                THE WITNESS: Well, only because, your Honor,
 4
           some of the time frame I believe correlate with
 5
          past conventions or state meetings. So --
 6
                THE COURT: That's why you say very possible?
 7
                THE WITNESS: It's very possible.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Okay. Continue, please.
     BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
10
11
            And so my next question, just to add to that point,
12
    your Honor, is: Are you aware -- I believe you testified to
13
     this fact yesterday, but are you aware of any instances where
    Ms. Taglia has been invited by one of those organizations to
14
15
    attend a convention?
16
                ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
18
          please?
19
                ATTY. FISHBEIN:
                                 Hearsay.
20
                THE COURT: Are you aware of an occasion? You
21
           can answer yes or no whether you are or not aware
22
           of an occasion. As to why or who told you, that
           might get us into hearsay. But we'll see.
23
24
                Are you aware of an occasion as you sit here
25
           today?
26
                THE WITNESS: No.
27
                THE COURT: The answer's no. The problem's
```

- 1 moot, I take it.
- 2 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 3 Q And you had indicated that all records are available
- 4 for any member in good standing. All records of the UPFFA
- 5 are available for any member in good standing. Is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Does that include expense records?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 O Does that include credit card statements?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Does that include budget?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Does that include any other financial documents that a
- member in good standing wanted to see?
- 16 A Yes. Anything in my possession is available to any
- 17 member of the UPFFA who is in good standing is available.
- 18 Q And how would a member go about referring the
- 19 documents?
- 20 A If it's a local member, he'd have to go through his
- 21 president through a phone call or an e-mail. We would set up
- 22 a meeting.
- 23 Q And so they would simply request to see the
- 24 documents?
- 25 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Thank you. We talked a little bit about the
- 27 budget.

- 1 Who -- who approves the budget for the UPFFA?
- 2 A The delegates. The overall budget is approved by the
- 3 delegates.
- 5 THE COURT: What do you mean by that? The
- 6 thing is is there a state convention at which
- delegates vote on an annual budget for the state
- 8 organization? Is that what you mean?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. So it's not, in other
- words, the overall membership doesn't vote.
- Delegates from the locals come together and vote.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
- 14 Exactly.
- THE COURT: Okay. Continue, please.
- 16 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 17 Q Is there a step prior to that where the budget is
- 18 approved by another group?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And what would that group be?
- 21 A There's a whole process.
- Q Okay. What -- what's the process? You can go through
- 23 it for us.
- 24 A I draft up a budget with the finance committee. We go
- 25 through the budget. We make a recommendation to the
- 26 executive board. We go through the budget. The executive
- 27 board approves it. And then we bring it to the delegates.

- 1 Q And you indicated that Mr. Carozza's expenses, travel
- 2 expenses would be a line item on the budget. Is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And once the -- how is the budget presented to the
- 6 delegates at the delegate meeting?
- 7 A It's a PowerPoint presentation. I have my laptop,
- 8 usually hook it up to a projector, put it on a screen. And
- 9 we go through the line items.
- 10 Q Okay. And then how do you determine whether the
- 11 delegates are in approval of the budgets?
- 12 A They -- Pete Carozza -- excuse me -- calls for a vote
- 13 on the budget.
- 14 Q And then what do the delegates do in order to indicate
- 15 that they approve?
- 16 A Either yea, nay, or absentia.
- 17 Q Okay. Can you tell me who James Cottage is?
- 18 A James Cottage.
- 19 Q Or Jimmy Cottage?
- 20 A Yes. He's a past executive board member, district
- 21 vice president, vice president of Local 825.
- 22 Q Okay. So he was the past president of Local 825 and
- 23 an e-board member of UPFFA. Is that correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q So as part of the process of approving the budget,
- it's voted on by the e-board. Correct?
- 27 A Yes.

- 1 Q So Mr. Cottage would have had an opportunity to either
- 2 approve or disapprove or the budget during the e-board
- 3 meeting. Correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And did Mr. Cottage ever attend the delegate's
- 6 meetings?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did he attend the delegates -- any of the
- 9 delegate's meetings where the budget was presented once
- 10 again?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And did he, as a delegate -- did you observe him
- 13 voting once again in approval of any of the budgets?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Were there any other representatives from local union
- 16 825 that you can recall that you've seen at the delegate's
- 17 meetings?
- 18 A Yes. And I remember specific delegate's meetings.
- 20 A I'm sorry?
- 21 Q Who would that individual be or individuals be?
- 22 A Frank Ricci.
- 23 Q So Mr. Ricci was in attendance at the delegate's
- 24 meetings -- at the delegate's meetings where the budgets were
- 25 voted on. Is that correct?
- 26 A Correct.
- Q Because, as I understand it, there are delegate's

- 1 meetings and there are also delegates meetings where the --
- 2 separately there's delegate meetings. It's not -- the budget
- 3 is not voted on every time there's a delegate's meeting.
- 4 Correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. Just want to make that clear. So did you
- 7 personally observe Mr. Ricci raising his hand in approval of
- 8 the budget at any of these meetings?
- 9 A No, I did not.
- 10 O You did not. Okay.
- But he was present for the budgets -- the
- 12 presentation of the budgets at the delegate's meetings?
- 13 A It was unanimous.
- 14 Q Okay. Perfect. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: It was unanimous? What -- are we
- talking about a specific year here?
- 17 ATTY. VALENTINO: I would -- let's -- that's a
- 18 good question.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: What year are you talking about?
- 21 THE WITNESS: The year that -- December, 2015.
- I remember that because we had a delegate's meeting
- in New Haven. And then January 1st, Frank Ricci
- took over as president. Because we had a big
- 25 presentation at the fire -- the fire training
- center in New Haven. That's how I remember all of
- 27 that.

- 1 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 2 Q Is it --
- 3 ATTY. VALENTINO: Sorry, your Honor. Did
- 4 you -- I don't know --
- 5 THE COURT: No. No. Go ahead.
- 6 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 7 Q Do you require an unanimous approval of every budget
- 8 every year?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Okay. You just happen to -- you remember that that
- 11 year in particular it was unanimous?
- 12 A Yes. There was no questions on the -- on the budget.
- 13 There was no assenters on the budget.
- 14 Q Do you recall a time where either Mr. Cottage or Mr.
- 15 Ricci ever brought to your attention an issue with the budget
- 16 prior to December of 2015?
- 17 A Not Mr. Ricci. James Cottage may have brought
- 18 something up in our executive board meetings in the process
- 19 of doing the budget. But I don't recall that.
- 20 Q And if he had raised an issue during the executive
- 21 board meeting, what would have been the result? What actions
- 22 would you have taken?
- 23 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, objection.
- 24 THE COURT: What's the name of the objection?
- 25 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Calls for speculation.
- 26 Unless there's a pattern of conduct.
- 27 THE COURT: Yes. You're going to have to ask

- 1 something a little more specific than that.
- 2 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you.
- 3 THE COURT: What would have happened might
- 4 depend on who was there and what they were
- 5 thinking.
- Now, on the other hand if Rule No. 16.3 says
- 7 that you then would refer to Subcommittee X or
- 8 something. You see the point?
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes.
- 10 THE COURT: Otherwise, asking it in that broad
- 11 way makes it speculative.
- 12 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 13 Q Well, let me ask this: If the budget is not
- 14 unanimously approved by the e-board, what would be your next
- 15 step?
- 16 A It would be -- it would be a majority vote. We'd move
- 17 forward. But usually we work out issues at the table.
- 18 Q And those would be worked out prior to your presenting
- 19 the budget to the delegates?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. You mentioned yesterday that UPFFA retains an
- 22 accountant Mr. Moletta. Is that correct?
- 23 A I'm sorry.
- Q Does the UPFFA retain Michael Moletta as its -- as its
- 25 accountant?
- 26 A Yes.
- 27 Q And does Mr. Moletta or someone from his company

- 1 conduct a yearly audit of the UPFFA's financial documents?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And Mr. Moletta is not a member of the UPFFA. Is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Can you turn to Tab 21, please? And what's the title
- 7 of this document?
- 8 A IAFF local union auditor's report.
- 9 O Thank you.
- 10 Did Mr. Fishbein ask you yesterday whether you
- 11 file this form with the IAFF?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And you indicated that you did not. Is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And what is your understanding as to who is required
- 17 to file the form?
- 18 A Local unions.
- 19 O And is UPFFA a local union?
- 20 A I believe we're considered a state organization.
- 21 Q Thank you.
- 22 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, we're in a
- 23 procedural sort of weird place right now. Because
- I did tender the --
- 25 THE COURT: I was looking for 21. Is it in --
- 26 ATTY. VALENTINO: It's not marked as 21.
- THE COURT: No. It has not been moved in.

- 1 ATTY. FISHBEIN: But given where we are now,
- 2 your Honor, I will offer the --
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Is there any
- 4 objection?
- 5 ATTY. VALENTINO: No, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Twenty-one is a full exhibit.
- 7 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: No further questions, your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Very well. Any redirect?
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 13 Q Sir, the emergency relief fund, how does money get to
- 14 the emergency relief fund?
- 15 A The major contributor right now is called the United
- 16 Ride. The emergency relief fund is the trustee for the
- 17 United Ride.
- 18 O Okay. So the United Ride is a motorcycle ride?
- 19 A Yes. Correct.
- 20 Q And it's in effect a fundraiser?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And what is the -- what do they do other than riding
- 23 motorcycles, what does the United Ride do?
- 24 A It's a -- it's a ride in memoriam of September 11th.
- Q Okay. And that happens on a particular day of the
- 26 year?
- 27 A Yes. They try to run it as close to the date as

- 1 possible. Usually it's a Saturday or a Sunday. It depends
- 2 on the cities. There's a lot that has to go into it. Craig
- 3 Garrity does a heck of a job with that.
- 4 Q Okay. And does it go from a particular city to a
- 5 particular city?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Where does it go to and from?
- 8 A Basically it goes from Norwalk to Stratford. There's,
- 9 like, seven, eight towns, cities that it goes through.
- 10 Q And riders pay money to participate in this?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And then do individuals sponsor those riders and are
- there sponsorship of the event itself?
- 14 A There are some sponsorships, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And on an annual basis, how much is raised to
- 16 the United Ride to go to the emergency fun?
- 17 A Roughly, off the top of my head, around 70, \$75,000.
- 18 Q And if I was going to make a contribution to the
- 19 United Ride, if I wanted to get my Harley out and ride in
- 20 this event, how much would it cost me?
- 21 A I believe it's \$25.
- Q Okay. And about how many riders do you have?
- 23 A I believe this year there was about 12 to 1300
- 24 riders.
- Q Okay. And when they make that payment, they get some
- 26 sort of a receipt because it's a charitable contribution?
- 27 A I believe so. I don't --

- 1 Q Who would handle that?
- 2 A Craig Garrity.
- 3 Q Okay. And who is Craig Garrity? Is he a member of
- 4 the UPFFA?
- 5 A No, he is not.
- 6 Q Okay. He's a fundraiser?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q What's his relationship?
- 9 A He is a -- he's a friend of the organization's. And
- 10 he started the ride right after the incident. We got
- 11 involved because he wanted participation. And the state
- 12 association was a good way to start it. It's the united -- I
- 13 just drew a blank.
- 14 Q It's okay.
- 15 A But there's like -- there's, like, four or five top
- 16 sponsors, UPFFA being one.
- 17 Q No problem. And then the money goes into the
- 18 emergency fund. And I think we talked about this loan
- 19 yesterday. And you were just asked on cross about paying it
- 20 back.
- 21 But who ultimately makes the decision on whether
- 22 or not to take nonprofit funds and authorize the writing of
- 23 the check to the UPFFA to pay the credit card? Who makes
- 24 that determination?
- 25 A That was brought up to the executive board.
- Q Okay. To the executive board of what?
- 27 A The UPFFA, which is, for all intense and purposes, the

- 1 executive board for the emergency relief fund also.
- Q Okay. And you're a member of both entities.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A I am.
- 5 Q And when you accept -- in fact, withdrawn.
- 6 You're the treasurer of both entities. Correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q So when you accept the role as the treasurer of the
- 9 UPFFA, a for-profit entity, the emergency relief fund, a
- 10 nonprofit entity, and the PAC also, because you're the
- 11 treasurer of that, too. Right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Where is your allegiance in your mind?
- 14 A I don't see a differentiation between the three of
- 15 them.
- 16 Q No matter where the money is, it's all one big pot in
- 17 your mind?
- 18 A Well, technically, no.
- 19 Q Well, I'm just trying to get to your role as the
- 20 treasurer.
- 21 A I'm the treasurer. They all come under our
- 22 jurisdiction, my responsibility. But they are three separate
- 23 entities. You're right. You're correct.
- Q And is there a reason why the UPFFA, a for-profit
- entity, wanted to pay off their \$28,000 American Express
- 26 card, it didn't just get a loan, go out and get a loan from a
- 27 bank.

- 1 Is there a reason why that didn't happen?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O And what is that reason?
- 4 A Financial.
- 5 Q Because it didn't have credit or -- or what does that
- 6 mean?
- 7 A It was just -- it was just easier. To take out a
- 8 loan, there's fees involved. There's higher interest rates.
- 9 You know, it was just easier to do it that way. It needed to
- 10 be done in a timely fashion, we did it, you know.
- 11 Q The UPFFA owns a building. Correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And where is that? It's the headquarters in West
- 14 Hartford. Right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And is there a mortgage on that property?
- 17 A No, there is not.
- 18 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I'm going to
- object. That's outside the scope of my cross. I
- 20 didn't mention anything about a mortgage.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, the building isn't the
- 22 question. The question is the expenditure of the
- 23 money. The objection's overruled.
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 26 Q Is there a mortgage on that property in West
- 27 Hartford?

- 1 A No, there is not.
- Q Okay. So what prevented the UPFFA from attempting to
- 3 get a loan secured by the property in West Hartford?
- 4 A Well, it's a business; therefore, it's -- there's a
- 5 higher rate of interest paid back on the loan. And it was
- 6 just expedient to do it the way we did it.
- 7 Q Okay. And when you -- you mentioned that when you
- 8 paid the loan back --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- to the emergency fund, you mentioned that you paid
- 11 interest.
- 12 What was that rate of interest?
- 13 A Two percent.
- 14 Q Okay. And when you authorized the loan from the
- 15 emergency relief fund to the UPFFA --
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q -- who did the closing for the mortgage to secure the
- 18 interest of the emergency relief fund?
- 19 A I'm not following you, counselor.
- 20 Q Was there a closing -- was there a mortgage?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q No?
- 23 A We just -- I just transferred the money.
- 24 Q Oh.
- 25 A I took the money out of the emergency relief fund
- 26 checking account.
- O Okay. Now, how are dues determined?

```
THE COURT: Before we get to that, may I just
 1
 2
           ask a question?
                THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: About this loan, was there a loan
 4
 5
           document, a promise to be paid --
                THE WITNESS: Just our --
 6
 7
                THE COURT: -- by the union.
                THE WITNESS: Just our word from ourselves to
 8
 9
           ourselves.
                THE COURT: Okay. So there's no
10
11
           documentation --
12
                THE WITNESS: No, sir.
                THE COURT: -- about the loan. All right.
13
14
           Continue, please.
    BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
15
16
        O Sir, how are dues determined?
17
                ATTY. VALENTINO: I am -- I'm going to object
18
           to that.
19
                THE COURT: How are dues determined?
20
                ATTY. VALENTINO: That's outside the scope of
21
           cross as well.
                THE COURT: Well, how does it relate to the
22
           cross, the -- see, the problem is that the subject
23
24
           matters are so broad and they're talking about
25
           whether moneys were accounted for, were there
26
           moneys spent on particular subject matters.
27
                How would you connect this to the cross?
```

```
1 ATTY. FISHBEIN: There was plenty of
```

- discussions about the budget, approval of the
- 3 budget, what's on the board's income which should
- 4 be shown on the budget?
- 5 THE COURT: Yes. It's usually pretty hard to
- 6 parse, then, to say it's not within the scope of
- 7 some broad sorts of questions. So I'll have to
- 8 allow it, I think.
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. Thank you, your
- Honor.
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 13 Q Sir, how are dues determined?
- 14 A Through the budget process.
- 15 Q Okay. So explain. So let's bring me through that.
- So when you enter into a potential calendar year,
- 17 you potentially know how many fire fighters are going to come
- 18 under the auspices of the UPFFA. Is it fair to say?
- 19 A Bingo, counselor. Bingo.
- 20 O Okay.
- 21 A That's why there's a provision --
- 22 Q Let's --
- 23 A -- to do it properly.
- Q So you agree with me?
- 25 A Yes.
- Q We'll get to proper doing it.
- 27 A Okay.

- 1 Q Okay. And as part of the budget process, you need to
- 2 ascertain how much money you need during the year?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And that -- okay. And you would then take the number,
- 5 multiply it by another number, to come up with what we need
- 6 for the year.
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Okay. So you would agree with me that an issue of
- 9 disaffiliation in this case impacts upon the finances of all
- 10 of the currently affiliated locals across the state?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 O Because if someone was to find that there was not
- 13 disaffiliation, then perhaps back dues would be owed by New
- 14 Haven. Correct? And that would lower the need for dues of
- 15 the other locals in this future. Is it fair to say?
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, calls for
- 17 speculation.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, I mean, this is something
- that is common sense; that maybe it's more along
- 20 line of argument in the sense that the more money
- 21 they have, the less weight is going to be put on
- 22 any of their locals. So I can take that as simply
- an inference from what we already know. And when
- 24 you have a year where the money goes down, the --
- 25 the income goes down, you have to spread it over
- the remaining people if someone's gone. Right?
- 27 THE WITNESS: Correct.

- 1 THE COURT: That makes their burden a little
- 2 heavier.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: So this is common sense. But I'll
- 5 take that much. But let's go on to something
- 6 else.
- 7 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 8 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 9 O And then, once you're able to establish as to how much
- 10 money is needed, what is the formula to determine the per
- 11 capita for the legislative as opposed to the full members?
- 12 A Total number of members divided into the difference
- 13 between the income and the expenses. And there's your per
- 14 capita increase.
- 15 Q Okay. But are you saying that the amount that is
- 16 required in dues for a full member is the same as a
- 17 legislative member?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A None of the legislative members have questioned that
- 21 or brought that to our attention or argued that at any budget
- 22 approval meeting that we've had in the past. Therefore, the
- 23 burden --
- Q Okay. So just please --
- 25 A Yeah.
- 26 Q Because I was of a different understanding. So let me
- 27 just bring it back a little bit. It was my understanding --

- 1 and please correct me if I'm wrong -- that legislative-only
- 2 members paid less per capita than a full member union -- the
- 3 full service.
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Okay. So I just want to make sure that I was okay
- 6 there. Okay. So you would agree that when the state union
- 7 says to a local that is a full service member local, This is
- 8 how much your dues are, it's a higher number than the
- 9 legislative member union?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Okay. So let's go back to where we were a little bit
- 12 ago.
- How is it determined the difference between the
- 14 fee for full and legislative-only unions; that difference,
- 15 the higher lower, how is that calculated?
- 16 A The increase?
- 17 Q The difference. So let's just say that full members,
- 18 full service members are charged \$10 per capita. That's the
- 19 rate.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Okay. And the legislative-only unions are charged \$7
- 22 per capita.
- 23 A Okay.
- Q What determines the difference of \$3 in those annual
- 25 fees? Is there a formula, calculation, something -- some
- 26 guidelines that you go by?
- 27 A That stuff was determined a long time ago when we went

- 1 to the two-tiered system.
- 2 Q Whether you say "a long time ago" --
- 3 A Years ago. I don't have the exact dates. But, yeah,
- 4 when they went to a two-tiered system.
- 5 Q When you say a long time ago, are we talking the
- 6 1970s?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A Probably in the '90s.
- 10 Q Okay. In the '90s?
- 11 A I believe. Maybe in the early 2000s.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Probably around the 2000s.
- 14 Q And ultimately because every year there's an approval
- of what those dues are going to be. Right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Through the budget process?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q What those particular rates are going to be?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 O Who ascertains that those rates that are charged are
- 22 in conformance with whatever guidelines were created long
- 23 before you were treasurer? Who does that?
- 24 A Counsel, the delegates that vote on it.
- 25 Q Okay. So --
- 26 A The only distinction between full service and
- 27 legislative, counselor, is that full service gets their staff

- 1 reps for -- for grievances, whatever the issue might be.
- 2 Q I know that.
- 3 A Everything else is the same.
- 4 Q Let's just say that next year UPFFA puts out a budget
- 5 that says that full service is \$25 and legislative only is
- 6 \$2. Big difference.
- Where would one go to to ascertain whether or not
- 8 that calculation is in line with what they've always been
- 9 doing on some guidelines?
- 10 A The budget.
- 11 THE COURT: So I'm understanding from what
- 12 you're suggesting here is is that you prepare a
- draft budget. The e-board votes on a draft budget.
- And then that's submitted to the delegates and they
- vote. That's the process. Right?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: And when the e-board adopts a
- 18 budget, it adopts a rate one for the full and one
- for the legislative members. Is that right?
- 20 THE WITNESS: It's the same rate, your
- Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: The per capita amount, I mean.
- The per capita dollar amount, you've made clear, is
- 24 different.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 26 THE COURT: Each year for the legislative and
- 27 full. Right?

THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: So every year they adopt a specific per capita dollar amount for the 3 legislative members and certainly for the full 4 5 members. Is that right? THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So but is that done year by 7 8 year? Is that what I should understand? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And that there is no formula 10 11 somewhere that you apply every year, is there? 12 THE WITNESS: You're correct, your Honor, in 13 your statement. THE COURT: So it's done on a yearly basis. 14 15 I won't call it ad hoc, but it's done without 16 reference to a formula is the point. 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor. Thank 18 you for getting me there. 19 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN: Sir, isn't it true that full service members are 20 21 allowed to vote on certain things that legislative-only 22 members are not? 23 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I'm going to 24 object. It's outside the scope of cross what they 25 can vote on. THE COURT: Overruled. It's just -- it's --26

27

it's in bounds.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 3 Q Okay. In fact, legislative-only members are not
- 4 allowed to vote with regard to executive board decisions?
- 5 A Counsel, they were on the executive board.
- 6 Q Okay. I wish I was on the executive board, because
- 7 maybe it would be straightened out, but I am not.
- 8 A They were on the executive board. They had a seat on
- 9 the executive board, counselor.
- 10 Q Okay. Well, you said I was on the executive board.
- 11 A I said they are on the executive board. I'm sorry.
- 12 Q I think that's what you meant to say, but okay.
- 13 A I said you were on the executive board?
- 14 Q Yes, sir.
- 15 THE COURT: It doesn't matter.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.
- 18 THE COURT: Are there multiple legislative
- 19 members on the e-board?
- THE WITNESS: There's -- no. No, your Honor.
- 21 There's -- there's one legislative
- 22 representative.
- 23 THE COURT: Is that the norm? That's what's
- been followed for years.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: One member? Okay.
- 27 ATTY. FISHBEIN: When your Honor said, Are

- there legislative members, there are no members of
- 2 the legislature on the board.
- 3 THE COURT: No. No. No legislative as in
- 4 legislative-only member representatives --
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay.
- 6 THE COURT: -- on the e-board. There's one
- 7 and there always has been one, as far as you know?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: And are there restrictions on that
- 10 person's right to vote?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 12 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 13 Q Okay. Now, sir, you were asked by Attorney Valentino
- 14 about charges and the backup that you would look at.
- Can you just flip to Exhibit 12, please?
- 16 A Okay. I believe I'm there.
- 17 Q Okay. And before we actually examine the document,
- 18 you already testified as to who Mr. Cottage was. You
- 19 testified during cross.
- Now, Mr. Cottage was the president of Local 825
- 21 prior to Mr. Ricci taking over. Correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And he was also a UPFFA officer. Is that fair to
- 24 say?
- 25 A Fair to say.
- Q He held both offices?
- 27 A Yes.

- 1 Q And who are the -- who's Ferguson?
- 2 A James Ferguson?
- 3 Q Yes.
- 4 A James Ferguson is an attorney that -- that the state
- 5 association retained --
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A -- has on a retainer.
- 8 Q Okay. For what purpose?
- 9 A To assist our locals in items that they may need an
- 10 attorney for.
- 11 Q Okay. And I would -- well, what kind of things would
- 12 a legislative-only union need Mr. Ferguson's services for or
- 13 would you allow those services to occur? What kind of a
- 14 legislative-only union be provided by Mr. Ferguson through
- 15 that retainer through the state union?
- 16 A The retainer gives the full service locals the reduced
- 17 rate. The legislative members usually don't use an attorney.
- 18 And if they do use Attorney Jim Ferguson, they're given --
- 19 they're given the same rate, actually.
- 20 O I thought you said there's a reduced rate?
- 21 A Yeah, but I believe it's the same rate, to tell you
- 22 the truth.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A I misspoke. I'm not exactly sure what Jim Ferguson --
- 25 but he has represented legislative locals in this past, yes.
- 26 Q So he's an attorney that does, what, collective
- 27 bargaining? What does he do?

- 1 A The normal routine of assisting a local in whatever --
- 2 whatever state of mind they're in.
- 3 O Okay. And how about an individual named Wallace?
- 4 A I'm not sure who that is.
- 5 Q Okay. I'm looking at Exhibit 12. I believe it's the
- 6 last page of the -- well, the next-to-the-last page of the
- 7 activity report. It's Bates stamp 30630. No. It's not the
- 8 last page of the exhibit, the last page of the activity
- 9 report.
- The activity report is at the beginning of Exhibit
- 11 12.
- 12 A 30630.
- 13 Q Yep. You see the activity report right at the
- 14 beginning of the exhibit?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q It's, like, the fourth page in, the one, two, three,
- 17 four -- it's the fifth page in.
- 18 A 30630. Okay.
- 19 Q Okay. Then you see an entry towards the bottom, it
- 20 says \$397.65 OOP. Right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. And then to the left of it, it says,
- 23 Miscellaneous expense, Ferguson, Wallace, Cottage.
- 24 A Okay.
- Q Okay. Does that ring a bell as you to who Wallace
- 26 might be?
- 27 A I can't say as I recall, no.

- 1 Q Okay. And what is the state union's policy with
- 2 regard to Mr. Carozza playing golf utilizing state union
- 3 funds?
- 4 A If it's in the line -- if it's in the line of helping
- 5 the association and its members move forward, it's approved.
- 6 I'm not sure I --
- 7 O Okay.
- 8 A -- am catching your --
- 9 Q How do you -- how do you ascertain from -- whether or
- 10 not it is in line with helping the state union and,
- 11 therefore, it's an appropriate expense with regard to golf?
- 12 A Was it a fund -- was it a fundraiser for Ronald
- 13 McDonald -- the Ronald McDonald House? I mean, it could have
- 14 been a couple dozen different fundraisers.
- 15 Q It could have been --
- 16 A I don't know. I'd have to look.
- 17 Q It could have been a lot of things.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q But what is the policy? Is it the policy that it must
- 20 be for an approved fundraising entity? Is that the policy?
- 21 A The policy is, as long as it's association-related
- 22 business, it's approved.
- 23 Q Okay. I'm trying to ascertain what is the policy as
- 24 to determining whether or not it's an -- association-related
- 25 business?
- 26 A Well, counsel, I'm not following you here. If it has
- 27 nothing to do with the association --

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A -- that's not approved.
- 3 Q Okay. So how do you ascertain whether or not it has
- 4 to do with the association?
- 5 A Well, I don't know what the expense was for. What was
- 6 it?
- 7 Q Okay. Well, let's look at towards the end of Exhibit
- 8 12. It's one, two, three, four -- four pages from the end.
- 9 A Four pages from the end.
- 10 Q It's Bates stamped 30645.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Do you see that, sir?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q Well, this document indicates that it's a entry, The
- 15 Country Club of Waterbury.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Okay. Does that ring a bell as to what this event was
- 18 for?
- 19 A In July? No.
- 20 Q No. This is -- well, actually it appears that the
- 21 event happened June 25, 2015. You would agree with me
- 22 there?
- 23 A Apparently, yes.
- Q But for some reason, the charge on the statement is
- 25 July 23, 2015. See that?
- 26 A Yes.
- 27 O Okay. So is it a fundraiser?

- 1 A I don't know, counselor. I would have to go back and
- 2 look. I don't know.
- 3 Q So I've given you the opportunity, sir. This is the
- 4 backup. So what -- what did you look at here?
- 5 A This is the backup?
- 6 Q Yes, sir. These are your documents from the boxes.
- 7 A Okay. Where's the receipt? Where's the receipt?
- 8 Q I don't know, sir.
- 9 A Well, it had to be in the box.
- 10 Q Okay. Well, the receipt appears to be -- this is a
- 11 paid statement, sir. It's on the activity report as an
- 12 OOP?
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q Okay? So it's on the activity report. And now you've
- 15 got the receipt?
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Okay? So what fundraiser was this entry for? It
- 18 doesn't appear to be a fundraiser, does it?
- 19 A I wouldn't say that.
- 20 Q Okay. Well, what indicates here that this was a
- 21 fundraiser.
- 22 A My question is: What indicates it isn't? And I can't
- 23 make that determination looking at the form.
- Q Okay. Similarly, sir, on this same page there's an
- 25 entry for \$214.85.
- Do you see that up there?
- 27 A Yes.

- 1 Q July 2, 2015. Right? Do you have any recollection as
- 2 to what that was for?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q I just want to be -- clarify it's July 2, 2015. I
- 5 might have said '14.
- 6 But you don't have a recollection as to what
- 7 that's for?
- 8 A Counselor, this is -- this statement that I have
- 9 here --
- 10 Q Yes.
- 11 A -- I believe is -- this is from the country club.
- 12 Q Yes, sir.
- 13 A Okay.
- Q Well, that's what it says up top, sir.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you have any reason to believe it's something
- 17 different?
- 18 A I -- I would have something a little different on an
- 19 expense report.
- Q Well, on the expense report, it says, OOP. And it
- 21 says Wallace, Cottage, Ferguson.
- You saw that before.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Yes?
- 25 A Okay. So Jim Cottage was a member of the executive
- 26 board.
- 27 O Okay.

- 1 A Jim Ferguson is involved as a retained attorney.
- 2 Q Sure.
- 3 A So -- I mean, and Wallace, I have no idea who that
- 4 is.
- 5 Q Okay. So Jim Ferguson, this Wallace person, and
- 6 Cottage said one day, Hey, let's go play golf. Who's going
- 7 to pay for it? We'll have the state union pay for it.
- 8 How are you able to tell that it's anything other
- 9 than that when you authorize that expense?
- 10 A Well, I would look at the two names, Ferguson and
- 11 Cottage, and ascertain that that's what it was.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A As a past union president from my local, my fire
- 14 fighters, it was more than once that I went out and did
- 15 that --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A -- to settle some kind of issue.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A Not unheard of.
- 20 O Sure.
- 21 A In the world of business that we live in, it's not
- 22 uncommon to do things to lighten the load and come to some
- 23 type of agreement.
- Q Okay.
- 25 A I don't find any of this out of the ordinary.
- Q And what is the UPFFA's policy with regard to paying
- 27 for alcohol consumed by let's say Mr. Carozza and his guests

- 1 utilizing union dues received by the state union?
- 2 A Counselor, counselor, counselor, we're fire fighters.
- 3 We're not teetotalers. I wouldn't begrudge anybody having a
- 4 drink in the afternoon, giving up their day to go out and
- 5 pursue the interests of the association and its members.
- 6 Q Okay. So there is no policy. We can have -- we can
- 7 drink?
- 8 A If it pertains to the union business, association
- 9 business, I mean, what -- what are we getting at here? We're
- 10 nitpicking at things that are really irrelevant here.
- 11 Q Okay. In the treasurer's handbook --
- 12 A I'm sorry, your Honor.
- 13 Q In the treasurer's handbook that we talked about
- 14 yesterday, is there a provision saying that it's appropriate
- for alcohol to be consumed utilizing state funds?
- 16 A I don't know.
- 17 Q Okay. If we look at the two pages prior to the one we
- 18 were just looking at, Bates stamp 30647 and 30648?
- 19 A 30647 and 48?
- 20 Q Yes. They're just before the one we were just talking
- 21 about that had the 397 entry on it.
- 22 A I had 397 on 30645.
- 23 Q Yep. The two pages just before that.
- 24 A There's one page. There's two pages. I have 30645.
- 25 Q No. You're back were you should -- you were before.
- THE COURT: Two copies of that in here.
- 27 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Oh.

- 1 THE COURT: The exhibit I have, there are two
- pages 30645. And I don't see the -- you're saying
- 3 30647?
- 4 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. It's earlier than
- 6 that, perhaps. Yeah. It's earlier than that.
- 7 There's a duplicate in there.
- 8 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: Then you -- you keep heading back
- and you'll find 30647 and 8 is what your question
- is about?
- 12 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I found it.
- 14 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay. Sorry about that.
- 15 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 16 Q Sir, I was just asking you about that \$214.85 entry.
- 17 What I've just shown you is the receipt for that \$214.85 that
- 18 you've provided in the boxes.
- 19 How are you able to ascertain from this document
- 20 that it was actually a UPFFA event as opposed to let's say
- 21 Mr. Carozza taking Kimberly Taglia to dinner?
- 22 A I don't know. This is Country Club of Waterbury.
- 23 Q And do you happen to know if Mr. Carozza's a member of
- 24 the Waterbury Country Club?
- 25 A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- 27 A Well, let me correct that. I'm not sure it's the

- 1 Country Club of Waterbury. I could be mistaken.
- O Okay. And you testified that you had no way to
- 3 ascertain mileage that was being charged on the activity
- 4 reports. Correct?
- 5 ATTY. VALENTINO: I don't believe that was his
- 6 testimony, your Honor. That's a
- 7 mischaracterization of his testimony.
- 8 THE COURT: Ask the question again, please.
- 9 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 10 Q That he had no way of ascertaining -- well, I'll ask
- 11 the question.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. The question's
- 13 withdrawn.
- 14 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 15 Q So you testified that you had no way of actually
- 16 ascertaining that somebody was going from one place to
- 17 another and therefore charging the mileage. Correct?
- 18 ATTY. VALENTINO: That's the same question,
- 19 your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Well, it's close to the same
- 21 question.
- 22 As I understood the testimony, you get a
- 23 statement from someone saying, I went from West
- Hartford to Waterbury or something. And they tell
- you that they did that. What you've told me, I
- think, is that you don't ride along with him in the
- car to make sure they go.

```
1 THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor.
```

- 2 THE COURT: The only -- the only thing you
- 3 get, really, as I understood what you were saying,
- is their statement that I drove to -- from West
- 5 Hartford to Waterbury. And you'd rely on that. Is
- 6 that fair?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: So that's -- that's how -- that's
- 9 what I understood from his testimony. Do you want
- to follow up on the that?
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 13 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 15 Q So as far as backup, it is possible that you could ask
- 16 a member to perhaps put their odometer reading on the
- 17 document or to take a snapshot of their odometer reading when
- 18 they start their day and they end their day.
- 19 That is possible, is it not?
- 20 A Why would I do that?
- 21 Q Well, maybe to protect the utilization of state union
- 22 dues?
- 23 A Protect utilization?
- Q Yes.
- 25 A I think that's an overstep, counselor.
- 26 Q Okay. So you --
- 27 A My -- in that instance, in my capacity as the

- 1 treasurer, I would have to assume everybody was a crook. I
- 2 don't make that assumption. I don't come from that
- 3 caliber.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I don't believe anybody is a crook until I determine
- 6 that they are a crock. And so far, I have not come across
- 7 anything that would lead me to believe that they're a
- 8 crook.
- 9 Q Well, as the treasurer of the UPFFA, where is your
- 10 allegiance, so to speak. Is it to protect the other members
- of the e-board or to protect the local?
- 12 A It's to protect myself.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A I have to do what's correct.
- 15 Q Right.
- 16 A Get to close -- as close to correct as possible. I'm
- 17 not infallible. I'm sure I -- I know I've made some
- 18 mistakes.
- 19 Q Okay. And you testified, I thought, yesterday about
- 20 the amount of time that you spend lobbying. Right?
- 21 A I believe I misspoke, as you brought it to my
- 22 attention.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A I don't.
- 25 Q And, similarly, we were talking about being able to
- 26 track the members of the e-board and the amount of lobbying
- 27 activities that they engage in. And you said that was

- 1 untrackable basically. Is it fair to say?
- 2 A Yeah. I mean, they're there all day. Sometimes
- 3 they're there -- sometimes they have to sleep there.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A Counsel, you know that when you're in session.
- 6 O And who's Sean Connell or Sean Lennon? Who's Sean
- 7 Lennon?
- 8 A John Lennon's son.
- 9 Q I know. He does have -- yes.
- But is there a member of the UPFFA, a staff
- 11 representative by the name of Sean Lennon?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And how long has Mr. Lennon been in that capacity?
- 14 A Couple -- two years.
- 15 Q Okay. And what is his title?
- 16 A Executive board -- well, he's a staff rep, actually.
- 17 Q Okay. And is he a registered lobbyist?
- 18 A I don't -- I don't know.
- 19 Q Okay. Well, isn't it true that you only have
- 20 Mr. Paeolino, who's an outside lobbyist, and you have Mr.
- 21 Hart, who's an inside lobbyist. And those are the only two
- 22 registered lobbyists on behalf of UPFFA?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So Mr. Lennon is not registered as a
- 25 lobbyist?
- 26 A I don't know if he is or he isn't.
- 27 O Okay.

- 1 A We don't --
- 2 Q You have no reason to believe that he is?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q Okay. And isn't it true that Mr. Lennon from time to
- 5 time will submit a report indicating his lobbying activities
- 6 to you?
- 7 A That he's -- yes, that he's going to -- yes, that he's
- 8 been up at the legislature.
- 9 O And he'll have a number of hours?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q In fact, I saw some entries where it said that, like,
- 14 Pete told him to go there and what he was supposed to get
- 15 paid for lobbying.
- 16 A Well, his staff rate is set. So it's based on per
- 17 diem, so --
- 18 Q Sure. And what is the per diem?
- 19 A Eight hours is \$240.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A It used to be 250, but we had to reduce it. We had a
- 22 suspension.
- 23 Q Okay. So there is a mechanism in place to ascertain
- 24 how much time members of the e-board spend lobbying or
- 25 standing around the capitol?
- 26 A That's depends on legislators.
- Q Okay. But what is the policy and standard of the

- 1 UPFFA with regard to keeping track of those hours?
- 2 A The person that submits them. This is a --
- 3 Q Well, is there a policy that says if you go to the
- 4 capitol and you stand around for hours, you have to submit a
- 5 report. Does it say that?
- 6 A If you want to get paid.
- 7 Q Okay. So only if you're getting paid?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O Okay.
- 10 A I mean, if you're up there with your local --
- 11 Q So only --
- 12 A Excuse me. But if you're up there with your local, I
- 13 wouldn't think that you would submit a per diem to the UPFFA
- 14 for going up there and assisting.
- 15 Q Now, you also talked about that Mr. Carozza at some
- 16 point was reimbursed or the UPFFA was reimbursed from the
- 17 Federal and State Provincial Fire Fighters Association for
- 18 travel expenses?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And when did the delegates authorize the
- 21 loaning, in effect, of state funds to the Provincial for
- 22 reimbursement?
- 23 Why doesn't Mr. Carozza deal directly with them
- and how they get paid when he travels?
- 25 A What would be -- what would -- I don't understand.
- 26 What would be the difference? Why wouldn't we as an
- 27 association -- because there are a lot of -- I'm -- won't use

- 1 that word. But there's a lot of "come-ons" from credit card
- 2 companies where you get credits. Some credit card companies,
- 3 now they say they'll give you back a dollar for every credit
- 4 you get or whatever.
- 5 So why won't we utilize that and have a cache of
- 6 credits on our credit card.
- 7 O Okay. So --
- 8 A We're being reimbursed for it, so --
- 9 O Are we talking the American Express?
- 10 A We could talk that.
- 11 Q What credits does the American Express give you other
- 12 than points? They don't give you any dollars back.
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q The only one that gives dollars back is Discover.
- 15 Correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And the UPFFA has never had a Discover card?
- 18 A That I can't say for sure.
- 19 Q At least within the time that you've been the
- 20 treasurer they haven't had a Discover hard?
- 21 A No. Points.
- 22 Q Points.
- 23 A Points. I stand corrected.
- Q So from your -- from your position, your opinion, it's
- 25 good to use the credit card to make expenditures for other
- 26 individuals so that the UPFFA gets the points.
- Is that what you're saying?

- 1 A What I'm really saying, counselor, is I don't know
- 2 what the difference is. I don't see the value in the other
- 3 way of doing it. I just don't see that.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A It's easier for us, I believe, to do it the way we do
- 6 it.
- 7 O And with regard to the utilization of the points that
- 8 you get from the Amex, what's the policy as to who can use
- 9 those points?
- 10 A They're on our credit card.
- 11 Q Okay. They're on an entity's. Correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q So it's the UPFFA credit card?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q So nobody can go to a hotel and say, I am the UPFFA;
- 16 therefore, redeem my points.
- 17 A Oh, no. If you did it on -- you could through -- if
- 18 you were doing association business, you could.
- 19 Q Okay. So are you saying that when Mr. Carozza makes
- 20 charges on his American Express that is issued to him by the
- 21 UPFFA, paid for by the UPFFA, that he gets to keep the
- 22 points?
- 23 A The association gets the point.
- Q Okay. Well, that's what I was trying to say.
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q That what is the policy with regard to utilization of
- the points?

- 1 A I -- counselor, what policy? Policy for what? I
- 2 mean, what points? The points are -- the points are on a
- 3 credit card. I mean, I guess I could go in and use them to
- 4 pay down the bill. I may do that. I know that in some cases
- 5 there's some -- I guess you can do that.
- 6 Q You testified today, we went through a lot of charges
- 7 for Kimberly Taglia on the documents.
- 8 When did those charges first come to your
- 9 attention?
- 10 A For all intents and purposes, today you showed it to
- 11 me.
- 12 Q And you also testified that these alleged
- 13 reimbursements from the Provincial may very possible have
- 14 been for Kimberly Taglia's travel. Correct?
- 15 A Well, I don't know what they -- I don't know where
- 16 they would have been. They probably would have been for
- 17 Pete. But yeah, I'm not a hundred percent sure.
- 18 Q I think you were specifically asked about the Kimberly
- 19 Taglia charges.
- 20 A Yes. Yeah.
- 21 O So it's impossible. It's not --
- 22 A It's not --
- 23 Q -- it's so far from very possible. It's impossible.
- 24 Because then you would have known about the Kimberly Taglia
- 25 charges way back when when the reimbursement allegedly
- 26 occurred.
- 27 A No. That's not accurate. I should have known about

- 1 them when I got the statement.
- 2 Q Absolutely.
- 3 A We all know that. Absolutely. You're a hundred
- 4 percent right, counselor.
- 5 Q And at the delegate's meeting, sir, when the roll call
- 6 is taken on the budget, when every -- you know, James Adams,
- 7 yea.
- 8 Is that how it goes?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q No. They just have people raise their hand?
- 11 A Say, yea, nay, all those in favor?
- 12 Q They raise hands or is this --
- 13 A Raise your hand, yell yea or nay.
- 14 Q Okay. Well, what is their common practice? Do we
- raise our hands or do we do yea or nay?
- 16 A I don't know. Whatever you feel like that day,
- 17 counselor. I mean, say yea.
- I mean, what's the difference?
- 19 O Well --
- 20 A What's the difference how we approved our budget?
- 21 It's either yea or nay; you're either for it or against it.
- Q Well, which is it?
- 23 A And counselor --
- Q Which is it? It is the oral acceptance --
- 25 A We're talking semantics here, counselor.
- Q Please just listen to the question.
- 27 A Okay.

- 1 Q Are we talking an oral yea or nay or raising of the
- 2 hands? Which is it normally?
- 3 A I don't know. I can't tell you for sure.
- 4 Q Okay. Well, what was it in 2015? You testified that
- 5 you had specific recollection.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Okay.
- 8 A I had specific recollection.
- 9 Q And what happened that time?
- 10 A Raise your hand.
- 11 Q Okay. And you testified that you saw Mr. Cottage
- 12 raise his hand?
- 13 A No. I said -- I said I didn't see Mr. Ricci raise his
- 14 hand, I believe. Because I wasn't looking at everybody. But
- 15 when the nays came out, all those in favor, yea. All those
- 16 against, nay. There were no nays, and there were no
- 17 absentias. That I remember.
- 18 Q Okay. So, sir, when a legislative-only union is a
- 19 delegate to the state convention of the UPFFA, how many
- 20 individuals are they allowed to send on behalf of their local
- 21 to vote?
- 22 A Depends on how many members they have.
- 23 Q Okay. Do you happen to know particularly with regard
- 24 to New Haven how many they would be allowed to send?
- 25 A Well, it depends on what time frame you're talking.
- 26 Q Let's talk about 2001.
- 27 A They probably had 238 members back then. I do

- 1 remember that number, too, because.
- Q Okay. So based upon 238, how many would they be able
- 3 to send?
- 4 A I believe they would have had five members. Lou
- 5 Demici can correct me if I'm wrong.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A You're allowed one member per -- I'd have to go back
- 8 and look.
- 9 O Okay.
- 10 A I believe it would have been five, at least five
- 11 members.
- 12 Q Okay. And are you -- are you aware -- have you had an
- opportunity to read Mr. Ricci's deposition in this case?
- 14 A No, I did not read it.
- 15 Q Okay. So if I was to tell you that Mr. Ricci
- 16 testified that he never voted on any budget, that he never
- 17 raised a hand, would you have any information to the
- 18 contrary?
- 19 A To -- counselor, it's either yes, no, or I abstain.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A If you do none of the three above, you in essence have
- 22 agreed to it.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A I believe that's the way it goes.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fishbein, I'm
- going to have to interrupt now, we're going to have
- 27 to take the luncheon recess. So we'll be in recess

- 1 until 2 p.m. Court's in recess.
- 2 (WHEREUPON THE COURT STANDS IN RECESS.)
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.
- 4 Please be seated. All right.
- 5 Ready to resume with the witness?
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 THE COURT: You may proceed.
- 9 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Anthony.
- 11 A Good afternoon.
- 12 Q What is -- to your knowledge, what is the reason that
- 13 the state union offers two-tiered system for potential locals
- 14 to join them?
- 15 A Some of the locals do a lot of their own
- 16 representation. They have more than enough people on the job
- 17 that are qualified to do the duties of their officers.
- 18 Q Okay. So why not -- at one point you would agree with
- 19 me the only service that the state union offered locals,
- 20 sublocals, was full-service membership. Is it fair to say?
- 21 A Fair to say.
- 22 Q Okay. And at some point a decision was made to offer
- 23 this other low-level service. Is it fair to say?
- 24 A I would say it's -- it's not a -- not technically a
- 25 lower-level service. Everybody gets legislative service.
- 26 Q Sure.
- 27 A And then, yes, but we can -- all intents and purposes

- 1 we can say lower level.
- Q Okay. And that legislative-only service coming into
- 3 being, do you know why that was offered by the state union?
- 4 A Yes. I believe I was involved in that when we went to
- 5 do this, yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And what was the reasoning for offering that
- 7 lower-level service?
- 8 A To bring some of the locals back into the state
- 9 association.
- 10 Q Okay. It's fair to say that some of the larger unions
- 11 around the town -- around the state had not been part of the
- 12 UPFFA, and this was a way to get them to reaffiliate. Is it
- 13 fair to say?
- 14 A To reaffiliate, yes. There wasn't -- eventually I
- 15 don't think there was more than one at that time, possibly.
- 16 Q Okay. So when you say you "don't think there was more
- 17 than one at that time, "which one particularly did you -- you
- 18 remember?
- 19 A Well, Hartford was out, I believe, at that time.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A And then I believe back then, I believe Stamford
- 22 was -- was in. So everybody --
- 23 Q Stamford was what?
- 24 A In.
- 25 Q Okay.
- 26 A So I believe it was Hartford and possibly New Haven
- 27 were -- were unaffiliated at the time.

- 1 Q Okay. And it's your understanding that the UPFFA
- 2 created this lower-level service to bring in or make it more
- 3 desirable for Hartford and New Haven perhaps to reaffiliate.
- 4 Is it fair to say?
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q Okay. And let me just, just so that we're clear: A
- 7 legislative-only member of the UPFFA, the -- well, withdrawn.
- 8 All members of the UPFFA, whether they be full
- 9 members or legislative members, they get legislative
- 10 advocacy, lobbying, the benefit of identifying needs of fire
- 11 fighters in developing legislative remedies.
- You would agree with those things?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q The endorsement of candidates for political office.
- 15 That's something that's given to both classes?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Legislative tracking?
- 18 A All of that.
- 19 Q All members, legislative research and position papers.
- 20 All members get that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Right? PAC fund development and administration is a
- 23 benefit to all members. Correct?
- 24 A I -- I would believe so, yes.
- 25 Q Now, if we put a wall there, is there anything else
- 26 that legislative-only members are entitled to receive, based
- 27 upon that lower-level service?

- 1 A All legislative members get everything. They get --
- Q Okay. So let me just -- let me just ask the question
- 3 again. Okay?
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q Because it's sort of like was a yes or no question.
- 6 Okay.
- 7 I read to you a list of things.
- 8 A Yep.
- 9 O Do legislative-only members get anything in addition
- 10 to what I listed there?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. What particularly do they get? Do they get,
- 13 like, collective bargaining assistance?
- 14 A If they -- if they --
- 15 Q That's a yes or no question.
- Do they get collective bargaining assistance?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. So can you just give me one classification
- 19 other than what I already listed that -- that
- 20 legislative-only members get separate and apart from
- 21 full-service members?
- 22 A General liability insurance for the local.
- Q Okay. They get the ability to purchase general
- 24 liability --
- 25 A It's included.
- Q Okay. So legislative and full-service members are
- 27 given general liability insurance as a result of being

- 1 legislative-only members?
- 2 A Yes. Everybody gets that.
- 3 Q And that's part of them paying their per capita?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Okay. Is there anything else in particular?
- 6 A Yes. Earlier we had talked about the attorneys that
- 7 we have on retainer. They get the reduced rate.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A Yes. If they need -- if they have a case, an
- 10 arbitration case, and they -- they need an arbitrator, they
- 11 can request one of our staff reps, who they will get at a per
- 12 diem and a half per day.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A Which was billed directly to the local, which is a
- 15 great reduction over having to go out and hire a regular
- 16 arbitrator to sit on a panel.
- 17 Q Okay. And those are still things they have to pay for
- 18 separate and apart of their per capita?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And is there anything else that a
- 21 legislative-only member union would receive separate and
- 22 apart from what we've already just discussed?
- 23 A No, nothing comes to mind.
- Q Okay. So let's turn -- let's put that wall up and
- let's go to the full service membership, just to talk about
- 26 the distinction.
- 27 A Okay.

- 1 Q Full-service members get collective bargaining
- 2 support?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And within that they get the preparation and
- 5 presentation of the collective bargaining process from start
- 6 to finish. Is that correct? Yes?
- 7 A Yes. Yes. I -- failed to mention that as a -- all
- 8 members -- legislative members would get a lot of help if
- 9 they requested it. Aside from having the staff rep
- 10 physically be present at their hearing, they would get all
- 11 the background information that we have, yes.
- 12 Q Okay. Well, I thought we were done with legislative
- 13 members --
- 14 A And I'm sorry. I left that out.
- 15 Q But in the collective bargaining realm, a full-service
- 16 membership gets you a staff representative from the state
- 17 union to do the collective bargaining from start to finish.
- 18 A We can say that's correct.
- 19 Q Okay. And that's something the legislative members
- 20 don't get. They don't get a staff rep?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Okay. You agree we me. I just want to make sure.
- 23 Yes?
- 24 A Yeah.
- Q Okay. And also as part of the full service, you get a
- 26 staff negotiator to provide for contract pension impact.
- 27 Bargaining or other bargaining including interest

- 1 arbitration. That's something else that a full-service
- 2 member would get separate and apart from a legislative?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And they would get a labor advocate for the
- 5 arbitration panel also provided at no additional cost to
- 6 them?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Okay. And also a full-service member separate and
- 9 apart from a legislative member would get consultation,
- 10 evaluation, and representation with regard to complaints,
- 11 labor complaints?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And assistance in defense with grievance and grievance
- 14 arbitration?
- 15 A From a staff rep, yes.
- 16 Q Yes. A staff rep compensated by the state union?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q That would deal with or get somebody -- a staff rep to
- 19 defend a member of their local from a prohibitive practice
- 20 complaint?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q They would get assistance and advice to the -- in
- 23 preparation and follow up of worker's compensation cases and
- 24 other labor employment subject areas?
- 25 A To a point on the workmen's comp cases, yes.
- Q Okay. Also as a full-service member, there would be
- 27 somebody assigned -- a director in particular to provide the

- 1 affiliate with research and professional studies on
- 2 contracts, pensions, benefit comparisons, municipality
- 3 comparisons. And they would prepare briefs and position
- 4 papers along those lines.
- 5 That's something else a full-service member would
- 6 get separate and apart from a legislative-service member. Is
- 7 that fair to say?
- 8 A Some of that is fair to say.
- 9 O Okay. Well, what particular portion is not fair to
- 10 say?
- 11 A A lot of research would be -- would be offered to the
- 12 legislative-associated member also.
- 13 Q Okay. Because that's an accumulated data base
- 14 available --
- 15 A Exactly. We pay to have that and -- yes.
- 16 O But if a legislative-service member --
- 17 legislative-only member came to the state union with a
- 18 particular new issue regarding workers' compensation, that
- 19 service would not be available to them as opposed to it would
- 20 be available to the full-service member as far as analysis of
- 21 that?
- 22 A No. They would be -- they would be entitled to that.
- 23 They would never be turned away.
- Q Okay.
- 25 THE COURT: Do you mean that they would be
- given the data that the organization has
- 27 accumulated?

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: When you -- does that mean,
- 3 though, that they would get personal assistance and
- 4 advice as well?
- 5 THE WITNESS: If they -- if they so choose to,
- 6 yes, your Honor. Our staff reps are available to
- 7 assist them, yes.
- 8 THE COURT: For the legislative only?
- 9 THE WITNESS: No. In any issue that -- if
- they requested information on an issue and they
- came and met at our office, we would supply them
- with a staff rep to help them with what they needed
- for that. We wouldn't go physically to the
- hearing. But to help them with the data, we would
- give them all the data that we had.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Please continue.
- 17 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 18 Q And, sir, does the legislative-only member who perhaps
- 19 is desirous of those services, do they have to pay for that
- 20 staff rep to render that service?
- 21 A If they came to the office, no.
- Q Okay. Well, what's the distinction? If the staff rep
- 23 met down the street from the office?
- A No. Probably not. No.
- Q Okay. Do you recall in I believe it was February of
- 26 2012 there was a discussion at a UPFFA board meeting about
- 27 whether or not staff reps should be given to legislative-only

- 1 unions for any purpose.
- 2 Do you recall this discussion?
- 3 A I can't say that I do. But I -- I mean, I wouldn't
- 4 rule it out --
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A -- we had a discussion on it.
- 8 complaints about staff reps being utilized by
- 9 legislative-only members and it was improper.
- 10 Do you remember that?
- 11 A 2012?
- 12 O Yes, sir.
- 13 A I -- I -- counsel, I can't deny it, but I don't recall
- 14 it. I don't recall the incident. I don't recall the --
- Okay. No problem. I don't want you to --
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q -- testify to something you don't know about.
- Now, sir, you were asked about Exhibit 21. If
- 19 you'd turn in the book, please, to Tab 21.
- 20 A Okay. Yes.
- 21 Q And I didn't get the opportunity yesterday to ask you
- 22 about this document. But it's in evidence now. And I think
- 23 you were asked on cross about whether or not you would be
- 24 required to file this document with the IAFF.
- 25 A Yes.
- Q Do you remember that?
- 27 A Yes.

- 1 Q And I think your answer via that particular question
- 2 was you would not be required to. Is that --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. And what is your -- why is that your
- 5 position?
- 6 A I believe a phone call was made. And --
- 7 Q Well, I don't want to talk about what somebody else
- 8 said. But I believe your prior testimony had nothing to do
- 9 with a phone call.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A Because I haven't -- I have not received anything from
- 13 the international requesting this report.
- 14 Q Okay. Because someone hasn't asked for it, then that
- 15 means you're not required to file it, even though there's an
- 16 entry in the national bylaws that says that locals are
- 17 supposed to file this document.
- 18 Is that your position?
- 19 A My position is that this pertains -- this says IAFF
- 20 local unions.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A Local, not a -- state associations. So I have not
- 23 filed it. As I stated earlier -- and I wasn't even really
- 24 aware of it. And I have not received anything from the
- 25 international saying that they have not received it.
- Q Okay.
- 27 A And if it was required, again, under the guise of --

- 1 assuming -- I would assume that they would notify me or the
- 2 association that it needed to be filed because it was not.
- 3 Q Okay. So you would assume that their treasurer would
- 4 actually be reviewing the documents and see whether or not
- 5 the documents were being filed appropriately --
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 O -- and would follow up and that kind of thing.
- 8 Can I turn you to Exhibit 6, please. And you've
- 9 seen Exhibit 6 before. Correct? That's the -- I think this
- 10 hangs on the wall at the UPFFA --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- headquarters.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O And this is the certificate of affiliation that the
- 17 IAFF gave to the UPFFA January 7, 1946. Hangs on the wall?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O Correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, do you have a
- 22 color copy of this?
- THE COURT: No, I don't.
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I believe if you had the
- color copy, it would be easier to read the
- language.
- THE COURT: Well, if you want me just to

| 1 | notice that it says, The institution of a local |
|----|--|
| 2 | fire fighters union, I already have. |
| 3 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay. |
| 4 | THE COURT: So there you go. I assume that's |
| 5 | your point. |
| 6 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. Okay. That |
| 7 | is my point. |
| 8 | I have no further questions of this witness. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Very well. Any recross? |
| 10 | ATTY. VALENTINO: No, your Honor. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Very well. Thank you for your |
| 12 | testimony. You may step down. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Oh. |
| 14 | THE COURT: You're finished at last. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I am done, your Honor? |
| 16 | THE COURT: You're free to go. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 18 | THE COURT: I'd move quickly to the exit, if I |
| 19 | were you. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, your Honor. |
| 21 | (WHEREUPON THE WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Other evidence? |
| 23 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I just wanted to |
| 24 | inquire about your concerns about notice here. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Yes. I had meant to ask |
| 26 | previously. What I had ordered was that a notice |
| 27 | be sent to the international. |

| 1 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: No. I was talking about |
|----|--|
| 2 | different notice. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Oh. |
| 4 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: That |
| 5 | THE COURT: That was that one's on my |
| 6 | mind. |
| 7 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: That's been complied with. |
| 8 | THE COURT: And I wanted I never got an |
| 9 | answer as to because I didn't ask. |
| 10 | That was done, I'm assuming. |
| 11 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. The |
| 12 | electronic filing will reflect I think it was the |
| 13 | next day. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. That's fine. You have |
| 15 | a return receipt? |
| 16 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: I did not actually get the |
| 17 | green, although I've been not been in the office |
| 18 | for a few days now. I did get, however, via e-mail |
| 19 | a notice from the postal system that my mail was |
| 20 | delivered. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Delivered. |
| 22 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. So there's a different |
| 24 | notice issue, though, that you wanted to raise? |
| 25 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. It is the |
| 26 | underlying notice, the claim that Mr. Ricci's |
| 27 | e-mail to the local was not via certified mail, |

| 1 | that that's not because there's a rule that |
|----|---|
| 2 | they're relying upon that says that notice has to |
| 3 | be by certified mail. |
| 4 | We don't think that that's at issue here or an |
| 5 | issue before the Court. Because, clearly, the |
| 6 | e-mail was sent. There was acknowledgment of the |
| 7 | e-mail. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Well, given that there was I |
| 9 | mean, in other words, what you want to say is there |
| 10 | was actual notice. |
| 11 | And, Ms. Valentino, does the state union claim |
| 12 | that failure to comply with the requirement of |
| 13 | certified mail invalidates the the attempt to |
| 14 | disaffiliate? |
| 15 | ATTY. VALENTINO: That is the claim, your |
| 16 | Honor. |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. So knowing that that's |
| 18 | a claim, it would seem to me that it's really a |
| 19 | question of to me it's a question of law as |
| 20 | of on the surface of it at least to me it's a |
| 21 | question of law as to whether I should take that as |
| 22 | to be a provision that would invalidate the |
| 23 | disaffiliation. |
| 24 | Otherwise, Mr. Fishbein, the issue is putting |
| 25 | evidence on that they don't always follow the rules |

or something? I think the last witness conceded

that sometimes they don't. But then the question

26

27

is is: How important is this one? 1 2 So I -- I think this will be a subject -- a good subject for argument and then if there's any 3 case law on point. But that's -- that's my 4 5 reaction to it, if that's what you're wondering. I -- on the surface of it, it would seem to me that 6 7 actual notice is the important thing. But if there 8 is some reason why this particular provision is 9 arguably something that has to be enforced in the most literal sense for some contractual reason or 10 11 something else, then I'd hear the argument. 12 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, can I just --13 I'm not really sure why that has anything to do with the injunction matter. I understand that they 14 15 have to prove on some level that they -- there's a possibility that they might be successful on the 16 17 underlying action. But, I mean, we haven't even 18 answered the complaint yet. 19 So technically that's not even something that's on the table here right now. 20 21 THE COURT: Well, are you going to offer 22 evidence -- are you going to argue that the one reason that the temporary relief should be denied 23 is because they didn't send it a certified mail 24 25 notice? 26 ATTY. VALENTINO: Am I going -- I'm sorry. I

27

didn't --

| 1 | THE COURT: In other words, are are you |
|----|---|
| 2 | going to make an argument here that one reason that |
| 3 | I should deny the relief they're after is because |
| 4 | they didn't send a certify mail notice of |
| 5 | disaffiliation? |
| 6 | ATTY. VALENTINO: No. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Oh. Well, Mr. Fishbein, does that |
| 8 | satisfy you on the point? It's not it's not |
| 9 | it's not going to be an issue that's raised here by |
| 10 | the defense. |
| 11 | So do we need to go into it? |
| 12 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, if if for the |
| 13 | purposes of where we are, that issue does not |
| 14 | pierce or defeat our ability to prove to you |
| 15 | likeliness of success on the merits, I think, for |
| 16 | the purposes of where we are right now, I would not |
| 17 | need that additional testimony. |
| 18 | THE COURT: I think that makes sense because |
| 19 | it's not being raised as a bar to your getting the |
| 20 | relief. So why why address it at all? I'm not |
| 21 | going to address it if nobody's claiming it's a |
| 22 | bar. |
| 23 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay. All right. So then |
| 24 | plaintiff rests. |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Rests. All right. |
| 26 | Does the defense wish to put on evidence? |
| 27 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes, your Honor. |

| Т | | THE | CC | JURT | All | right. | You | may | , peg. | Ln. |
|----|-------|-------|----|------|---------|--------|------|-----|--------|-------|
| 2 | | ATTY | ζ. | VALI | ENTINO: | We'd | like | to | call | Peter |
| 3 | Caroz | zza t | 0. | the | stand, | please | ≘. | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | | | |

- 1 Peter Carozza, of West Hartford,
- 2 Connecticut, having been duly sworn by the court
- 3 officer, testifies as follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 5 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Carozza.
- 6 A Good afternoon.
- 7 Q What is your current position with the UPFFA?
- 8 A I serve as president of the Uniformed Professional
- 9 Fire Fighters of Connecticut.
- 10 Q And how long have you been president?
- 11 A Since 1994.
- 12 Q Mr. Carozza, does the UPFFA have a constitution and
- 13 bylaws?
- 14 A Yes, we do.
- 15 Q Did they have constitution and bylaws in effect during
- 16 the time, let's say, in January of 2016?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did the UPFFA also have a policy manual?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And did it have a policy manual in effect during
- 21 January of 2016?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you know if that policy manual contained procedures
- 24 regarding disaffiliation?
- 25 A It does.
- Q Okay. Are you aware what that procedure for
- 27 disaffiliation would be?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Is that the same procedure for locals to follow if
- 3 they choose to change their disaffiliation status, say, from
- 4 full to legislative or from legislative to full?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor. I
- 7 believe the witness is now testifying about a
- 8 document that is not in evidence.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, I was going to ask if the
- 10 document is in evidence. Is it?
- 11 ATTY. VALENTINO: It's actually --
- 12 THE COURT: The -- the book -- the
- constitution and bylaws were in. But is this
- policy manual, what exhibit is it if it's in? I
- don't recall seeing a policy manual.
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: I may be incorrect, your
- Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: I have an international
- 19 constitutional and bylaws. Is the state --
- 20 ATTY. VALENTINO: I believe it's --
- 21 THE COURT OFFICER: We have a 16.
- 22 ATTY. VALENTINO: -- Exhibit 16.
- THE COURT OFFICER: Yes, 16.
- 24 THE COURT: Pardon?
- 25 ATTY. VALENTINO: I believe it's Exhibit 16.
- THE COURT OFFICER: Yeah.
- 27 THE COURT: Sixteen is which?

| 1 | ATTY. VALENTINO: It's UPFFA's CT policy |
|----|---|
| 2 | manual 1/6/2015. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. I see it. |
| 4 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sixteen that's labeled as a full |
| 6 | exhibit. So are the constitution and bylaws here, |
| 7 | too? I saw the international, but |
| 8 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I I do have a copy of the |
| 9 | constitution and bylaws, your Honor, but I haven't |
| 10 | offered that up yet. I can question Mr. Carozza |
| 11 | THE COURT: So that is not it's agreed |
| 12 | that's not in evidence right? yet. Right? |
| 13 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. So that certainly, if |
| 15 | you're going to rely on any provision in it, it'll |
| 16 | have to be it ought to be put into evidence. So |
| 17 | if you want to take care of that first |
| 18 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Right. |
| 19 | THE COURT: that would be fine. |
| 20 | I assume, Mr. Fishbein, is there is there |
| 21 | an objection to putting the constitution and bylaws |
| 22 | of the state organization in? |
| 23 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: More than likely not. I just |
| 24 | need a date. |
| 25 | THE COURT: You'd like to see the copy, I |
| 26 | would think, so |
| 27 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. |

- 1 ATTY. VALENTINO: May I approach, your Honor?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 3 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you. All right. Is
- 4 this A or B?
- 5 ATTY. VALENTINO: This would be A.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 THE COURT OFFICER: We talked about A --
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: We talked about A.
- 9 THE COURT OFFICER: -- but didn't actually get
- 10 it. Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: So, Ms. Valentino, are you now
- offering Exhibit A, the constitution and bylaws?
- 13 ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor. And I
- do believe there's no objection.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. Any objection to -- to
- 16 Exhibit A?
- 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: No, there's not. Thank you,
- 18 your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: A is a full exhibit. All right.
- 20 So now we have the policy manual in front of
- 21 us. If you have a question about that, you may
- continue.
- 23 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 25 Q If you could turn to Tab 16 for me, please.
- 26 A Attorney Valentino, can you move a little? I'm having
- 27 difficulty hearing you.

- 1 ATTY. VALENTINO: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 2 THE COURT: You can pull that closer to you.
- 3 So you see it how close I am to it? You really
- 4 kind of have to be almost on top of it.
- 5 ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm going to bring my kids
- in here so they can hear people tell me that I'm
- 7 quiet.
- 8 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 9 Q Can you turn to Tab 16, please?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Let me ask you: Are locals provided with a copy of
- 12 the constitution and bylaws and the policies -- policy book
- of the UPFFA, policy manual?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And when are they provided with a copy?
- 16 A Either join -- when they're joining or if there have
- 17 been any changes in this constitution and bylaws or policies,
- 18 they're distributed by the secretary's office.
- 19 Q And do you know whether Local 825 was provided with a
- 20 copy of the constitution and bylaws?
- 21 A Yes. Yes, they were.
- 22 Q What is the process for providing locals with a copy
- 23 of the constitution and bylaws and the policy manual?
- 24 A Either hand delivered or mailed or referred to our
- 25 website in the members resources.
- 26 Q I'm going to ask you to turn in the policy manual --
- 27 I'm going to ask you to turn to page 15, which has a Bates

- 1 number, too, in case you need that.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q First I'm going to ask you what your understanding of
- 4 this provision is? How do the local union members -- local
- 5 unions go about disaffiliating?
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 7 object to the question.
- 8 THE COURT: Well --
- 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: The document speaks for
- 10 itself.
- 11 THE COURT: Let me -- see, I -- you said
- something about whether you were giving page
- numbers in Bates stamp or on the manual. Are you
- on page 15 of the manual?
- 15 ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: The word "disaffiliate" is
- 17 not on this page.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, I'm looking for, in other
- words, if you want to ask a question about the
- 20 provision of the policy manual, you can read it and
- 21 then ask him a question. If you want to ask him
- 22 about something else, you may. But are you asking
- about the document or are you asking about
- something else?
- 25 ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm asking him his
- 26 understanding of how a local disaffiliates from --
- 27 THE COURT: Well, you could ask him his

- 1 understanding, yes.
- 2 ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes. So --
- 3 THE COURT: Which is not the same as reading
- from the manual. You want to know what his -- his
- 5 understanding. And I'll allow that.
- 6 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 7 O What is your understanding of how a local would go
- 8 about disaffiliating from the state union?
- 9 A My understanding is that a local must notify the
- 10 treasurer of the organization by certified mail by September
- 11 1st, I believe it is -- I'm not looking at the document but I
- 12 believe it's September 1st -- to their -- their notice of
- 13 withdrawal from the organization.
- 14 Q And what is your understanding as to why September 1st
- 15 is an important date?
- 16 A We established this policy in order for us to -- when
- 17 I say "us," the organization -- to establish our yearly
- 18 budget. So next year's budget, we would like the information
- 19 available on which local is in, what type of affiliation they
- 20 choose. This helps the finance committee, the treasurer and
- 21 the executive board, to get a better handle on a proposed
- 22 budget to the membership.
- 23 Q And that budget is prepared in what month? I'm sorry.
- 24 A It's allegedly prepared by the treasurer in --
- 25 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Seemed to be
- 26 nonresponsive.
- 27 THE COURT: All right. Continue. You may

- 1 continue your answer.
- 2 THE WITNESS: It appears that the September
- 3 1st date was put in the policy to give the
- 4 opportunity to the treasurer and the finance
- 5 committee to develop a budget to be proposed to the
- 6 executive board in the month of November, followed
- 7 by approval of the delegates in December.
- 8 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 9 Q I'm going to ask you to look at Section 4 Subsection C
- in the policy manual in the same page you're on right now,
- 11 4C.
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 Q Are you sure that the executive board meets in
- 14 November?
- 15 A Yes. The executive board meets -- well, it's kind of
- 16 a work in process. They start in October, continue on to the
- 17 executive board meeting, where they propose. So they may
- 18 very well start in September, work through the month of
- 19 October on the budget proposals, yes.
- 20 Q Okay. But in either case, the number of members the
- 21 union had would be important for the e-board to have --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- in preparing the budget for the upcoming fiscal
- 24 year?
- 25 A Yes. Yes.
- 26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Leading.
- 27 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay.
- 2 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 3 Q Would the information pertaining to the number of
- 4 members the UPFFA has be important in preparing the budget
- 5 for the upcoming fiscal year?
- 6 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Leading.
- 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You'll have to stand
- 8 and use the microphone so that your --
- 9 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Leading.
- 10 THE COURT: -- objection's on -- overruled.
- 11 That one's overruled.
- 12 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
- 13 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 14 Q And so you can answer the question.
- 15 A Can you repeat, please?
- 16 Q Sure. I'll try to get the correct one on the record
- 17 this time. Is the information regarding -- withdrawn.
- 18 Is the number of members the UPFFA has important
- 19 information for the e-board to have in preparing its budget
- 20 for the upcoming fiscal year?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And why would that be important information for the
- e-board to have in preparing the budget?
- 24 A Well, it's assists the e-board in making their
- 25 recommendation on the budget that they're going to propose to
- 26 the delegates.
- Q Mr. Carozza, have you ever had a local union

- 1 disaffiliate from the UPFFA successfully?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O And what union would that be?
- 4 A That would be the Stamford Fire Fighters Local 786, I
- 5 believe.
- 6 Q And did they provide any type of notice to you of
- 7 their intent to disaffiliate?
- 8 A They did.
- 9 O And when was that notice received about?
- 10 A They complied with this provision in the policy
- 11 book.
- 12 Q So would they have -- so did they provide the notice
- 13 by September 1st?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And were they subsequently released from their
- 16 affiliation with UPFFA as a result?
- 17 A Yes, the following year.
- 18 O Is the UPFFA's -- a member of the International
- 19 Association of Fire Fighters or the IAFF?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you sit on any committees for the IAFF?
- 22 A I've participated in a convention committee, but not
- 23 formal committees of the organization, no.
- 24 Q And what was the convention committee entitled, if
- 25 there was one?
- 26 A The 2014 convention of the International Association
- of Fire Fighters, I served as a member of the constitution

- 1 and bylaws committee.
- 2 O What was your role as a member of the committee for
- 3 the constitution and bylaws committee?
- 4 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 5 Relevance.
- 6 ATTY. VALENTINO: I was getting there.
- 7 THE COURT: Are you -- you're going to tell
- 8 me -- if you're going to tell me you're going to
- 9 lay the foundation -- you're laying the foundation
- 10 for its relevance, you may continue.
- 11 ATTY. VALENTINO: Absolutely, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: We'll see where it takes us. In
- other words, it may connect up with something such
- as to explain expenses or something of that
- 15 nature.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: So we'll see where it goes.
- 18 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 19 O And so what was the role as a member of the committee
- 20 for constitution and bylaws?
- 21 A Each committee of the international convention --
- 22 international convention has a number of committees. Each
- 23 district -- there's 16 districts in the IAFF, the
- 24 International Association of Fire Fighters. Each district
- 25 has a seat on each committee.
- As the third district, which is comprised of
- 27 Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire,

- 1 Maine and Vermont, that's the third district, and that's who
- 2 I represented on the committee.
- 3 Q Okay. But what would you be doing while you were on
- 4 the committee?
- 5 A Reviewing any resolutions that were submitted to the
- 6 convention go before the committee.
- 7 O So the name of the committee is the constitution and
- 8 bylaws committee. Correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Leading.
- 11 Mischaracterizes prior evidence.
- 12 ATTY. VALENTINO: He just said that.
- 13 THE COURT: Don't -- don't -- never never
- 14 argue back and forth.
- 15 ATTY. VALENTINO: Sorry.
- THE COURT: The way to make an objection is
- 17 you stand up and state the name of the objection.
- 18 And then, if I need to hear argument, I'll ask.
- 19 ATTY. VALENTINO: I apologize, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: But the -- the objection is
- 21 mischaracterizes his prior testimony and is
- leading.
- 23 He did just say that the job was the
- constitution and bylaws and to consider any
- resolutions that someone may put forward. Now,
- what question would you like to ask after that?
- 27 That's what he said.

- 1 ATTY. VALENTINO: Sure.
- THE COURT: So what else?
- 3 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 4 Q So resolutions --
- 5 THE COURT: About what?
- 6 ATTY. VALENTINO: About what.
- 7 THE COURT: That's the question?
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I believe the question has to
- do with the title of the committee.
- 12 THE COURT: No. No. Both were covered.
- Because he said, Well, what -- the question was:
- What did you do there? He said, Well, we reviewed
- any resolutions that might be put before the
- 16 convention. And then the next question followed.
- 17 And so the question pending, then -- now is:
- 18 What kind of resolutions?
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's the question?
- 20 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 21 O That's the question.
- 22 A Could be a very -- a wide variety of resolutions that
- 23 are submitted from locals from across the United States and
- 24 Canada. Those resolutions are submitted to the general
- 25 president of the international, and he designates what
- 26 committee they're assigned to.
- Q Okay. In 2014 was there a conversation among

- 1 committee members about, say, any provisions of the
- 2 constitution and bylaws?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q I'm going to have you turn to Exhibit 5, please.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q Okay. And that is the constitution and bylaws of the
- 7 IAFF. Is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q I'm going to ask you to turn to page 33. I'm going to
- 10 ask you to look at Section 2, which is entitled, Voluntary
- 11 forfeiture of charter and dissolution of local unions or
- 12 other subordinate bodies.
- 13 Is that correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Was this provision discussed during the 2014
- 16 convention amongst committee members?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Do you have -- based on that discussion, do you have
- 19 an understanding of what this provision -- of what this
- 20 provision means?
- 21 A I do.
- 22 Q And what is your understanding as to what this
- 23 provision pertains to?
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
- 26 please?
- 27 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Document speaks for itself.

| 1 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm asking him his |
|----|---|
| 2 | understanding. |
| 3 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: If he's going to go outside |
| 4 | the document, it would be hearsay. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, the objection is hearsay. |
| 6 | And the objection is that best evidence of what the |
| 7 | document says is what the document says. You're |
| 8 | not you're not going to ask him now about what |
| 9 | the document says? You're going to ask him of his |
| 10 | understanding of it? |
| 11 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm going to ask him about |
| 12 | his understanding based on his presence at a |
| 13 | meeting where this was discussed. |
| 14 | THE COURT: If it influenced his conduct, he |
| 15 | can explain why his what his understanding is to |
| 16 | the extent it influenced his conduct. But as to |
| 17 | what it actually says, I'm just going to read it. |
| 18 | So I'll allow the question for the purpose of him |
| 19 | explaining potentially why he did something in this |
| 20 | case. So you may ask the the question maybe |
| 21 | asked. And the objection is overruled. |
| 22 | You may continue. So the question is what's |
| 23 | his understanding of the provision? |
| 24 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: My understanding of the |
| 26 | provision is that any local in the IAFF who wants |
| 27 | to withdraw their membership from their state |

```
affiliate must -- actually, I retract that -- shall
 1
 2
           conduct a membership vote within 30 days of
           disaffiliation.
 3
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you.
 4
 5
    BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
 6
            I'm going to ask you -- in the same document, I'm
 7
     going to ask you to turn to page 54.
 8
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I'd ask you to
 9
           take notice of the bottom paragraph of the
10
           document.
11
                THE COURT: Page 54 in Exhibit 5?
12
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes.
                                        Correct.
13
                THE COURT: The bottom paragraph.
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes. And --
14
15
                THE COURT: What part?
16
                ATTY. VALENTINO: If he can read the first
17
           sentence aloud to the Court.
                THE COURT: Well, you may read it if you
18
19
           wish.
20
                ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. Either party may be
21
           granted a postponement for a reasonable period of
22
           time of a trial at the discretion of the trial
           board if a showing is made that there is a
23
24
           concurrent court or administrative agency case
           involving substantially the same issues and
25
           parties; that continuation of the trial board may
26
```

prejudice the rights of either party.

27

- 1 THE COURT: I've made note of that language.
- 2 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 3 Q Mr. Carozza, have -- has anyone from Local 825 sent a
- 4 letter to the trial -- trial board of the International
- 5 Association of Fire Fighters requesting a stay of that
- 6 matter?
- 7 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
- 9 please?
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Lacks foundation. How is
- this witness --
- 12 THE COURT: Well, the question is -- if you
- ask it as, Do you know, you can ask the question.
- 14 Does he know?
- 15 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 16 Q Certainly. Do you know?
- 17 THE COURT: You can lay the foundation to
- 18 it.
- 19 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 20 Q Do you know whether anyone from Local 825 has sent a
- 21 letter to the national organization requesting a stay of the
- 22 charges against them while this court action is pending?
- 23 A I do not.
- Q Mr. Carozza, the UPFFA brought charges through the
- 25 IAFF against Messrs. Ricci and Vendetto. Correct?
- 26 A Yes.
- Q And this was done in May of 2018. Correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And yet no letter has been sent that you're aware of
- 3 to the national asking for a stay of those charges. Is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q And is it your understanding that any parties can seek
- 7 a stay of the charges at any time if there's a court action
- 8 pending?
- 9 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 10 THE COURT: Is your fiscal year a calendar
- 11 year?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 15 Q Mr. Carozza, you heard some testimony from Mr. Anthony
- 16 regarding some of your expenses in particular. Correct?
- 17 A I did.
- 18 Q And so in follow up to those questions, do you
- 19 typically submit a monthly expense report to the UPFFA?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q And when you submit those expense reports, do you
- 22 provide receipts and any other backup that would support your
- 23 claim for reimbursement?
- 24 A I do.
- Q And how would you go about submitting those?
- 26 A I either hand deliver -- most -- in -- well, let's
- 27 see. In all cases I hand deliver my monthly expert reports

- 1 to the office.
- Q Okay. What about -- what about receipts, how would
- 3 you go about providing those to Mr. Anthony?
- 4 A All receipts are attached to my expense report for the
- 5 month.
- 6 Q Has Mr. Anthony ever asked you to clarify an item that
- 7 you had on your expense report?
- 8 A There may be -- there may have been an occasion where
- 9 he asked to clarify it, sure.
- 10 Q And then would you subsequently either provide him
- 11 with information or a physical document to support that claim
- 12 for expense?
- 13 A Yeah. The documentation is usually provided. He may
- 14 have had a question concerning, you know, what it was about.
- 15 And I explained it to him and it usually solves the
- 16 problem.
- 17 Q Okay. And you've been reimbursed by UPFFA for your
- 18 travel. Correct?
- 19 A I have.
- 20 Q Okay. Is it true that you've also been reimbursed by
- 21 outside organizations for your travel?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And what organization would typically reimburse you
- 24 for your travel other than the UPFFA?
- 25 A Well, there may be a few of them. The first one that
- 26 comes to mind would be the Federation of State and Provincial
- 27 Fire Fighters.

- 1 Q What are some of the other ones?
- 2 A The NCPERS organization, which is the National
- 3 Conference on Public Employee Requirement Systems.
- 4 Q Are there any others that you can think of?
- 5 A I believe those are the two -- two top ones.
- 6 Q What type of -- what type of travel would they
- 7 reimburse you for. Were these two conventions? What would
- 8 be the purpose of the travel, I should say?
- 9 A For the Federation of State and Provincial Fire
- 10 Fighters, that's an organization that is comprised of the
- 11 leadership of each state and provincial association in the
- 12 United States and Canada. And they would reimburse for hotel
- 13 and travel.
- 14 Q Okay. What is -- so what was the purpose of the --
- 15 the trips that you would take where these companies would --
- 16 A They were meetings of the federation.
- 17 Q And at any of these meetings, would you be conducting
- 18 any sort of the UPFFA business?
- 19 A Absolutely. Our organization is a member of the
- 20 federation. It's outlined in our constitution and bylaws
- 21 that we're members. And we attend their meetings, yes.
- 22 Q Okay. So, as Mr. Anthony testified, any -- any UPFFA
- 23 business that's being conducted if there's an expense that's
- 24 submitted in connection with that UPFFA business, it is
- 25 reimbursable. Correct?
- 26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Leading.
- 27 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 2 Q When you conduct UPFFA business, are you reimbursed by
- 3 UPFFA?
- 4 A When I conduct UPFFA business, am I reimbursed?
- 5 Q By the UPFFA -- when you conduct UPFFA business, are
- 6 you then reimbursed for your expenses by the UPFFA?
- 7 A In some cases, yes.
- 8 Q And when you are reimbursed by these organizations,
- 9 does the reimbursement come directly to you or does it come
- 10 to the UPFFA?
- 11 A It depends. In some cases, it comes to the Uniformed
- 12 Professional Fire Fighters, in some cases it's addressed to
- me personally.
- 14 Q And in the cases when it would be addressed to you
- 15 personally, what would you then do with that reimbursement
- 16 check?
- 17 A I endorse the check and submit it to the treasurer for
- 18 deposit into our organization.
- 19 Q And would that be deposited into the organization --
- 20 into UPFFA's --
- 21 A Uniformed Professional Fire Fighters' account.
- 22 Q General fund?
- 23 A General fund.
- Q Mr. Carozza, in 2014 do you happen to recall how much
- these organizations reimbursed you or the UPFFA?
- 26 A It was a substantial amount. I'm not sure. Let's
- 27 see. I'm not -- I'm not sure of the -- it was a substantial

- 1 amount.
- 2 Q Do you happen to recall in 2015 how much you or the
- 3 UPFFA was reimbursed for your travel expenses?
- 4 A Again, it was a substantial amount.
- 5 Q I'm going to ask the same of 2016 and 2017. Do you
- 6 recall?
- 7 A I do not. I know they were all substantial amounts.
- 8 And I'm just not sure of the amount.
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I'd like to
- approach the witness and provide him with a
- document to refresh his recollection.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. You should identify
- the document that you're going to approach with and
- make sure opposed counsel has seen it.
- 15 ATTY. VALENTINO: Sure. This is a document
- 16 prepared by Mr. Carozza in connection with the
- 17 amounts UPFFA was reimbursed for his travel
- 18 expenses in those years.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, have you supplied it to
- 20 opposing counsel?
- 21 ATTY. VALENTINO: No, I haven't.
- THE COURT: Well, if you're going to show it
- 23 to the witness, opposing counsel has to see it.
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, if I may briefly
- voir dire the witness before he's seen the
- 26 document?
- 27 THE COURT: You may.

- 1 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 2 Q Sir, when did you create this document?
- 3 A Shortly after -- I believe shortly after my deposition
- 4 when you raised questions about the reimbursements.
- 5 Q Okay. And what particularly did you review to create
- 6 this document?
- 7 A I went through the expenses, the so-called boxes that
- 8 Mr. Anthony testified to, and -- and reviewed all the
- 9 receipts.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay. Your Honor, I would
- object to the witness reviewing this document that
- most definitely was created solely for the purposes
- of this litigation.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, if it is being offered to
- refresh his memory, what authority would you say
- 16 prevents him from -- from looking at that document
- 17 which he prepared like notes that he would make for
- 18 the litigation? It's not being offered in
- 19 evidence. It's not evidence of anything. It's a
- 20 question of whether it would refresh his
- 21 recollection.
- 22 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Well, your Honor, I would --
- 23 I'll represent that we did Mr. Carozza's deposition
- over two days. There was no recollection as to any
- reimbursement. And there was no background for
- this document.
- 27 THE COURT: Well, that's just -- that's a

| 1 | different question. In other words, if the issue |
|---|--|
| 2 | is whether that document is evidence, it is not. |
| 3 | If it's a question of whether it refreshes his |
| 4 | memory about some work he did with the records |
| 5 | after has deposition, then what's wrong what's |
| 6 | wrong with that? |

ATTY. FISHBEIN: Because technically Attorney Valentino could ask him about a particular day, establish that he doesn't have a recollection, show him the document, and then have him read it. And then it comes into evidence. So it is being -- it would be potentially in evidence.

THE COURT: Well, it's his testimony, in other words, that comes in. And there's a question whether the document reflects his recollection and refreshes it, in other words. And once you've refreshed someone's recollection, the only thing they have to do is to testify as to their recollection, not something else.

So if what he's doing is saying, Look, I had my deposition, couldn't remember a thing. After that, I went through some boxes of documents and they reminded me about this and they reminded me about that. So I wrote it all down. And then I can't remember it now, although I did write it down. Could I see this? It'll remind me.

And the question is: Is there some legal

| 1 | basis, in other words, is there some rule of |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence that prevents him from refreshing his |
| 3 | memory from that kind of a document? |
| 4 | Because I know what your concerned about is |
| 5 | what he ends up doing is saying, Ah, it refreshes |
| 6 | me. He puts it down. He picks it up. It |
| 7 | refreshes me. It refreshes me. |
| 8 | But this is done. And the question is whether |
| 9 | there's some basis on which to say under the rules |
| 10 | that you can't refresh your memory in the way |
| 11 | described. |
| 12 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Well, I think it's the |
| 13 | fundamental fairness of the evidence rules |
| 14 | themselves. And in our due diligence, we deposed |
| 15 | Mr. Carozza. We asked him to bring all of these |
| 16 | documents to his deposition. He appeared with no |
| 17 | documents at his deposition. |
| 18 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, they were |
| 19 | already provided through a different witness. |
| 20 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Well, Mr. Carozza was unable |
| 21 | to testify as to these issues at his deposition, |
| 22 | which, to save time for the Court, we went through |
| 23 | these things. And now I've got a three-page |
| 24 | document that allegedly there were reimbursements |
| 25 | for. Mr. Anthony was on the stand. |
| 26 | It's just fundamentally not fair. If this |

Court is asked to make a finding --

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, what if he said something |
|----|---|
| 2 | like, you know, what if he were not using the |
| 3 | document at all? What if he said, After my |
| 4 | deposition, I went back through records and now I |
| 5 | have a view on that subject. |
| 6 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: I know |
| 7 | THE COURT: What would be wrong with that? |
| 8 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: If it was me, your Honor, I |
| 9 | would take those statements, I would attach |
| 10 | something to the top of it and say, you know, this |
| 11 | is it. You were testifying at your deposition. |
| 12 | And here's the backup for it and give him the cover |
| 13 | sheet to guide him. |
| 14 | But all we have is the sheets which he created |
| 15 | postlitigation from documents that are not here, |
| 16 | your Honor. |
| 17 | THE COURT: So what you would I would think |
| 18 | that under the rules, the thing that you would have |
| 19 | to argue is that this is not actually refreshing |
| 20 | his memory and that he's testifying from the |
| 21 | document. Otherwise, I'm just looking, you know |
| 22 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: I agree. |
| 23 | THE COURT: just looking at the rules and |
| 24 | trying to apply, as I must, the rule, 6-9 of the |
| 25 | Rules of Evidence. |
| 26 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, just to rebut |
| 27 | what opposing counsel said: There have been plenty |

| 1 | of witnesses, especially Mr. Anthony, who's been |
|---|--|
| 2 | asked to testify as to documents that are not here |
| 3 | We provided over 37,000 pages of documents to |
| 4 | opposing counsel with this information in it. If |
| 5 | they chose not to go through it and figure out the |
| 6 | exact numbers that this gentleman was reimbursed, |
| 7 | then, I mean |

ATTY. FISHBEIN: I would ask your Honor in good faith for two copies of proof of -- there's -- there's a hundred entries on this document -- two copies of proof of reimbursement by that entity.

THE COURT: But why wouldn't that be proper cross-examination, as opposed to the question of whether he gets to put this on? And if you want me to -- if you want to convince me, for instance, that this isn't reliable, then you can do that by cross-examination, by other witnesses, by other evidence, and in argument.

But Rule 6-9 says, Any object or writing may be used by a witness to refresh the witness's memory while testifying.

If he claims that he went after his deposition, researched this thing, and wrote out a bunch of things that now he wants to use to remind him, I don't see how 6-9 doesn't allow for that. You might challenge the sincerity of the testimony that that refreshes his memory. But most likely,

| 1 | as it turns out in these cases usually, the |
|---|--|
| 2 | testimony comes in and then its credibility is |
| 3 | attacked. |

You can attack it in various ways. You can say it's disconnected from the documents. You can probe his memory further.

But it's only being offered to refresh his memory. And 6-9 says any object or writing may be used by a witness to refresh his memory while testifying. I don't see how -- how he can't do that. Your concern is that he can't do it very credibly. And that's kind of a different point.

ATTY. FISHBEIN: If I -- I totally respect, and it appears that your Honor's afforded with discretion in controlling the admissibility of refreshed testimony. So you do have a level of discretion. That's State v. Grimes, 154

Connecticut 314. Specifically the court is vested with the authority to determine whether the witness's recollection needs to be refreshed.

Whether the object or writing will refresh the witness's recollection and whether the witness's recollection has been refreshed.

So if I could just ask perhaps two more questions through the voir dire of this witness with regard to this document?

THE COURT: Well, why don't you do that.

| 1 | Just let me just say that my tendency, if |
|----|--|
| 2 | it's you know, when it's a matter of discretion, |
| 3 | first of all the rule just says you can use any |
| 4 | any document. Then I would rather be in the |
| 5 | business, in other words, of judging the |
| 6 | credibility of the testimony than I would in |
| 7 | saying, You can't have it come in. And if it's |
| 8 | unconnected to anything that is convincing to me, |
| 9 | then, well, it's not going to get much weight. But |
| 10 | if it is convincing, well, then I'll give it |
| 11 | weight. |
| | |

But, I mean, I know exactly and I've seen a hundred times the concern that you're reflecting here. I'm well-familiar with it. And it becomes a question of credibility.

But the rule, on the other hand, just says you can use any document to refresh your memory. So -- or even a picture or a smell is enough in some cases, as the case law points out. So you can pretty much use anything. If I hold up this pen, does that remind you of something? It might remind you of the signals that counsel trained you in in well-preparing for your testimony. But judges -- judges have a tendency to know when that sort of stuff's happening, you see, so....

26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Agreed. Thank you, your 27 Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. So you may ask a
- 2 couple questions.
- 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 BY ATTY. FISHBEIN:
- 5 Q Sir, I -- just so that I'm clear: Your testimony is
- 6 here that in creation of this document that's being offered
- 7 to refresh your recollection that you reviewed reimbursement
- 8 checks from the provincial association in -- in creating this
- 9 document?
- 10 A I reviewed federation of state and provincial
- 11 reimbursements, I reviewed my credit card statements where
- 12 there was a number of credits issued on various charges, and
- 13 I reviewed the reimbursement checks from the NCEPERS
- 14 organization.
- 15 Q Okay. And these are -- those were checks that were in
- 16 your possession or were already deposited?
- 17 A No. Those -- those -- all that information, all those
- 18 expense reports and the checks and the reimbursement were all
- 19 given to the treasurer.
- 20 O Okay. So what did you review in particular with
- 21 regard to those checks to create this document?
- 22 A I reviewed the actual expense report and I reviewed
- 23 the reimbursement check.
- Q Okay.
- 25 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I still find disfavor, but I
- understand evidentiarily[sic] where we are.
- 27 THE COURT: So your objection is noted. But

- for purposes of refreshing the witness's
- 2 recollection, you may approach the witness and show
- 3 him the document.
- 4 The way you do it as you know is just show him
- 5 the document, let him look at it -- first of all,
- 6 you have to ask a specific question for which he --
- and given the time that's lapsed, you probably
- 8 ought to ask one again. So there's a specific
- 9 question. And then he has to indicate by his
- answer that he doesn't remember. And then you have
- 11 to ask the predicate question: Is there anything
- that would refresh your memory?
- Why don't you walk through those again so it's
- 14 framed nicely for us.
- 15 ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. Your Honor, thank you.
- So I'll just ask -- I'll combine all of the
- 17 questions that I asked.
- 18 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 19 Q Which was: Do you recall how much the UPFFA was
- 20 reimbursed by outside organizations for your travel and
- 21 associated expenses in the years 2014, 2015, 2016, and/or
- 22 2017?
- 23 A I do not. I know it was a substantial amount of
- 24 money, I don't recall the exact numbers.
- 25 Q Is there anything that would help you recall?
- 26 A Yes.
- 27 Q And what would that be?

- 1 A The document I prepared after examining the
- 2 documents.
- 3 ATTY. VALENTINO: May I approach the witness?
- 4 THE COURT: All right. So you may approach
- 5 the witness. And then you show him the document.
- 6 And then he can take a look at it. And then you
- 7 take it away from him, come back, and ask him:
- 8 Does that refresh your memory?
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
- 10 THE COURT: All right.
- 11 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 12 Q Mr. Carozza, is it fair to say that in the year
- 13 2014 --
- 14 THE COURT: You should be asking: Does this
- 15 refresh your memory --
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: Right. I'm sorry.
- 17 THE COURT: -- as to the reimbursements?
- 18 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 19 Q Having reviewed the document, did that refresh your
- 20 recollection as to how much the UPFFA was reimbursed by
- 21 outside organizations for your travel expenses?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Would you say, having your recollection refreshed,
- 24 that outside organizations have reimbursed the UPFFA over
- 25 \$5000 during those years?
- 26 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: What's the name of the objection?

```
1 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Are we dealing -- testifying
2 from a document not in evidence.
3 THE COURT: No. No. The question is it
```

5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: We're still on the refreshed

6 memory?

4

9

7 THE COURT: Yeah. So the question now is:

8 Did that refresh your recollection? He says, Yes.

And now -- you could simply ask him: How much were

10 they reimbursed? But if you're afraid that -- you

11 want to -- you want to establish it as more

12 generalized proposition, you're -- you can ask, I

suppose: Is it more than \$5000?

refreshed his memory.

14 ATTY. VALENTINO: Exactly, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Or --

16 ATTY. VALENTINO: I mean, I don't --

17 THE COURT: Just because it's a yes or no,

it's not leading. It doesn't give him the answer

directly. I give emphasis on the word "directly"

20 anyway. So go ahead and ask.

21 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, sir. I'll sustain my

own objection.

23 ATTY. VALENTINO: That will speed things

24 along. Thank you.

25 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:

26 Q Having -- having had your recollection refreshed by

27 the document, do you recall whether the UPFFA was reimbursed

- 1 over \$5000 in the year 2014 by outside organizations for your
- 2 travel and associated expenses?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Same question for the year 2015: Having reviewed this
- 5 document and had your recollection refreshed, is it your
- 6 recollection that the UPFFA was reimbursed over \$5000 that
- 7 year by outside organizations?
- 8 A Yes.
- 10 over \$10,000 during the year 2015?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q The year 2016, same question: Would you say that
- 13 UPFFA -- after having, you know, reviewed the documents would
- 14 you say that the UPFFA was reimbursed over \$10,000 for your
- travel and associated expenses by outside organizations?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And for the years 2017 and the years 2018, is it your
- 18 recollection that the UPFFA was reimbursed over \$5000 for
- 19 your -- by outside organizations for your travel and
- 20 associated expenses?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you -- now, you heard Mr. Anthony testify
- 23 regarding certain -- certain charges to your American Express
- 24 credit card. Correct?
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q And do you recall Mr. Anthony testifying to your using
- 27 your UPFFA American Express card to purchase additional leg

- 1 room on a flight?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And what -- what was the purpose of that purchase?
- 4 A In 2012 I had a major medical situation with my leg.
- 5 In 2013 I had major surgery on my right leg. Against
- 6 doctor's orders of traveling, I wanted to get back to work.
- 7 I informed our executive board that I wanted to get back to
- 8 work. Unfortunately, if available, I'd like to purchase, if
- 9 available, some additional leg room, which they authorized.
- 10 Q Okay. Mr. Carozza, I believe you heard during Mr.
- 11 Anthony's testimony the name Joseph Kuziak. Is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And who is Mr. Kuziak?
- 15 A I'm not sure. Listening to the testimony, I'm not
- 16 sure who he is. But I understand now that he's a father of a
- 17 former Miss Connecticut and --
- 18 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: What's the name of the objection?
- 20 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Lacks foundation.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, he understands that. He's
- saying what's in his head. He is saying he doesn't
- know, he just understands that.
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: If his -- if his
- 25 understanding comes from him sitting in court
- here.
- 27 THE COURT: Yeah, that's -- it's still his

- 1 understanding. In other words, it isn't evidence
- who Mr. Kuziak is. But if the question is: Who
- does he think he is? that's what he's explaining.
- 4 He can say what's in his own head.
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Sure.
- 6 THE COURT: It doesn't make it evidence of who
- 7 Mr. Kuziak is. That's the key distinction, so --
- 8 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you. Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. You may answer the
- 10 question.
- 11 You were saying based upon what you heard in
- 12 court and know -- know he was a father of --
- 13 THE WITNESS: He was the -- is the father of a
- 14 former Miss Connecticut.
- 15 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 16 Q And can you turn to exhibit Tab 17 for me? Are you on
- 17 Tab 17?
- 18 A Seventeen?
- 19 Q Yes. So if you can go to more than halfway throughout
- 20 document. If you can go to Bates Stamp No. 18582.
- 21 A I'm sorry. 185 --
- 22 Q -- 82.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q And if you look at the top of that page, do you see an
- 25 entry or charge, rather, for \$500?
- 26 A Yes.
- 27 Q And to the left of that \$500, do you see the name

- 1 Joseph Kuziak?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Do you recall what that charge was for?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 Q What was the charge for?
- 6 A We were -- our organization was requested to support a
- 7 golf tournament that the Miss Connecticut pageant was
- 8 sponsoring. And the proceeds for that organization -- from
- 9 that fundraising golf tournament were directed towards the
- 10 Children's Miracle Network at the University of Connecticut
- 11 for children with cancer.
- 12 Q Okay. So that -- that was a donation. Correct?
- 13 A It was a contribution to a charitable organization,
- 14 yes.
- 15 Q And would you have provided Mr. Anthony either backup
- 16 or did you provide Mr. Anthony either backup for --
- 17 A I did.
- 18 Q You provided him backup?
- 19 A I did.
- 20 Q Okay. And what was that backup?
- 21 A It was the announcement of the tournament, a golf
- 22 flyer that was submitted with the report.
- 23 Q Okay. If you turn a couple pages farther, literally
- 24 the second page after that, should be 18608.
- 25 A Okay.
- Q A little less than halfway down, there's a charge for
- 27 \$674 and some change.

- 1 A Yeah, 674.26.
- Q And to the left of that, it says, Duke Energy,
- 3 Cincinnati. Correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And do you recall what that charge was for?
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q And what was it for?
- 8 A That was an entertainment expense while we were
- 9 attending the International Association of Fire Fighters'
- 10 convention in Cincinnati. We purchased a number of tickets
- 11 for Connecticut fire fighters who were attending the
- 12 convention. It was for a baseball game.
- 13 Q Would those Connecticut fire fighters, were they UPFFA
- 14 members?
- 15 A They were.
- 16 O Okay. So this purchase is for tickets for the
- 17 entertainment of UPFFA members. Is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And did you provide the backup for that purchase to
- 20 Mr. Anthony?
- 21 A I did.
- 22 Q And what would that backup have been?
- 23 A Probably the ticket stubs or a -- I believe the
- 24 notice. It was a sponsor -- I believe our international was
- 25 sponsoring the ball game. So there was probably a letter
- 26 from the international attached to it also encouraging our
- 27 locals to attend.

- 1 Q We heard some testimony, but I'll just ask you to
- 2 confirm: You used your UPFFA credit card to purchase tickets
- 3 for your fiance. Correct?
- 4 A I did.
- 5 Q And you've indicated that UPFFA has been reimbursed
- 6 some of your travel expenses from outside organizations.
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And would your -- has your fiance's travel expenses
- 10 ever been reimbursed by the same organization?
- 11 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
- 13 please?
- 14 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Lacks foundation. Her travel
- 15 expense --
- 16 ATTY. VALENTINO: Well, I think we already --
- 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: -- by a third party?
- 18 THE COURT: Yeah. But the question is: Does
- 19 he have knowledge of that? So he can state
- whether -- if he had any knowledge, he can answer
- 21 the question.
- 22 So maybe you should phrase it as, Do you know
- 23 whether she -- or her travel was reimbursed?
- 24 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- Q Mr. Carozza, do you know whether your fiance's travel
- 27 expenses were reimbursed by those outside organizations?

- 1 A In some cases they were.
- 2 Q Have you ever reimbursed the UPFFA for any of your
- 3 fiance's travel expenses?
- 4 A I have not. I have --
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. He answered the
- 6 question, I have not.
- 7 THE COURT: The question was: Have you ever
- 8 reimbursed the state organization for your fiance's
- 9 travel? And he said, I have not.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: And then we went on to
- 11 some -- he started talking about --
- 12 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry. What was the --
- 13 I couldn't hear what he said after that, so -- are
- you saying it's not responsive? I don't even know
- what he was going to say.
- 16 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Anything after, I have not,
- is nonresponsive. It's a yes or no. The answer's
- 18 no. Next question. With all due respect.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, what -- what were you
- 20 starting to say, then? Just give me some idea what
- 21 you were --
- 22 THE WITNESS: I was starting to say that --
- 23 what I was going to continue to say that the -- in
- 24 addition to reimbursements by the outside
- 25 organizations --
- 26 THE COURT: Yeah. That's not anything more
- 27 responsive to that question. The answer is, He has

- 1 not done anything.
- 2 And with that, we'll take our afternoon recess
- for 15 minutes. Court's in recess.
- 4 (WHEREUPON THE COURT STANDS IN RECESS.)
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.
- 6 Please be seated.
- Are we ready to resume with the witness?
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
- 10 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 11 Q Mr. Carozza, you heard some testimony from Bob Anthony
- 12 either today or yesterday regarding tickets purchased by you
- 13 for the Trace Atkins concert. Is that correct?
- 14 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: What's the name of the objection,
- 16 please?
- 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Mischaracterizes the
- 18 evidence. I expressly stayed away from the Trace
- 19 Atkins thing. Never asked about it.
- THE COURT: Well, I heard the name.
- 21 ATTY. VALENTINO: Oh. I apologize.
- 22 THE COURT: I didn't -- the next -- the only
- thing I did hear at some point.
- 24 ATTY. FISHBEIN: I purposely stayed away from
- it, your Honor.
- 26 ATTY. VALENTINO: I can -- I can rephrase,
- then.

- 1 THE COURT: Well, ask -- ask a different
- 2 question, then.
- 3 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 4 Q Did you hear Mr. Anthony testify again either today or
- 5 yesterday regarding a purchase made by you for Trace Atkins
- 6 tickets?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And do you recall the purchase of those tickets?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q And what was that purchase in connection with?
- 11 A Again, it was a donation to a charitable organization.
- 12 It was the Wounded Warriors project. It was a request by a
- 13 New Haven fire fighter to support the event. We purchased
- 14 some tickets. We did not attend but we offered the tickets
- 15 for them to distribute.
- 16 O You offered the tickets to whom?
- 17 A To the -- to the event to the Wounded Warriors.
- 18 Q And the request was made by a member of -- you said
- 19 New Haven, the Local 825?
- 20 A Local 825, yes.
- 21 Q The plaintiff in this action?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Do you recall who that individual was?
- 24 A I do not. No, I do not.
- Q Mr. Carozza, as you're aware, the UPFFA filed charges
- 26 with the International Association of Fire Fighters against
- 27 Messrs. Ricci and Vendetto. Correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And, again, that took place in May of 2018.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And what was the basis for those charges?
- 6 A Violation of the constitution and bylaws of the
- 7 International Association of Fire Fighters.
- 8 Q And is it your understanding that Local 825 is a
- 9 member of the International Association of Fire Fighters?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q We heard Mr. Ricci testify yesterday that Local 825
- 12 will be holding an election I believe he said next week for
- 13 the officers of Local 825.
- 14 Are you -- do you have any knowledge as to --
- 15 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 16 Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether Mr. Vendetto
- 17 intends to run?
- 18 A It is --
- 19 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection. Relevance.
- 20 THE COURT: Will you explain what relevance
- 21 you claim Mr. Vendetto running would have to this
- 22 proceeding?
- 23 ATTY. VALENTINO: Well, one of the claims, the
- irreparable harm that the plaintiff is claiming, is
- 25 that the officers could potentially be kicked out
- of their officer positions. And if Mr. Vendetto is
- 27 not running for his vice president position next

| 1 | week |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Oh. |
| 3 | ATTY. VALENTINO: then certainly that |
| 4 | that establishes that that's not a concern. |
| 5 | THE COURT: That's something that has been |
| 6 | raised, so |
| 7 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: It is something that's been |
| 8 | raised; but to that end, it lacks foundation |
| 9 | THE COURT: Well |
| 10 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: the basis of his |
| 11 | knowledge, anything like that. I mean, Mr. |
| 12 | Vendetto was on the stand yesterday, if I recall |
| 13 | correctly. And this never came up. So certainly |
| 14 | they had their opportunity. But I don't know if |
| 15 | it's proper coming through this witness. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, it depends. I mean, if |
| 17 | he the first question should be, Does he know? |
| 18 | And was that what you were asking? |
| 19 | ATTY. VALENTINO: That was the question, your |
| 20 | Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: So let's I'm allow the |
| 22 | question: Do you know whether he's running? And |
| 23 | then maybe there'd have to be some other |
| 24 | foundational questions. But I'm not sure here. |
| 25 | Anyway, you may ask the question. |
| 26 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 27 | BY ATTY. VALENTINO: |

- 1 Q Do you have any knowledge of whether Mr. Vendetto is
- 2 seeking re-election as vice president of Local 825 next
- 3 week?
- 4 A It is my understanding he is not a candidate for
- 5 re-election.
- 6 Q Mr. Carozza, we also heard testimony from Mr. Ricci
- 7 that -- I believe we heard testimony from Mr. Ricci yesterday
- 8 that he is seeking re-election of his position as president
- 9 of Local 825.
- 10 Is that your understanding?
- 11 A It is.
- 12 Q Do you have an understanding as to how many
- individuals are running against Mr. Ricci?
- 14 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor. Lacks
- 15 foundation once again.
- THE COURT: Well, the only thing I'll allow is
- a yes or no answer to that.
- 18 So do you have any knowledge of that? And you
- must answer it only yes or no.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. So that doesn't allow
- him to answer the whole question. If there's some
- 23 other foundational question as to the source of his
- knowledge you want to ask, go ahead. But,
- otherwise, you have to lay some foundation.
- 26 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- Q Well, let me ask this: Are you aware of anyone

| 1 | running against Mr. Ricci in this election next week? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: He said yes, so |
| 3 | ATTY. VALENTINO: So my question was: Did he |
| 4 | have knowledge about |
| 5 | THE COURT: a particular person? Is that |
| 6 | what you want to ask? He says he's aware other |
| 7 | people are running against him, I thought. |
| 8 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I I didn't |
| 9 | THE COURT: The answer was, yes, he has some |
| 10 | knowledge on that subject. Oh, maybe the point is |
| 11 | in other words, does he have knowledge one way or |
| 12 | the other? And now you're asking |
| 13 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Exactly. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. So yes so but you |
| 15 | need to ask how he knows this, I think is the |
| 16 | point. |
| 17 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: But can I just get to the |
| 18 | chase here, your Honor, on relevance with this |
| 19 | witness? Mr. Ricci testified he's in a contested |
| 20 | election. So, you know, I don't know that this is |
| 21 | probative of anything before the before the |
| 22 | Court other than what's already before the Court. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, you can stipulate that he's |
| 24 | in a contested election. Is that that's |
| 25 | ATTY. VALENTINO: If Attorney Fishbein would |
| 26 | like to stipulate to that. |
| 27 | THE COURT: That's |

- 1 ATTY. FISHBEIN: That was the testimony
- 2 yesterday.
- 3 THE COURT: Fine. But if you think -- I'll
- 4 ask him whether -- to handicap the race, I don't
- 5 think that you're going to get to be able to do
- 6 that. Because that would be what we call
- 7 "speculation."
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: Of course, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 10 ATTY. VALENTINO: Thank you.
- 11 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 12 Q Mr. Carozza, do you have any knowledge as to the
- 13 procedure for -- for the charges that are before the national
- 14 against Mesers. Ricci and Vendetto?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And as we established, charges were filed in May of
- 17 2018. Correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Has anything -- has the IAFF taken any additional
- 20 steps with respect to the charges since May of 2018?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And what steps has the IAFF taken?
- 23 A There's a procedure set forth in the constitution and
- 24 bylaws.
- Do you want me to explain it?
- 26 Q Sure.
- 27 A The international, through a computerized list,

- 1 establishes seven -- randomly picks seven members of the IAFF
- 2 in the State of Connecticut. Those names are submitted to
- 3 the charging parties. The charging party has to delete two
- 4 names. We turn it back to the IAFF.
- 5 And the next step is for the receiving party or
- 6 the charged party to delete two names from that list, notify
- 7 the international, and then the remaining three names will be
- 8 the trial board.
- 9 O Okay.
- 10 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, perhaps we can --
- 11 (PAUSE.)
- 12 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I think we're
- prepared to stipulate to a portion of the procedure
- that the witness, with all due respect, skipped
- over.
- 16 THE COURT: That's fine. What is it?
- 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: That is after the charges are
- 18 filed, they are sent to the respondent. And both
- 19 parties are able to submit their responses to the
- 20 charges, which are reviewed by a pretrial review
- 21 board by a probable cause standard. And that
- 22 already occurred.
- 23 And I think in evidence, your Honor, is -- I
- think it's Exhibit 4.
- THE COURT: Well, that's the one as I recall
- said something about that there were disputed
- issues of fact.

| 1 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes. Actually, it's Exhibit |
|----|--|
| 2 | 3, your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Yeah. And that it was going to |
| 4 | mean that it was going forward. |
| 5 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct, your Honor. |
| 6 | THE COURT: That's what I understood. So all |
| 7 | right. I understand that's I was confused about |
| 8 | one thing, which is randomly picking seven members |
| 9 | from Connecticut. Now |
| 10 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: We are not allowed to be |
| 11 | to view that. |
| 12 | THE COURT: No. No. I'm just trying to ask a |
| 13 | different question, I think, which is: It's random |
| 14 | pick of any union member? Or is it delegates or |
| 15 | anything? It's just some poor person who's out |
| 16 | there as a fire fighter who doesn't want anything |
| 17 | to do with this sort of thing gets picked out of a |
| 18 | hat and could have been on the job for three days |
| 19 | and he's going to sit on the board? That's how it |
| 20 | works? |
| 21 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I guess |
| 22 | THE COURT: Or is it the parties, I mean, |
| 23 | you know, if you can stipulate, that's it's |
| 24 | it's just any union member? It's not delegates, |
| 25 | officers, or locals or anything else? Is that |
| 26 | right? |
| 27 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, on its face |

| 1 | that's what it appears to be. But we were not |
|----|---|
| 2 | allowed to be part of any of that selection |
| 3 | process. |
| 4 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm sorry. But |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, that's what I understand is |
| 6 | that the international randomly picks seven from |
| 7 | across the state. |
| 8 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: But we don't know |
| 9 | THE COURT: And you're saying you don't know |
| 10 | whether they were picking the right list or |
| 11 | something. Is that your point? |
| 12 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: That's right. We don't know |
| 13 | whether all the names are put into a hopper or |
| 14 | perhaps certain people that are involved in this |
| 15 | case aren't allowed to select or we don't know, |
| 16 | so |
| 17 | ATTY. VALENTINO: But to clarify, that list |
| 18 | has been sent to Local 825 so they can review the |
| 19 | list, and they can choose to either dispute it |
| 20 | or |
| 21 | THE COURT: The seven |
| 22 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Seven. |
| 23 | THE COURT: are sent, not the pool from |
| 24 | which they're chosen |
| 25 | ATTY. VALENTINO: That's correct. |
| 26 | THE COURT: of all members. |
| 27 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Not the thousands, the |

| 1 | seven. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. The thousands, do the |
| 3 | parties have a stipulation as to where the |
| 4 | thousands come from? |
| 5 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I honestly can't speak to |
| 6 | that, your Honor. I think that might be a question |
| 7 | that's better put to Mr. Carozza. |
| 8 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I will represent |
| 9 | that I have put the IAFF on notice that I represent |
| LO | Mr. Ricci and Mr. Vendetto. Unfortunately, they, |
| L1 | for whatever reason, have ignored my appearance. I |
| L2 | do have an e-mail from their counsel recognizing |
| L3 | receipt of our written response. I know that it's |
| L4 | mentioned. But every correspondence since that |
| L5 | time has not been sent to me. |
| L6 | So I know right in the rules it says that they |
| L7 | discourage utilization of outside legal counsel in |
| L8 | these matters. So I can only generally tell you, |
| L9 | based upon what's in these letters, what's going |
| 20 | on. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. But there would have |
| 22 | to be some sort of if anyone wants the answer to |
| 23 | that, they're going to have a witness with |
| 24 | knowledge. My question being: How is the list of |

27 And so we don't have any evidence and there's

purposes of this selection?

25

26

total union members in Connecticut formed for

```
no stipulation. Let's just -- let's leave that.
 1
 2
           That's the point.
               ATTY. FISHBEIN: I just -- you know, perhaps
 3
           we can get to Exhibit 4, which is the present
 4
 5
           status of the case.
                THE COURT: Yeah. I saw it was scheduled for
 6
 7
           some sort of hearing.
 8
               ATTY. FISHBEIN: Well, this was issued on the
 9
           26th, November 26th. And essentially of the seven
          names, the UPFFA have stricken their two. And by
10
11
           this coming Monday, I believe it is, the IAFF is
12
           supposed to strike their two from the list.
               ATTY. VALENTINO: Local 825, you mean?
13
               ATTY. FISHBEIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Well,
14
15
           IAFF/825. So Local 825.
                                     I'm sorry.
16
               ATTY. VALENTINO: Yeah.
17
               THE COURT: Well --
18
               ATTY. VALENTINO: Just to let you know.
19
               ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. Would -- is
           required to strike their two. And then the -- the
20
21
           jury is selected. So that's where we are. And the
22
           trial is supposed to happen posthaste.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. There's not a date?
24
               ATTY. FISHBEIN: No, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right.
25
               ATTY. VALENTINO: But I -- I don't know --
26
```

ATTY. FISHBEIN: It's within 120 days of

| 1 | October 25th, which was the date of the letter from |
|----|---|
| 2 | the pretrial review board. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 4 | ATTY. VALENTINO: That's correct. |
| 5 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: But that could be next week |
| 6 | within 120 days. |
| 7 | THE COURT: All right. In any case, I have |
| 8 | the stipulations with respect to the procedures. |
| 9 | Are there any other stipulations or are we |
| 10 | ready to go on to another question? |
| 11 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Well, just with respect to |
| 12 | that, your Honor, opposing counsel just mentioned |
| 13 | that could be next week, that could be I believe |
| 14 | the end of the 120 days is I think it's January |
| 15 | 22nd of 2019. |
| 16 | And the reason why I'm even raising this is |
| 17 | because they've claimed in their motion that this |
| 18 | possess of the charges could inconvenience them for |
| 19 | months. And it appears that we are very close to a |
| 20 | resolution on those charges. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. Let's save the rest of |
| 22 | this for argument. |
| 23 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. |
| 24 | THE COURT: If you have other evidence that |
| 25 | you wish to introduce through this witness, you may |
| 26 | proceed. |

ATTY. VALENTINO: I --

- 1 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 2 Q Well, Mr. Carozza --
- 3 ATTY. VALENTINO: Well, I should ask your
- 4 Honor if I can proceed to ask Mr. Carozza if he has
- 5 any knowledge about the -- how the pool is
- 6 selected. May I ask him that?
- 7 THE COURT: Oh. If he -- you can ask him
- 8 that. That's a yes or no question.
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay.
- 10 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 11 Q Do you have any knowledge as to how the pool of
- 12 members is selected by the IAFF?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, what's the basis of that
- 15 knowledge? That's the foundation question.
- 16 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 17 Q What is the basis of that knowledge?
- 18 A The constitution and bylaws and the communications
- 19 that we have received from the international.
- 20 THE COURT: This state organization that
- 21 you're president of provide them the list of the
- 22 members for the state?
- 23 THE WITNESS: We did not. They had that list
- in Washington.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. So the -- in any case,
- your organization wasn't the source for that pool.
- 27 Is that --

| 1 | THE WITNESS: No. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: correct? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 4 | THE COURT: That's something I'd want to know |
| 5 | anyway. All right. |
| 6 | You may continue your questions. |
| 7 | Did they give you that list? |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I have not seen a list. They |
| 9 | have not given a list, no. |
| 10 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm sorry, your Honor, of |
| 11 | the list of |
| 12 | THE COURT: The list of union members |
| 13 | throughout the State of Connecticut from whom they |
| 14 | are drawing the seven drew the seven names. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. |
| 16 | THE COURT: That's what I'm asking. |
| 17 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Oh. |
| 18 | THE COURT: So he's saying he's never seen it |
| 19 | and they didn't get the information from them |
| 20 | from this from the state union. That's what |
| 21 | he's saying. He's said they had it they got it |
| 22 | in Washington somehow. |
| 23 | Is that what you're saying, Mr. Carozza? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct, your Honor. |
| 25 | THE COURT: And did they get it in Washington |
| 26 | because you gave it to them previously? |
| 27 | THE WITNESS: They have a list in Washington |

| 1 | of the entire membership of the international: the |
|----|--|
| 2 | United States and Canada. So we didn't we |
| 3 | didn't send them a list two weeks ago. |
| 4 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: They have a list of |
| 6 | membership |
| 7 | THE COURT: You can consider asking questions |
| 8 | on cross about this. |
| 9 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Okay. I didn't because |
| 10 | your Honor was asking the questions, I just I |
| 11 | think the last question along this line would be: |
| 12 | Isn't it possible that they got the list in |
| 13 | Washington from the UPFFA? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Well, that's what I asked. And he |
| 15 | said, not recently. Put it that way. All right. |
| 16 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Exactly. |
| 17 | ATTY. VALENTINO: A list of all of the |
| 18 | members? I'm I guess I'm confused now. A list |
| 19 | of all of the the entire pool of whom they |
| 20 | would |
| 21 | THE COURT: So, as I understand it, in other |
| 22 | words, the locals are members of international. |
| 23 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct. |
| 24 | THE COURT: And they may affiliate with the |
| 25 | state or not. |
| 26 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Correct. |
| 27 | THE COURT: They're members of international. |

- 1 And then how does the international get the
- 2 membership lists? From the locals? Or from the
- 3 state organization? I don't know the answer to
- 4 that.
- 5 ATTY. VALENTINO: The IAFF membership list or
- 6 the --
- 7 THE COURT: The list of all IAFF members in
- 8 Connecticut. How do they get it? From the IAFF
- 9 locals? Or from the state organization Mr.
- 10 Carozza's the president of?
- 11 ATTY. VALENTINO: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 13 Q So to be clear, you have received -- did you receive
- 14 the list of the seven members and already take the
- opportunity to cross off two names from that list?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you submitted that list with the two crossed-off
- 18 members to the international association. Correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O Okay.
- 21 ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I'd like to have
- 22 two exhibits moved into evidence as full exhibits.
- 23 And my understanding is opposing counsel does not
- have an objection to either of them.
- THE COURT: What are they?
- 26 ATTY. VALENTINO: We didn't -- I mean, we can
- 27 mark them as one exhibit, one composite exhibit,

- 1 your Honor. It's a letter dated November 21, 2017,
- from Mr. Louis Demici to the -- yes, to the
- 3 international association; and a letter dated
- 4 January 5, 2018, from the -- from the national
- 5 organization to Mr. Demici --
- 6 THE COURT: A response?
- 7 ATTY. VALENTINO: -- in response.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Is this B, then?
- 9 ATTY. VALENTINO: This should be B, your
- Honor.
- 11 THE COURT OFFICER: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Has it been marked B?
- 13 ATTY. VALENTINO: It has not been marked.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. You may approach the
- 15 clerk to get it marked B.
- 16 And is there any objection to its admission?
- 17 ATTY. FISHBEIN: No, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Assuming there's no objection to
- its admission, B is a full exhibit.
- 20 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 21 Q Mr. Carozza, we talked a little bit about the -- your
- 22 understanding of the national provision regarding
- 23 disaffiliation of a local from a state or provincial
- 24 association. Correct?
- 25 A Yes.
- Q And with respect to that provision is your
- 27 understanding that the -- is your understanding that the

- 1 procedure requires the local to send a letter to the national
- 2 organization?
- 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the
- 5 question clearly. Is it -- continue please.
- 6 ATTY. VALENTINO: I asked whether Mr.
- 7 Carozza's understanding of the national provision
- 8 regarding disaffiliation would that be local union
- 9 has to send a letter to the national
- 10 organization.
- 11 THE COURT: And the -- you're objecting. And
- the name of the objection is?
- 13 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Document speaks for itself.
- 14 His understanding is irrelevant.
- THE COURT: Well, to the extent it's governed
- 16 his conduct, it's relevant. It can't be offered to
- 17 prove what the requirements are. It has no bearing
- on what the requirements are. But if in his mind
- 19 he thinks something means something and he acts on
- it, he can testify to that. But it has no bearing
- 21 on the question of what actually is required if --
- 22 the document says what it says. I mean, that's --
- in other words, as to what's required and if the
- document says green, he can say red all he wants.
- 25 If he's wrong -- but the only reason he could say
- it is to explain why is he taking a position that
- he's taken on certain thing. But he can do that

- 1 much. It doesn't have any bearing necessarily at
- 2 all on what was actually required, so --
- 3 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: So I'll allow him to answer it.
- 5 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, may I just
- 6 approach to staple the exhibit?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm not sure whether he
- 9 answered at this point.
- 10 BY ATTY. VALENTINO:
- 11 Q Is your understanding of the national provision that
- 12 we spoke about earlier regarding disaffiliation, is your
- 13 understanding that a local union must send a letter to the
- 14 national organization notifying them of their intent to
- 15 disaffiliate from the state union?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And to your knowledge, was -- did Local 825 send a
- 18 letter to the international association saying that they
- 19 intended to disaffiliate from UPFFA?
- 20 A They did not.
- 21 Q So would you say they didn't correctly follow the
- 22 procedure?
- 23 ATTY. FISHBEIN: Objection, your Honor. Calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- THE COURT: And it's leading. Sustained.
- I'll -- I mean, he can say his claim, he claims
- they didn't follow the procedure. So that's what

| 1 | his claim is. So I already have that from his |
|----|---|
| 2 | statement. But all right. |
| 3 | Go on to something new, please. |
| 4 | ATTY. VALENTINO: May I approach, your Honor? |
| 5 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 6 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, and just for the |
| 7 | information of the Court, I don't believe that I |
| 8 | can conclude by 5 p.m. today. So I intend to call |
| 9 | another witness after Mr. Carozza. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well, my intention was to continue |
| 11 | until 4:30 unless the parties desire otherwise. |
| 12 | Is there any reason do you have enough |
| 13 | questions to keep you going until 4:30 with this |
| 14 | witness? |
| 15 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Yes, I do, your Honor. |
| 16 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, my only problem |
| 17 | is that Mr. Carozza travels all the time. And |
| 18 | we're going to be getting a new date. And I don't |
| 19 | want to be hearing that, you know, I'm hamstrung |
| 20 | from doing cross with this witness because of his |
| 21 | travel schedule. |
| 22 | So if your Honor would order that Mr. |
| 23 | Carozza's travel schedule is irrelevant to the |
| 24 | continuation of this hearing. Because, you know, |
| 25 | time is of the essence here. |
| 26 | THE COURT: I understand your point. So are |
| 27 | we |

| 1 | | ATTY. VALENTINO: Mr. Carozza has purchased |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | | plane tickets to go out of state on I believe not |
| 3 | | next week but the following week. |
| 4 | BY ATT | TY. VALENTINO: |
| 5 | Q | Is that correct, Mr. Carozza? |
| 6 | A | On the 6th, next Thursday. Yeah, Thursday. |
| 7 | | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Returning when, if I may ask? |
| 8 | | THE COURT: Why can't we continue this on |
| 9 | | Monday? What's wrong with that? Time is of the |
| 10 | | essence, you know. |
| 11 | | ATTY. FISHBEIN: We'll, I know. And I have a |
| 12 | | restraining order hearing, your Honor. I've got a |
| 13 | | young man who hasn't seen his family. |
| 14 | | THE COURT: What about 2 p.m.? |
| 15 | | ATTY. FISHBEIN: I highly doubt |
| 16 | | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, I mean, there |
| 17 | | are two other legal counsel here who I'm sure are |
| 18 | | more than capable of crossing Mr. Carozza. |
| 19 | | ATTY. FISHBEIN: The only thing is that under |
| 20 | | the rules, your Honor, I'm required to be in |
| 21 | | attendance. |
| 22 | | ATTY. VALENTINO: I'm sure there's someone |
| 23 | | else from Mr. Fishbein's office. |
| 24 | | THE COURT: I can hear the case any day next |
| 25 | | week with some slight adjustments. I have some |
| 26 | | hearings to deal with. But. otherwise. I can hear |

it every day -- any day. So it won't be my fault.

| 1 | But you're going to have to decide when you want to |
|----|---|
| 2 | continue it. And I understand there's a desire to |
| 3 | get moving. So we can do it. |
| 4 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I'm available the |
| 5 | afternoon of the 4th and the 5th. |
| 6 | THE COURT: I have a a brief hearing at two |
| 7 | o'clock. |
| 8 | ATTY. VALENTINO: On which day, your Honor? |
| 9 | THE COURT: On Tuesday. Let me see what it's |
| 10 | about. |
| 11 | THE COURT OFFICER: It's a discovery |
| 12 | dispute. |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. So by 2:30 I mean, |
| 14 | you should be here at two on Tuesday if you want to |
| 15 | go forward. |
| 16 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, may I ask: Is |
| 17 | there a possibility of moving forward on Wednesday? |
| 18 | I believe there's actually a delegate's meeting on |
| 19 | Tuesday. I don't know if the Court is willing |
| 20 | to UPFFA is holding a delegate's meeting on |
| 21 | Tuesday. I don't know if that's something your |
| 22 | Honor's willing to consider. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Is there objection? You willing |
| 24 | to go to Wednesday? I have another hearing I |
| 25 | have two hearings. |
| 26 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Your Honor, I can probably be |
| 27 | here for noon on Wednesday. Supposed to put a stip |

| 1 | on at New Haven Superior Court that morning. So |
|----|---|
| 2 | I'm hoping I if the judge comes out at ten, I'll |
| 3 | be able to get in the car by twenty after ten and |
| 4 | drive up here. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, how many other witnesses do |
| 6 | you have? |
| 7 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I would like to obviously |
| 8 | conclude with Mr. Carozza. But I also intend to |
| 9 | call Mr. Demici. And then just I'm getting some |
| 10 | shaking heads from my client. So if I can have a |
| 11 | moment to confer with him for a moment about |
| 12 | Wednesday just briefly? |
| 13 | THE COURT: You, you may. You may approach |
| 14 | and confer with him. |
| 15 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Your Honor, we can make |
| 16 | Wednesday afternoon work. |
| 17 | THE COURT: So it's the proposal is witness |
| 18 | at twelve. |
| 19 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Well, let's start from |
| 21 | there and see where we go. I'll go from twelve |
| 22 | I'll go to twelve to one. Then I have a two |
| 23 | o'clock hearing, which I will allot half an hour |
| 24 | for. |
| 25 | Is that that's it, though, right, Mr. |
| 26 | Harvey? |

THE COURT OFFICER: Yes.

| Τ | THE COURT: Okay. So that's what we'll do. |
|----|--|
| 2 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Thank you very much, your |
| 3 | Honor. |
| 4 | THE COURT: And then we can go on there. And |
| 5 | then I have a hearing in the morning on Thursday |
| 6 | the 6th, but nothing else after that. So if you |
| 7 | need additional time, you can have a Thursday. But |
| 8 | maybe |
| 9 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Can we bank the afternoon on |
| 10 | Thursday the 5th at this point? |
| 11 | THE COURT: Is that acceptable? |
| 12 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: I have to be in Middletown |
| 13 | Court that morning. But I should be back. |
| 14 | ATTY. VALENTINO: I believe Mr. Carozza I |
| 15 | believe that's the day he said he was leaving the |
| 16 | state. |
| 17 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Well, I'm expecting to be |
| 18 | done with Mr. Carozza. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, I assume we'll at least be |
| 20 | done with him. |
| 21 | ATTY. VALENTINO: Well, your Honor, so to be |
| 22 | perfectly honest they have their annual or their |
| 23 | biannual convention next week. And so I'm already |
| 24 | asking them to be not in attendance at the |
| 25 | conference to come on Wednesday. |
| 26 | So, I mean, if we can I believe I can get |
| 27 | through the witnesses on on Wednesday afternoon. |

| 1 | But the question is going to be how long |
|----|---|
| 2 | cross-examination is going to go. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, then the only thing we can |
| 4 | do is get started and see where we go. But it |
| 5 | should be the parties' firm understanding that |
| 6 | we're going to finish this hearing this week. I |
| 7 | mean this next week. |
| 8 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: And therefore |
| 10 | ATTY. VALENTINO: We'll make it work. |
| 11 | THE COURT: make every contingency |
| 12 | arrangement you need to make. |
| 13 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: And may I ask your Honor now |
| 14 | where we are? What is your intention with oral |
| 15 | argument? briefs? What is your |
| 16 | THE COURT: What I much prefer is as much oral |
| 17 | argument as is necessary. And where if the |
| 18 | matter's going to turn on legal precedent or |
| 19 | something of that nature, there is some binding |
| 20 | legal precedent that addresses the question, you |
| 21 | should have it here and we should discuss it. Some |
| 22 | cases you find that some form of briefing is useful |
| 23 | and necessary. |
| 24 | But usually after a hearing, a contested |
| 25 | hearing, I find not. Because all that ever happens |
| 26 | with that is it goes on for months and months and |

months. So if this were a regular trial, I would

not ask for posttrial briefs. I would as for a thorough oral argument, at which I would tell you what's on my mind. That's usually what I do is to say what the issues are and then ask the parties to respond to that. And we have a good dialogue and exchange.

And then, if there were contested points of law, we could discuss those at the time, and I write down the precedents that you want me to pay attention to.

Obviously the things that would narrow it would be things that are binding authority or are pivotal and not background things like the standard of evidence and things of that nature, you know, the usual stuff that takes up pages and pages in briefs. I don't need that.

But I really do want to talk about the heart of the case and get at that. And since I don't think we're going to continue evidence today, I'll suggest a couple areas that are on my mind.

And this won't be comprehensive because I didn't prepare myself to do it. But just in terms of where the evidence might want to concentrate, you know, I've read the -- I've read the documents.

And it -- there's this interesting question that the -- this last letter raises over what it means to -- what forfeiture means here, forfeiting

a charter and what does it mean to have a charter?

When I read the international bylaws, it certainly did not strike me as likely that it is referring to what happened here. It seems to me that it was likely referring to a union that was going out of business. That's the way it struck me.

On the other hand, the statewide bylaws and policy manual struck me as saying, All right. There will be a policy manual and that policy manual says that notice must be given in September. Or -- and if it isn't given timely, then it is assumed that the local wants to stay in the state organization for the next fiscal year. So note that, first of all, it says "the next fiscal year." And, second of all, it doesn't say "forever."

But those things are on my mind. And I don't want anyone to take from anything I'm saying that this means I've made up my mind on these things. I'm just giving you information so that you can try to address those things.

And that's one set of things that I'm thinking about.

And then another set becomes what is the power of this court to act on this question? If in fact there is a disaffiliation with the state organization procedure in the international, that

1 would have some impact on my thinking.

Let's say there isn't, though, and it's just not covered and that the local can withdraw from the state when it wants to, provided it lives up to its requirements? If that were the case, what about the rest of it, the liable, the slander, and the antiunion activities that are alleged? How do I have the authority to enjoin a state organization from exercising the rights it has within -- as members of this international? And if there's legal precedent on that point, I'd certainly like to know about it.

But as a matter of just ease and common sense and reading the rules -- I'm talking about the rules of organizations -- I wonder how I would have the authority to stop someone from exercising the rights they have by virtue of their membership.

And then the questions comes: Is the disaffiliation procedure such a procedure? And when I've read the language of the international, it didn't strike me as applying to this situation. Again, I'm not saying I've made up my mind on that, but that's on my mind.

But it did strike me as much tougher -- a much tougher question as to whether the other complaints can be barred in some way, given they do seem to be clearly covered by the international rules. How do

| т | I have the right to terr them they can t pursue |
|----|---|
| 2 | their claim in that forum? |
| 3 | So that would be a legal question and a |
| 4 | question of is there either a contract question. |
| 5 | Is there a contractual aspect of this? How do I |
| 6 | frame that in terms of a legal a legal issue? |
| 7 | And those are the things that I'm mulling |
| 8 | over. And, of course, I don't want to discuss them |
| 9 | now. I just wanted to give you a heads up so that |
| 10 | you can be thinking about what's what really |
| 11 | matters here. And, again, I haven't made up my |
| 12 | mind on any of these issues. |
| 13 | But that's the way I like to approach oral |
| 14 | argument is I tell you what's on my mind, we go |
| 15 | back and forth and talk about it so that; you know, |
| 16 | if I have some impression, you can tell me it's a |
| 17 | wonderful thing or you can try and talk me out of |
| 18 | it. |
| 19 | All right. Anything else we need before |
| 20 | adjourning today? |
| 21 | ATTY. FISHBEIN: No thank you, your Honor. |
| 22 | ATTY. VALENTINO: No thank you, your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Very good. I thank all the |
| 24 | parties. Court's adjourned. |
| 25 | (WHEREUPON THE COURT STANDS ADJOURNED.) |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

HHD CV18-6101218-S

INTERNATIONAL ASSOC. OF FIRE FIGHTERS LOCAL 825

v.

UNIFORMED PROFESSIONAL FIRE FIGHTERS ASSOC. OF CONNECTICUT

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the recording of the above-entitled hearing before the Honorable Thomas Moukawsher, Judge of the Superior Court for the Hartford Judicial District, at Hartford, on the 30th day of November, 2018.

Dated this 5th day of December, 2018, in Hartford, Connecticut.

John McIlhoney, Court Monitor