



✓ Limited Government  
✓ Lower Taxes

**YANKEE INSTITUTE** **VOTER GUIDE**  
**2009-2010**

*Yankee Institute  
for Public Policy*

*Creating New Ideas  
for Better Government  
and Lower Taxes  
in Connecticut*



PO Box 260660  
Hartford, CT 06126-0660

860-297-4271

[YankeeInstitute.org](http://YankeeInstitute.org)

*Located on the Campus of Trinity College*

# Yankee Institute Voter Guide for 2009-2010

## OVERVIEW

---

Members of the Connecticut House of Representatives and State Senate vote on hundreds of bills affecting the daily lives of Connecticut's citizens. With so many votes, it can be challenging to discern whether individual elected officials are acting in the best interest of the state on critical issues.

The Yankee Institute for Public Policy is a think tank that focuses its research on crafting taxpayer-friendly, limited government public policy solutions for Connecticut. Yankee scored these votes based on our research on tax and budget issues, education, government accountability & transparency, health care, and electoral reform. This 2010 Voter Guide serves as a resource for citizens to make informed decisions about their elected officials as they head to the polls on November 2, 2010.

## LEGISLATION CONSIDERED

---

### 1 - Government-Financed Political Campaigns

In 2005, the Connecticut legislature passed a campaign finance reform law that brought sweeping changes to how political campaigns for state offices are financed. The law provides candidates public funds to finance their campaigns if they meet the requirements of the program, dubbed the Citizens' Election Program. The stated goals of the law were to increase the competitiveness of political campaigns, mitigate corruption, and encourage more people to seek public office.

The Yankee Institute study "*Slanting the Playing Field*" by Heath W. Fahle studied the effects of the program after the 2008 elections and discovered that electoral competitiveness had not changed significantly and that some "outsider" candidates, namely those running from non-major parties (independents, Green Party candidates, etc.) were significantly impeded by the new rules. The program cost \$12 million in 2008, is anticipated to cost more than \$40 million in 2010, and quadrupled the size of the administering government agency, the State Elections Enforcement Commission. State Representative John "Corky" Mazurek proposed to eliminate the Citizens' Election Program due to its ineffectiveness and cost on June 26, 2009 (LCO #9518 to SB#1801). A "Yes" vote supported the Yankee position on the issue. The motion failed 37 to 68 with 16 absent.

### 2, 3 - Permitting Reform & Electricity Rates

Two challenges facing families and small businesses in Connecticut are the bureaucracy-plagued permitting process and the high cost of electricity.

An Act Concerning the Permit and Regulatory Authority of the Department of Environmental Protection and Establishing a Permit Ombudsman within the Department of Economic and Community Development (H.B. 5208) passed the State House by a tally of 144 votes in favor with just one vote against on May 5, 2010. The bill passed unanimously the same day in the Senate. A "Yes" vote supported the Yankee position.

Connecticut's electricity rates are some of the highest in the United States according to the federal Energy Information Agency. These costs impose a significant barrier to economic growth and vitality in the state. Yankee supports measures to bring down the cost of electricity in Connecticut using free market, limited government principles.

An Act Reducing Electricity Costs and Promoting Renewable Energy passed the Senate on May 4, 2010 with 20 votes in favor and 14 in opposition. In the State House, 81 legislators voted yes while 40 voted no. Governor Rell vetoed the legislation on May 24, 2010 because it did not effectively address this problem. A "No" vote supported the Yankee position because the bill was rushed through the legislature and did not adhere to free market principles.

## **4 - State Borrowing and Debt**

With tax revenues sagging due to the poor economy, legislators passed H.B. 6801, An Act Authorizing Economic Recovery Notes, to finance state government operations on August 31, 2009. Such Notes serve as an escape hatch for state officials so that they do not have to take constructive measures to limit the size and scope of state government.

Connecticut's spending and borrowing habits have spiraled out of control in recent years despite the fact that the state ostensibly has a "spending cap" in place to restrain them. Yankee Institute investigative reporter Zach Janowski has done research on the cost of a real spending cap and discovered that if the spending cap had been adhered to, the current budget deficits that plague state finances could have been avoided.

The legislature passed H.B. 6801 by a vote of 102 to 37 with two absences in the House and 23 to 12 with one legislator absent in the Senate. A "No" vote supported the Yankee Institute position against borrowing to pay for current spending.

## **5 - Estate and Gift Tax**

Connecticut's Estate and Gift Tax brought in \$238 million in FY2009, making it one of the top ten sources of revenue for state government. The \$2 million threshold for the Gift and Estate Tax was scheduled to increase to \$3.5 million, exposing fewer estates to this tax. Hungry for more tax revenue, the legislative leadership rescinded this tax cut by pushing off this change for two more years, raising \$76.2 million according to the Office of Fiscal Analysis.

An Act Concerning the Estate and Gift Tax (H.B. 7101) passed the State House of Representatives on December 21, 2009 by a vote of 97 to 39 with 15 legislators absent. The Senate passed it the same day by a tally of 22 to 12 with two Senators absent. A "No" vote supported the Yankee Institute position against raising taxes.

## **6 - ObamaCare for Connecticut: Sustinet**

After a lengthy and expensive advocacy campaign funded by many of Connecticut's public and private sector unions, the General Assembly considered a bill to create a new universal health insurance program in Connecticut called Sustinet in June 2009. The bill was intended to reduce the number of uninsured individuals and reduce the overall cost of health care in the Nutmeg State.

The Yankee Institute studied the Sustinet plan in a report entitled "Obamacare for Connecticut: Dr. Sustinet's Prescription for Big Government Healthcare" and determined that the actual cost of the program was understated by at least \$2 billion annually with no discussion of how to pay for it. Given this and concerns about the unintended effects on overall health care costs, the Yankee Institute is critical of the plan.

Yankee also raised concerns about the manner in which the Sustinet Plan was sold to the public and legislators. Yankee Institute investigative reporter Zach Janowski dug into the coterie of groups pushing the plan and discovered a tangled web of connections between labor unions, health care advocates, and other activists largely financed with money originally obtained through a lawsuit settlement brokered by Attorney General Richard Blumenthal.

After much deliberation, the legislature opted to set up a study group called the Sustinet Health Partnership Board of Directors to recommend a new state-sponsored health insurance plan by January 1, 2011. H.B. 6600 passed the House on May 20, 2009 by a vote of 107-35 with nine absences and Senate on May 30, 2009 by a vote of 23 to 12 with one absent. The Governor vetoed the bill on July 8, 2009, but was overridden by the Legislature on July 20, 2009. A "No" vote on the original bill supported the Yankee Institute position.

## **7 - Tax & Budget 2010**

The 2010 legislative session focused primarily on closing the yawning budget deficit. The Governor and legislative leaders sparred with competing deficit mitigation plans which largely resisted making hard decisions on spending and taxes, instead focusing on one-time revenue sources and budgeting gimmicks to paper over the budget problems faced by the state.

An Act Making Adjustments to State Expenditures for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2011 (S.B. 494) passed the Senate on May 5, 2010 by a narrow 19-16 vote and the State House by a 93-57 count. The Governor signed the legislation into law on May 7, 2010.

The Yankee Institute continues to support significant reforms in the spending habits of state government which were not embodied in S.B. 494. A “No” vote supported the Yankee position.

## **8 - Tax & Budget 2009**

Republican Governor Jodi Rell sparred with legislative leaders throughout the summer of 2009 on reaching a budget agreement for the FY2010-11 biennial budget. At first, Governor Rell held the line on taxes and spending, refusing tax increases or borrowing while demanding that the legislature bring spending under control.

The beginning of the Fiscal Year 2010, on July 1, 2009, came and went without a budget deal. State agencies continued operating only through emergency budget orders from the governor.

In late August 2009, Rell offered to accept tax increases in exchange for spending reductions and other concessions. Legislative leaders accepted the tax increases but did not reduce spending. Gov. Rell refused to sign the budget bill, but also did not veto it, allowing it to become law without her signature.

The Yankee Institute’s research focuses on reducing the size of government, holding the line on taxes, and decreasing spending. With all three bedrock principles violated by this agreement, Yankee repeatedly expressed dissatisfaction with the budget deal (H.B. 6802) that passed the State House on August 31, 2009, by a vote of 103 to 45 with three absent, and 22 to 13 with one absence in the Senate on September 1, 2009. A “No” vote supported the Yankee position on the matter.

## **9 - Government-Financed Political Campaigns II**

In response to the court challenges to Connecticut’s government-funded elections law, the General Assembly considered An Act Concerning Clean Elections (S.B. 551), which increased the basic public grant to gubernatorial candidates from \$3 million to \$6 million. It passed the State Senate on August 5, 2010 with 24 yes votes, 10 no votes with two Senators absent. The State House passed the bill on August 13, 2010, 106-30 with 15 absent.

The Yankee Institute firmly opposed this \$3 million handout. A “No” vote supported the Yankee position.

## **10 - Government Accountability & Transparency**

In February 2010, the Yankee Institute launched CTSunlight.org, an online tool that allows visitors to review payroll, pension, and expenditure data for every state government agency and office

Imitation being the most sincere form of flattery, state legislators were so impressed by the project that they decided to build a similar website at state expense to duplicate Yankee’s efforts. Yankee supported the state effort to bring more disclosure and transparency to its spending. An Act Requiring the Establishment of a Searchable Database for State Expenditures (H.B. 5163) passed the State House on May 3, 2010 unanimously and on May 5, 2010 in the State Senate, also unanimously. A “Yes” vote supported the Yankee position.

Legislator	Party	Body	District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Final Score
Abercrombie, Catherine	D	House	83	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Alberts, Mike	R	House	50	Yes	Yes	X	No	No	X	No	No	X	Yes	7
Aldarondo, David	D	House	75	X	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Altobello, Buddy	D	House	82	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Aman, Bill	R	House	14	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Aresimowicz, Joe	D	House	30	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Ayala, Jr, Andres	D	House	128	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	2
Bacchiochi, Penny	R	House	52	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Backer, Terry	D	House	121	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	X	3
Baram, David	D	House	15	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Barry, Ryan	D	House	12	No	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Bartlett, Jason	D	House	2	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Berger, Jeffrey	D	House	73	No	Yes	No	X	Yes	X	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Boukus, Betty	D	House	22	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	2
Butler, Larry	D	House	72	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Bye, Beth	D	House	19	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Cafero, Lawrence	R	House	142	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Camillo, Fred	R	House	151	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Candalaria, Juan	D	House	95	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Candelora, Vincent	R	House	86	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Carson, Mary Ann	R	House	108	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	X	No	No	No	Yes	9
Caruso, Christopher	D	House	126	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Chapin, Clark	R	House	67	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Clemons, Jr, Don	D	House	124	No	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1
Conroy, Theresa	D	House	105	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Conway, Jr, Matthew	D	House	61	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	1
Cook, Michelle	D	House	65	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Coutu, Christopher	R	House	47	X	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	8
D'Amelio, Anthony	R	House	71	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	9
Dargan, Stephen	D	House	115	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Davis, Paul	D	House	117	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Dillon, Patricia	D	House	92	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Donovan, Christopher	D	House	84	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Drew, Thomas	D	House	132	No	Yes	Yes	X	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Esposito, Jr, Louis	D	House	116	No	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Esty, Elizabeth	D	House	103	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Fawcett, Kim	D	House	133	No	X	Yes	X	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3
Fleischmann, Andrew	D	House	18	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Flexer, Mae	D	House	44	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Floren, Livvy	R	House	149	X	Yes	X	X	X	No	No	X	No	Yes	5
Fontana, Steve	D	House	87	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Fox, III, Gerald	D	House	146	No	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	2
Frey, John	R	House	111	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Fritz, Mary	D	House	90	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Genga, Henry	D	House	10	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Gentile, Linda	D	House	104	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Geragosian, John	D	House	25	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Giannaros, Demetrios	D	House	21	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Gibbons, Lile	R	House	150	X	Yes	X	No	X	No	No	No	X	Yes	6
Giegler, Janice	R	House	138	Yes	Yes	No	No	X	No	No	No	X	Yes	8
Giuliano, Marilyn	R	House	23	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Godfrey, Bob	D	House	110	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Gonzalez, Minnie	D	House	3	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	X	1
Graziani, Ted	D	House	57	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Green, Kenneth	D	House	1	X	Yes	Yes	X	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Grogins, Auden	D	House	129	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	2
Guerrera, Tony	D	House	29	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hamm, Gail	D	House	34	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hamzy, William	R	House	78	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Heinrich, Deborah	D	House	101	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3
Hennessy, Jack	D	House	127	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hetherington, John	R	House	125	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Hewett, Ernest	D	House	39	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Holder-Winfield, Gary	D	House	94	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hornish, Annie	D	House	62	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hovey, Debralee	R	House	112	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Hoydick, Laura	R	House	120	-	Yes	No	No	-	No	No	-	No	Yes	7
Hurlburt, Bryan	D	House	53	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Hwang, Tony	R	House	134	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Janowski, Claire	D	House	56	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Jarmoc, Karen	D	House	59	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Johnson, Susan	D	House	49	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Johnston, Shawn	D	House	51	Yes	Yes	X	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Jutila, Ed	D	House	37	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Kehoe, Tom	D	House	31	No	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1

Scores are tallied by adding the number of pro-Yankee votes. Note that State Senate calculations are based on nine votes while the State House calculations are based on ten votes. An "X" denotes that a member was absent when the vote took place.

1 - Government-Financed Political Campaigns	2 - Permitting Reform	3 - Electricity Rates	4 - State Borrowing and Debt	5 - Estate and Gift Tax	6 - Obamacare for CT Sustainet	7 - Tax & Budget 2010	8 - Tax & Budget 2009	9 - Government-Financed Political Campaigns II	10 - Government Accountability & Transparency
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--	---

Legislator	Party	Body	District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Final Score
Kirkley-Bey, Marie	D	House	5	X	Yes	Yes	X	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Klarides, Themis	R	House	114	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Labriola, David	R	House	131	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	9
Lambert, Barbara	D	House	118	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Larson, Timothy	D	House	11	No	X	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1
Lawlor, Michael	D	House	99	X	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
LeGeyt, Timothy	R	House	17	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Leone, Carlo	D	House	148	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Lesser, Matthew	D	House	100	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Lewis, Joan	D	House	8	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Lyddy, Christopher	D	House	106	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Mazurek, Corky	D	House	80	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	X	6
McClusky, David	D	House	20	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
McCrary, Douglas	D	House	7	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Megna, Robert	D	House	97	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Merrill, Denise	D	House	54	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Mikutel, Steven	D	House	45	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	X	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Miller, Patricia	D	House	145	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Miller, Lawrence	R	House	122	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Miner, Craig	R	House	66	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	9
Mioli, Joe	D	House	136	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Morin, Russ	D	House	28	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Morris, Bruce	D	House	140	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Moukawsher, Edward	D	House	40	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Mushinsky, Mary	D	House	85	No	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	1
Nafis, Sandy	D	House	27	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Nardello, Vickie	D	House	89	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Nicastro, Sr, Frank	D	House	79	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Noujaim, Selim	R	House	74	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	X	No	No	No	Yes	9
O'Brien, Tim	D	House	24	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
O'Connor, Brian	D	House	35	No	Yes	Yes	X	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Olson, Melissa	D	House	46	X	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
O'Neill, Arthur	R	House	69	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Orange, Linda	D	House	48	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
O'Rourke, Jim	D	House	32	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Perillo, Jason	R	House	113	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Perone, Chris	D	House	137	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Piscopo, John	R	House	76	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Rebimbas, Rosa	R	House	70	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Reed, Lonnie	D	House	102	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Reeves, Peggy	D	House	143	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Reynolds, Tom	D	House	42	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Rigby, John	R	House	63	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	9
Ritter, Elizabeth	D	House	38	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	2
Robles, Hector	D	House	6	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Rojas, Jason	D	House	9	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Roldan, Kelvin	D	House	4	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Rowe, T.R.	R	House	123	Yes	Yes	X	No	X	No	No	No	No	Yes	8
Roy, Richard	D	House	119	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Ryan, Kevin	D	House	139	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Santiago, Ezequiel	D	House	130	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Sawyer, Pamela	R	House	55	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Sayers, Peggy	D	House	60	No	Yes	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Schofield, Linda	D	House	16	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	No	No	X	Yes	4
Scribner, David	R	House	107	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Serra, Joseph	D	House	33	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Shapiro, Jim	D	House	144	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Sharkey, Brendan	D	House	88	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Spallone, James	D	House	36	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Staples, Cameron	D	House	96	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Stripp, John	R	House	135	Yes	Yes	X	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Taborsak, Joseph	D	House	109	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Tallarita, Kathleen	D	House	58	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Tercyak, Peter	D	House	26	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Thompson, John	D	House	13	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Tong, William	D	House	147	No	Yes	Yes	X	X	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Urban, Diana	D	House	43	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Villano, Peter	D	House	91	No	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Walker, Toni	D	House	93	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Wilditz, Patricia	D	House	98	No	Yes	X	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Williams, Sean	R	House	68	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Willis, Roberta	D	House	64	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Wood, Terrie	R	House	141	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10
Wright, Christopher	D	House	77	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3
Wright, Elissa	D	House	41	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Zalaski, Zeke	D	House	81	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2

Scores are tallied by adding the number of pro-Yankee votes. Note that State Senate calculations are based on nine votes while the State House calculations are based on ten votes. An "X" denotes that a member was absent when the vote took place.

Legislator	Party	Body	District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Final Score
Boucher, Toni	R	Senate	26		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Caligiuri, Sam	R	Senate	16		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Colapietro, Thomas	D	Senate	31		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Coleman, Eric	D	Senate	2		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Crisco, Joseph	D	Senate	17		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Daily, Eileen	D	Senate	33		Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Debicella, Dan	R	Senate	21		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
DeFronzo, Donald	D	Senate	6		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Doyle, Paul	D	Senate	9		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Duff, Bob	D	Senate	25		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Fasano, Len	R	Senate	34		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Fonfara, John	D	Senate	1		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Frantz, Scott	R	Senate	36		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Gaffey, Thomas	D	Senate	13		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Gomes, Edwin	D	Senate	23		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Guglielmo, Anthony	R	Senate	35		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Handley, Mary Ann	D	Senate	4		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Harp, Toni	D	Senate	10		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Harris, Jonathan	D	Senate	5		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Hartley, Joan	D	Senate	15		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Kane, Rob	R	Senate	32		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Kissel, John	R	Senate	7		Yes	X	No	No	No	No	No	X	Yes	7
LeBeau, Gary	D	Senate	3		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Looney, Martin	D	Senate	11		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Maynard, Andrew	D	Senate	18		Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	2
McDonald, Andrew	D	Senate	27		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
McKinney, John	R	Senate	28		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
McLachlan, Michael	R	Senate	24		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Meyer, Edward	D	Senate	12		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Musto, Anthony	D	Senate	22		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Prague, Edith	D	Senate	19		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Roraback, Andrew	R	Senate	30		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	9
Slossberg, Gayle	D	Senate	14		X	X	Yes	Yes	Yes	X	Yes	Yes	X	0
Stillman, Andrea	D	Senate	20		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Williams, Donald	D	Senate	29		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
Witkos, Kevin	R	Senate	8		Yes	No	No	X	No	No	No	X	Yes	7

Scores are tallied by adding the number of pro-Yankee votes. Note that State Senate calculations are based on nine votes while the State House calculations are based on ten votes. An "X" denotes that a member was absent when the vote took place.



[www.ctsunlight.org](http://www.ctsunlight.org)



[www.yankeeinstitute.org](http://www.yankeeinstitute.org)

**Raising Hale**

[www.RaisingHale.com](http://www.RaisingHale.com)

**TWEET! CT**  
WHAT CONNECTICUT IS SAYING NOW  
Find out what our elected officials  
are talking about right now at  
[www.tweetCT.org](http://www.tweetCT.org)



[www.twitter.com/YankeeInstitute](http://www.twitter.com/YankeeInstitute)



[www.youtube.com/YankeeInstitute](http://www.youtube.com/YankeeInstitute)



[www.yankeeinstitute.org/Facebook](http://www.yankeeinstitute.org/Facebook)

# *Yankee Institute for Public Policy*

*Creating New Ideas  
for Better Government  
and Lower Taxes  
in Connecticut*



## ***About the Yankee Institute For Public Policy***

The Yankee Institute is a think tank that develops and advocates free-market and private sector solutions to public policy issues. Founded in 1984, Yankee has offices on the campus of Trinity College in Hartford, Connecticut. The Yankee Institute is a nonpartisan research and educational organization and is classified by the IRS as a 501 (c) (3) non-profit.